

**NON-INVASIVE COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN STENTLESS
BIOPROSTHETIC VALVE AND BILEAFLET MECHANICAL VALVE
IN THE AORTIC POSITION DURING REST AND EXERCISE**

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULLFILMENT FOR THE MD
DEGREE IN CARDIOLOGY

By

Dr. Ashraf Ahmed Abdel-Maguid Ali
MS Cardiology

Supervisors

Dr. Ramez Raoof Gendy

Prof. of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

65751

Dr. Nagwa El-Mahallawy

Prof. of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Dr. Tarek Zaky

Prof. of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Dr. Ibrahim Abdel-Maguid

Consultant of Cardio Thoracic Surgery

National Heart Institute

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

1999





بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿قَالُوا سُبْحَنَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا بِكَ
عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ﴾

حَمْدُكَ يَا اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ

سورة البقرة (آية رقم ٢٢)

TO
MY
FAMILY

Acknowledgment

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my professor Dr. Ramez Raoof Gendy Professor of Cardiology Ain Shams University for his great help, support, valuable advice, unlimited effort and precious suggestions during this work.

Also to Dr. Nagwa Elmahallawy Professor of cardiology Ain Shams University for all the help she offered me for her wise direction and valuable suggestions.

Also to Dr. Tarek Zaky Professor of Cardiology Ain Shams University for his guidance, encouragement, wise direction and valuable suggestions.

Also to Dr. Ibrahim Abdelmagiud Consultant Surgeon National Heart Institute for his guidance, encouragement, continuous supervision and help in the practical and theoretical part of this study.

Also to Dr. Yossif Abdel Fattah and Dr. Sameh Elamin Consultant Surgeons National Heart Institute for their great help in the practical part during surgery and valuable suggestions

Also to my colleagues and professors in Ain Shams University and National Heart Institute for their unlimited help and support.

Lastly not the last, special thanks to all members of my family who suffered during preparation of this work

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction and Aim of the Work	1
Review of Literature	
- Historical development of artificial heart valves	3
- Types of prosthetic heart valves	8
- Evaluation of the normally functioning prosthetic heart valves	37
- Factors affecting tissue valve failure	58
Patients and Methods	67
Results	80
Discussion	133
Conclusion and Recommendations	149
Summary	151
References	155
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (1) Chronology of prosthetic valve development.	7
Table (2) Classification of artificial heart valves.	9
Table (3) Classification of the tissue valves	20
Table (4) In-vitro measured pressure gradient (ΔP) and effective orifice area (EOA) for medium and large commonly used prosthetic devices in the mitral position.	21
Table (5) Number of patients continued the study, deaths or lost during follow-up.	82
Table (6) The statistical evaluation and comparison of baseline characteristics in both groups	82
Table (7) Predominant lesion and valve size implanted in both groups.	83
Table (8) The statistical comparison of left ventricular end diastolic dimensions during different study stages in both groups.	85
Table (9) The statistical comparison of left ventricular end systolic dimensions during study stages in both groups.	85
Table (10) The statistical comparison of left ventricular fractional shortening during different study stages in both groups.	88
Table (11) The statistical comparison of left ventricular Ejection Fraction (Teicholz) during different study stages in both groups.	89

Table (12) The statistical comparison of left ventricular Ejection Fraction (MOD) during different study stages in both groups.	90
Table (13) The statistical comparison of left ventricular Stork volume during different study stages in both groups.	90
Table (14) The statistical comparison of left ventricular cardiac output during different study stages in both groups.	91
Table (15) The statistical comparison of maximum pressure gradient across aortic valve during different study stages in both groups.	93
Table (16) The statistical comparison of mean pressure gradient across aortic valve during different study stages in both groups.	95
Table (17) The statistical comparison of aortic valve area at before hospital discharge and during follow-up stages in both groups.	96
Table (18) Comparison between different valve sizes in both groups	97
Table (19) Statistical comparison of aortic regurge in both groups	98
Table (20) The statistical comparison of TEE study findings.	99
Table (21) The statistical comparison of stress echocardiographic study.	102