

THE INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT SUPPLEMENTAL NITROGEN SOURCES
ON POOR QUALITY ROUGHAGE UTILIZATION BY THE RUMINANTS.

BY

SAFAA ABD EL-MONEM SALEH

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of

the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agricultural SCIENCE

(Animal Nutrition)

633.2
S A

Department of Animal production

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

1991



Approval sheet

THE INFLUENCE OF DIFFERNT SUPPLEMNTAL NITROGEN SOURCES
ON POOR QUALTY ROUGHAGE UTILIZATION BY THE RUMINANTS

BY

SAFAA ABD EL MONEM SALEH

B.SC. Agric. (Animal production), Ain-shams University.1983

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been
approved by:

Prof. Dr. M.A El Ashry M. El Ashry
Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Head of
Department of Animal Production,
Ain-Shams University.

Prof. Dr. H.M. Khattab H.M. Khattab
Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Ain-Shams
University.

Prof. Dr. S.Y Sherif S.Y. Sherif
Prof. of Animal Nutrition. Zagazig
University.

Date of examination: 3/10/1991



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to Prof. Dr. M. A. EL- Ashry, Professor of Animal Nutrition and Head of the Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for providing help and guidance during the course of this work.

Thanks are also due to Prof. Dr. A. M. El-Serafy. Professor of Animal Nutrition. Faculty of Agriculture. Ain Shams University for his helpful discussion.

To Prof. H.A. El- fouly, I owe my sincere thanks for his constructive criticism and his help as constant source of encouragement and attentive help through the course of this work. Grateful acknowledgement should also be extended to Dr. H. M. Gado who provided continous encouragement and sincere help throughout the stages of writing this manuscript.

Appreciation is also expressed to the staff membres and technicians of the Animal Nutrition Research unit, Nuclear Research Center for the facilities that made this work feasible .

Cordial thanks and gratitude to all my family for their enconuragement by all possible means.

List of Abbreviations

DM	= Dry matter.
OM	= Organic matter.
CP	= Crude protein.
CF	= Crude Fiber.
IVDMD	= In vitro dry matter digestion.
IVOMD	= In vitro organic matter digestion.
IVCFD	= In vitro crude fiber digestion.
NAN	= NON ammonia nitrogen.
NPN	= NON protein nitrogen.
NH ₃ -N	= Ammonia.
Lw	= Live wieght.
Kgw ^{0.75}	= Metabolic bady weight.
NS	= Not significant.
VS	= Versus.
SBM	= Soybean meal.
LSM	= Linseed meal.
FM	= Fishmeal.
LLM	= Leucaena leave meal.
CSM	= Cotton seed meal.
CA	= Casein.
U	= Urea.
S.O.N	= Source of nitrogen.
S.O.P	= Source of Protein.
S.O.V	= Source of variation.

Contents

Introduction	1
Review of literature	2
1- Structure of carbohydrates.	2
1-1 Soluble carbohydrates.	2
1-2 Fiber components.	3
a- Cellulose	3
b- Hemicellulose	4
c- Lignin.	5
1-3 Effect of non structural carbohydrates on fiber digestion	5
1-4 Effect of carbohydrates on nitrogen utilization..	6
2- Nutritive value of straw.	7
2-1 Methods for improving nutritive value of straw. .	8
2-1-1 Physical/Mechanical.	8
2-1-2 Chemical treatment.	9
3- Fermentation of fibre in the rumen.	9
3-1 Colonisation and microbial attachment.. . . .	10
3-2 Microbial cell synthesis.	12
3-3 Requirement of Microorganisms.. . . .	12
3-3-1 The specific Nitrogen requirement of rumen microorganisms.	13
3-3-2 Ammonia as the principal source of N for microorganisms.	15
3-3-3 Regulation of PH in the rumen.. . . .	16
3-4 Estimates of microbial protein.	18

