# itric Oxide Donor, Prostaglandins For Induction Of Labor. A Randomized Prospective Study

#### **Thesis**

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M. SC. Degree in Obstetric & Gynecology

By \$\frac{1}{2}^2\

 $M.~B._{i}Beh.$ 

Faculty of Medicine. Cairo University.

# Under supervision of f. Sherif Mohamed saleh El Ghetany

Professor of Observic & Gyrecology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shanis University.

Dr. Ashraf F. I. Nabhan

Lecturer of Obstetric & Gynecology ( )
Faculty of Medicine. Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine.
Ain Shams University
2000

#### Nitric Oxide Donors, versus Prostaglandins For Induction of labor. A randomized prospective study.

Thesis.

Eubmitted jer partial fulfillment of SCSC, Degree in Obstetnic & Gynecology.

By

Zabia Mchammed Abd El Bahman M.B. Beh.

Faculty of Medicine, Caine University.

Under supervision of Prof. Sherif Mohamed Saleh El Ghetany Defens of Obstatric & Especielogy Encelty of Schuline Obin Shows Whiteenity

Dr. Ashraf F.J. Nabhan Lecturer of Obstetric & Gynecology. Taculty of Medicine, Ain Sham, University.

> Faculty of Medicine. Okin Sham, University. 2000



具然人或人人

سورة البدرة "آية ٢٦"



I would like to express my gratitude and acknowledgment to Professor Therif H.S. El Ghetany, for his knowledge and advice, which makes this work became possible. I would also like to thank him for his unlimited generosity and patience.

I would like to thank Dr. Ashraf F. I. Sabhan for his effect, and for being extra-ordinary in his kindness and influence.

I would like to thank Er. Magdi Kasser Ch. Kelads for being soon kind and patient with me, and made a great effort to put this work tracther

Tenally I would like to express my gratitude to every one who helped me to do this work.



I would like to dedicate this work to a man & a woman who have always surrounded me with an unconditioned love, my Father & my Mother.

I also, want to express my gratitude to the brilliant, knowledgeable professors and tutors of Faculty of Medicine at Ain Shams University & Eairo University, because they have exerted all possible efforts to make me worthy of a title so honorable, for so little in return.

I am also very thankful to Evejosser Dr. Emad Abbd El Hamul, who has supported me very much, in a very pleasant and selfless way to put this work together.

I also, want to thank my dear friend Dr. Maha Helmy, for being a wonderful and supportive friend.

And finally, Awould like to thank every one of every heart that once leved me and cared about me.

## Contents

*List of Tables.	
*List of Figures.	
*List of Abbreviations.	<b>T</b> .
*Introduction	Page I
*Aim of the work	- II
*Review Of Literature	
Chapter One Induction of labo	01°.
• Indications	1
• Estimation of actual gestational age	6
• Labor induction policy	8
• Methods of Induction of labor	11

#### Chapter Two Human Cervix:

• Morphology of human cervix	18
• Histology of human cervix —————	21
• Metabolism of cervical connective tissue —	- 27
• Cervical changes during pregnancy and	
labor	29
• Hormonal regulation of cervix	43
• Measurements of cervical ripening	45
Chapter Three Nitric Oxide:	
Synthesis and characteristics of Nitric oxide —————	50
Biological character of Nitric oxide	51
Regulation of Nitric oxide synthase	
activity	53
Metabolism of Nitric oxide	55
• Effects Of Nitric oxide	56

Role of Nitric Oxide in pregnancy	73
• Role of vascular endometrium	
in pregnancy	77
• Effect of Nitric Oxide on Cervical ripening	80
Chapter Four Prostaglandins:	
• Biochemistry & Biology Of Prostaglandins	83
• Uses of Prostaglandins	86
*Patients and methods	98
"Results	103
*Discussion	127
* Summary & Conclusion	137
References	145
*Arabic Summary	

### List Of Tables

NO	Table	Page
1	Length of gestational age according to LMP.	7
2	Indication of induction of labor.	9
3	Proportions of CS in induced labor for various causes.	10
4	Bishop Scoring System.	45
5	Modification of Bishop Score, (Weingrup; 1969).	47
6	Modification of Bishop Score, (Calder et al; 1964)	48
7	Lang's modification of Bishop score, (Linge's et al; 1982).	49
8	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard demographic and clinical data.	103
9	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard indication of induction of labor.	

NO	Fable	Page
10	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	106
	post-treatment cervical canal diameter in group "A" and group "B".	
11	Comparison between group "A" and group	
14	"B" as regard consistency of the cervix	108
12	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment in group "A" as regard station	
	of the presenting part.	
	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment in group "B" as regard station	110
	of the presenting part.	
14	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	
	post-treatment in group "A" and group "B"	111
:	as regard change in total Bishop score.	
15	Comparison between group "A" and group	
	"B" as regard need to oxytocin.	114

NO	Table	Page
16	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment in group "A" as regard	115
·	systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial blood pressure.	
17	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment in group "B" as regard systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial blood pressure.	117
18	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard Induction delivery time.	119
19	Comparison between group "A" and group 99"B" as regard fetal complication.	120
20	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard maternal side effects.	122
21	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard route of delivery.	123
22	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard neonatal outcome.	125

# List Of Figures

NO	Figure	Page
1	Mean maternal age.	104
2	Mean maternal gestational age.	104
3	Mean maternal parity.	104
4	Indication of induction of labor in group "A".	105
5	Indication of induction of labor in group "B".	106
6	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	107
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
-	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	107
· Faith First State of Control of	post-treatment cervical diameter in group "B".	
8	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	109
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
	"A" as regard station of the presenting part.	

NO	Figure	Pag
9	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment cervical diameter in group "B" as regard station of the presenting part.	11
10	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment cervical diameter in group "A" as regard change in Bishop score.	11
11	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment cervical diameter in group "B" as regard change in Bishop score	
12	Comparison between group "A" and group "B" as regard need to oxytocin.	1
13	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment cervical diameter in group "A" as regard systolic blood pressure.	1]
14	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs post-treatment cervical diameter in group "A" as regard diastolic blood pressure.	11

NO	Figure	Page
15	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	117
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
	"A" as regard mean arterial blood pressure.	
16	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	118
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
	"B" as regard systolic blood pressure.	
17	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	118
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
	"B" as regard diastolic blood pressure.	
18	Comparison between pre-treatment & 4 hrs	119
	post-treatment cervical diameter in group	
	"B" as regard mean arterial blood pressure.	
19	Comparison between group "A" and group	120
	"B" as regard induction delivery time.	
20	Comparison between group "A" and group	121
	"B" as regard fetal complications.	
21	Maternal side effect in group "A".	122