# Assessment of Neonatal and Infantile Morbidity due to Instrumental Delivery

Thesis
Submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in Obstetrics & Gynecology

By

#### Mahmoud El-Sayed Abd El-Razek Mohamed

M.B. B.Ch., M.S. Obstet. & Gynecol. Specialist of Obstet. & Gynecol., Ministry of Health

Supervisors

#### Prof. Khaled Mohamed El-Mamoun El-Houdiby

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Mahmoud Youssef Ali Ahmed Abdulla

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Mohamed Adel Al-Nazer

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Safaa Refaat El-Sady

Professor of Phoniatrics Haculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF MEDICINE AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY 1999



#### Acknowledgement

First, and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor; *Prof. Khaled Mohamed El-Mamoun El-Houdiby*, Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his kind help, guidance, and follow up throughout the whole work.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to *Prof. Mahmoud Youssef Ali Ahmed Abdalla*, Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, tremendous assistance, continuous guidance and enthusiastic support.

I am also grateful to *Prof. Mohamed Adel Al-Nazer*, Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, great interest and generous help throughout the progress of this work.

I would also like to express my deepest thanks to *Prof. Safaa Refaat El-Sady*, Professor of Phoniatrics, Ain Shams University, for offering me much of her care, and experience throughout the whole work.

Also, I thank *Dr. Yasser Galal Moustafa* Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynecology, for his guidance and advice

Lastly, I would like to thank the mothers and their infants for their cooperation during the field study.



#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

B.D.M.H. Brain Damaged Motory Handicapped

V.E.F. Vacuum Extraction Forceps

V.E. Vacuum Extraction

C.N.S. Central Nervous System

D.L.D. Delayed Language Development



## CONTENTS (CONT.)

	Page
SUBJECTS & METHODS	
RESULTS	110
DISCUSSION	147
SUMMARY & CONCLUSION	163
REFERENCES	168
APPENDIX	
ARABIC SUMMARY	



### **CONTENTS**

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF THE WORK	4
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
Forceps delivery	5
Perinatal morbidity due to forceps delivery	12
Long-term infant morbidity due to forceps delivery	18
Vacuum delivery	25
Neonatal complications due to vacuum delivery	26
Long-term effects of vacuum delivery	39
Forceps versus vacuum extraction	43
Cesarean delivery	50
Perinatal morbidity due to cesarean delivery	55
Long-term infant morbidity due to cesarean delivery	57
Cesarean section versus forceps delivery	61
Risk factors for developmental disability	64
Mental retardation	78
Brain damaged motory handicapped (BDMH)	85



## **LIST OF TABLES**

	Page
Table (1): Classification of Forceps delivery according to	
station and rotation	10
Table (2): Neonatal complications with vacuum and	
forceps delivery	14
Table (3): Intelligence test scores at age 17 for subjects	
born in Jerusalem between 1964 and 1970	24
Table (4): Apgar evaluation of the newborn infant	70
Table (5): Factors affecting Apgar score	71
Table (6): Hypoxic - Ischemic encephalopathy in term	
infants	72
Table (7): Examples of genetic conditions associated with	
mental retardation (Kendell & Zealley, 1993)	81
Table (8): Examples of genetic conditions associated with	
mental retardation (Kendell and Zealley, 1993)	82
Table (9): Some of non-genetic conditions associated with	1
metntal retardation (Bootzin et al., 1993)	83
Table (10): Developmental characteristics of the different	
degree of mental retardation (Kaplan et al., 1994)	84

## LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	Page
Table (11): Maternal age in the different groups under	
study	
Table (12): Maternal medical disorders in the different	
groups under study	113
Table (13): Labor pattern in different groups under st	udy 115
Table (14): Maternal morbidity in the different groups	
under study	117
Table (15): Neonatal outcome in the different groups	
under study	119
Table (16): Gestational age of neonates in the different	
groups under study	122
Table (17): Birth-weight of neonates in the different	
groups under study	124
Table (18): Communicative evaluation in the different	
groups under study	126
Table (19): Neurological evaluation in the different	
groups under study	128
Table (20). Intelligency Quotient (1Q) in the different.	
groups under study	131

# LIST OF TABLES (CONT.)

	<u>Page</u>
Table (21): Evaluation of social age in the different	
groups under study (at the age of 18 months)	133
Table (22): Evaluation of motoric age in the different	
groups under study (at the age of 18 months)	135
Table (23): Morbidity of forceps group (Gr. 1a) (n=4)	136
Table (24): Morbidity of vacuum group (Gr. 1b) (n=3)	137
Table (25): Morbidity of Cesarean section group (Gr. 1c)	
(n=3)	138
Table (26) Morbidity of spontaneous group (Gr. 2) (n=2)	139