4- Supplementation of microbial protein.	18
4-1 Effect of N sources on digestion.	19
4-2 Effect of urea on digestion.	21
4-3 Effect of levels of N on digestion.. . . .	22
4-4 Effect of different levels of urea on digestion ..	24
Materials And Methods	27
Experiment (1).	27
Experiment (2).	27
Experiment (3).	27
Experiment (4).	27
Analytical procedures.	29
Determination of ammonia -N in rumen fluid.	29
Crude fiber determination	30
Determination of microbial protein.	31
Statistical analysis.	32
Results	33
Experiment 1	33
Experiment 2	46
Experiment 3	57
Experiment 4	81
Discussion.	92
Summary and Conclusion.	100
References.	104
Appendix	128
Arabic Summary.	

List of Tables

Tables	page
1- Chemical composition of dietary ingredients...	34
2- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on dry matter digestion in vitro (IVDMD%)	35
3- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on organic matter digestion in vitro (IVOMD%)	36
4- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on crude fiber digestion in vitro (IVCFD%)	37
5- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on NH ₃ -N mg/100 ml concentration...	42
6- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on PH values..	43
7- Effect of different sources of nitrogen and roughages on NAN mg/tube.	44
8- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on dry matter digestion in vitro (IVDMD%)	47
9- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on organic matter digestion in vitro (IVOMD%)	48
10- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on crude fiber digestion (IVCFD%)	50
11- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on NH ₃ -N mg/100 ml in vitro	55
12- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on PH	56
13- Effect of different sources of protein and roughages on NAN mg/ tube in vitro	57

14-	In vitro dry matter digestion of roughage sources as influenced by different levels of SBM supplements (IVDMD%)	.59
15-	In vitro organic matter digestion of roughage sources as ... influenced by different levels of SBM supplements (IVOMD%)	. 60
16-	In vitro crude fiber digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by SBM supplements (IVCFD%)	61
17-	In vitro dry matter digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by fish meal supplements (IVDMD%)	 62
18-	In vitro organic matter digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by fishmeal supplements (IVOMD%)	., 63
19-	In vitro crude fiber digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by FM supplements (IVCFD%)	 64
20-	In vitro dry matter digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by urea supplements (IVDMD%)	 72
21-	In vitro organic matter digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by urea supplements (IVOMD%)	73
22-	In vitro crude fiber digestion of different sources of roughage as influenced by urea supplements (IVCFD%)	 74
23-	Effect of SBM levels on NH ₃ -N concentration in vitro	75
24-	Effect of FM levels on NH ₃ -N concentration in vitro	76
25-	Effect of urea levels on NH ₃ -N concentration in vitro	77
26-	Effect of various levels of nitrogen treatment of rice straw on the in vitro bacteria growth rate	 79
27-	Effect of various levels of nitrogen treatment of wheat straw on the in vitro bacteria growth rate	 80
28-	Effect of various levels of nitrogen treatment of	

hay on the in vitro bacteria growth rate.	.81
29- Effect of defferent sources of N on in vitro digestion	
when pure cellulose used as substrate.	82
30- Effect of different sources of protein on in vitro	
digestion when pure cellulose was used as substrate. . . .	84
31- Effect of SBM levels on pure cellulose	
digestion % in vitro.	85
32- Effect of FM levels on pure cellulose	
digestion % in vitro	87
33- Effect of urea levels on pure cellulose	
digestion % in vitro.	.88
34- Effect of various level of nitorgen treatment of pure	
cellulose on the in vitro bacteria growth rate.	89

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1- Effect of casein replaced by urea on DMD% in vitro... ..	83
2- Effect of casein replaced by urea on OMD% in vitro	39
3- Effect of casein replaced by urea on CFD% in vitro	40
4- Effect of casein replaced by urea on NH ₃ -N concentration ..	45
5- Effect of different sources of protein on IVDMD%.....	51
6- Effect of different sources of protein on IVOMD%	52
7- Effect of different sources of protein on IVCFD%	53
8- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVOMD% of rice straw	58
9- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVOMD% of Hay	66
10- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVOMD% of wheat straw	67
11- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVCFD% of rice straw	68
12- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVCFD% of Hay	69
13- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVCFD% of Wheat straw	70
14- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVDMD% of Pure cellulose	90
15- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVOMD% of pure cellulose	91
16- Effect of nitrogen levels on IVCFD% of pure cellulose	92

List of Appendix

APPINDEX	Page
1- ANOVA of the effect of casein replaced by urea on dry matter, Organic matter and crude fiber digestion in vitro..	128
2- ANOVA of the effect of casein replaced by urea on PH values, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and NaN	129
3- ANOVA of the effect of different sources of protein on dry matter, organic matter and crude fiber digestion in vitro.	130
4- ANOVA of the effect of different sources of protein on PH volues, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and NAN	131
5- ANOVA of the effect of different levels of nitrogen on dry matter and organic matter digestion in vitro.	132
6- ANOVA of the effect of different levels of nitrogen on crude fiber digestion in vitro and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentration.	133
7- ANOVA of the effect of casein replaced by urea on pure cellulase of digestion in vitro.	134
8- ANOVA of the effect of different sources of protein on pure cellulose digestion in vitro.	135
9- ANOVA of the effect of different levels of nitrogen on pure cellulose digestion in vitro.	136

THE INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT SUPPLEMENTAL NITROGEN SOURCES
ON POOR QUALITY ROUGHAGE UTILIZATION BY THE RUMINANTS.

BY

SAFAA ABD EL-MONEM SALAH

B.Sc. AGRIC. Sci. (Animal production) Ain Shams university 1983

Under the supervision of : Prof. Dr. M.A. El-Ashry

Prof. Dr. A.M. El-Serafy

Prof. Dr. H.A. El-Fouly

ABSTRACT

A series of experiments were conducted to compare the effects of different sources of protein supplements on ruminal fermentation characteristics and microbial growth .

The obtained the results indicated that:

1- In vitro digestibility was significantly ($P < 0.05$) improved with hay as a substrate when compared with wheat straw and rice straw because of the availability of nitrogen from different roughage sources was different.

2- Nitrogen supplementation from casein increased ($P < 0.05$) digestion of the roughages, but such a response was less likely with urea .

3- The values of IVDMD % , IVOMD % and IVCFD % were highest

($P < 0.05$) with the roughages supplemented with soybean meal as compared with the other sources of protein.

4- The digestion rate increased with increasing levels of protein supplementation, the increments in IVDMD % , IVOMD % and IVCFD% were significant ($P < 0.05$) for the low levels of supplementation in contrast to the non significant ($P > 0.05$) higher levels.

5- When pure cellulose was used in lieu of and in a comparison with physical roughages most of the results turned out to be in agreement.

Introduction

In Egypt, Little cultivable land can be allocated to forage production because of the need to grow human food. So straws are produced during cereal grain production are used as the basal feed for a large proportion of the ruminants. Crop residues and mature tropical pasture grasses are classed as low quality roughages that have many negative properties such as reduced palatability, low digestible protein, mineral and vitamin contents. The improvement in the feeding value of straw obtained when treated with various methods.

These include physical and chemical treatments of ligno-cellulotic crop residues increases digestibility.

The success of any of these methods of treatment depends upon adequate nitrogen being available for rumen microorganisms.

The present research was concerned with improved degradation and fermentability of cell wall by rumen microorganism when the roughages were treated with the different source of protein therefore the objectives of this experiment were to

- 1- Determine the level of urea-N which could effectively replace true protein-N without depressing fermentation by ruminal microbes
- 2- Determine the effect of different protein sources on fiber digestion of the substrates.
- 3- Determine the level of nitrogen which increasing the digestion and ruminal microbial growth.
- 4- Determine the effect of these factors with pure cellulose.