AFFECTING EGG QUALITY

BY

## IBRAHIM EL-WARDANY HASSAN

A thesis submitted in partial fulfiliment of

the remembers for the degree of



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

636.51 I.A

IN

266

Agriculture Science ( Poultry Physiology )

Animal Production Department

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

1988

### APPROVAL SHEET

Title: A STUDY OF SOME FACTORS AFFECTING EGG QUALITY.

Name: IBRAHIM EL-WARDANY HASSAN

s Thesis for the Ph. D. Degree has been approved by:

Sofwat Gado.

Committe in Charge

Date: 16/6/1988



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere appreciations to Prof. Dr. M. F. Amer, Professor of Poultry breeding for suggesting the problem, supervision and keen interest. My heartly thanks for his beneficial help to give me the opportuinety to continue this work through a Channel System.

I am greatly indepted to Prof. Dr. M.A. El-Zeiny,
Professor of Poultry Nutrition for his supervision;
valuable advice and help, and for revising the manuscript.

To Prof. Dr. J. Petersen, Chief of the Poultry
Production Departement, Institute of Animal Breeding and
Husbandry, Bonn University, west Germany, for his supervision; valuable guidance and giving the facilities that
enabled this tudy to be done. Sincere appreciation to
Dr. Martina Gerken, Bonn University, for her useful suggestions and help during the course of this study, and for
helping in the statistical analysis.

Sincere appreciation and heartly thanks for Prof.

Dr. F. Greuel, Professor of Animal Physiology, Bonn University for allowing and giving the facilities to work a part of this study in his Departement.

Kind acknowledgement due to Dr. G. Atz and Prof. Dr. F. Lüke for giving me the opportuinety to use the climatic chambers.

The Co-operation of the staff members of the Animal Production Departments in Ain Shams and Bonn Universities is deeply appreciated.

Thanks are also due to my Faculty and Government for the financiation of the channel system.

## CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	· I
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	•
A- Internal egg quality	1
* Albumen characteristics	5
* Albumen PH	18
* Yolk characteristics	21
B- External egg quality	28
≢ Egg shell	28
# Bacterial contamination	35
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	40
# Experiment 2	42
* Experiment 3	43
# Experiment 4	44
* Experiment 5	45
* Experiment 6	46
	49
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	52
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55
# Egg quality in LSL hens	55
# Time of oviposition	57
# Egg yolk	60
# Egg albumen	68
# Haugh units	80
* The effect of heat stress	83

11. Egg quality in Payoumi and Dokki-4 hens 90
# Effect of storage periods and temperetures 90
* Yolk characteristics
* Albumen characteristics
* Haugh Units117
# Phenotypic correlations
* Clutch size in Dokki-4 strain
III. The effect of internal egg temperature on
its quality 137
VI. Effect of age and strain
* Effect of age on egg weight
* Shell breaking strength 150
* Yolk characteristics 153
Albumen characteristics
* Albumen PH
* Strain differences
V. Bacterial contamination 182
SUMMARY187
APPENDIX TABLES194
REFERENCES 206
ARABIC SUMMARY

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>P</u> A	GE
1. Eggs weight and weight losses in LSL hens 56	5
2. Eggs yolk measurements in LSL hens 61	
3. Albumen measurements in LSL hens 70	)
4. Albumen index and Haugh units 75	j
5. Effects of heat stress on LSL hens 84	
6. Egg weight in Fayoumi and Dokki-4 hens 91	
7. Yolk measurements	
8. Albumen measurements	
9. Albumen index and Haugh units 114	
10. Phenotypic correlations in LSL hens 122	
ll. Phenotypic correlations in fay and Dokki-4 123	
12.A: Clutch size in Dokki-4 strain	
B: Time interval between successive eggs 135	
13. Effect of internal egg temperature on its quality142	
14. Effect of age on egg weight and shell strength 147	
15. Effect of age on egg yolk index and yolk PH 156	
16. Effect of age on albumen index, H.U. and albumen PH 16	6 <b>0</b>
17. Effect of strain on egg yolk and albumen quility169	
18. Phenotypic correlations 179	
19. Bacterial counts on egg shells	

	LIST OF FIGURES	D-~.
1-	The effect of the time of oviposition on egg weight	Page t
	in LSL hens	58
2-	The effect of different storage conditions on	
	Yolk height in LSL hens	6 <b>2</b>
3-	The ffect of different storage temperatures and	
	periods on yolk index of LSL eggs	65
4-	Egg albumen index in LSL eggs	77
5-	Haugh units in LSL eggs	81
6-	Yolk index in Fayoumi and Dokki-4 eggs	102
7-	Albumen index in Fayoumi and Dokki-4 eggs	11:5
8-	Haugh units in Fayoumi and Dokki-4 eggs	118
9-	Phenotypic correlations between different egg	
	quality measurements in LSL eggs	127
10-	Phenotypic correlations for Fayoumi and Dokki-4	
	eggs	128
11-	- Determination of internal egg temperature	138
12-	- Effect of the age of hens on egg weight	148
13-	- Eeffect of age on egg shell breaking strength, egg	
	yolk and albumen PH values	152
14-	- Effect of age on egg yolk index	155
15-	- Effect of age on albumen height	161

16-	Effect of age on albumen index	Page .162
17-	Effect of age on the Haugh units	163
18-	Strain differences in the Haugh unit values	172
19-	Strain differences in egg shell breaking	
	strength, and egg albumen PH values.	175
20-	Phenotypic correlations between egg albumen PH	
	value and different egg quality traits	7.80

#### INTRODUCTION

The hen's productive performance is influenced by many factors, of which the physiological ones. Several investigators reported that high environmental temperature causes heat stress to hens which results in fewer number of eggs, smaller eggs with low interior quality. (Ahvar, et al.,1982).

Mode of laying, clutch size time interval between each two successive eggs in a given clutch and position of the egg in the clutch, are also physiological factors responsible for the variations in egg components and interior egg quality.

Since local strains could be reared for more than two years, the age of such hens could influence egg size, egg components and interior egg quality, the effect of age was studied.

Another problem faces the consumer which is the short holding period for egg after laying under several environmental conditions. Such temperatures affect the interior egg quality.

Another problem facing the consumer/producer relationship is the bacterial contamination of shells of eggs. This point of view was taken into consideration.

The purpose of these experiments is to throw light on such physiological factors affecting egg production, egg components and interior and exterior quality of eggs.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Factors affecting the interior quality of eggs have been investigated for several years, with primary emphasis on environmental factors during storage of eggs and inheritad differences among hens.

## A: The internal quality of eggs:

It is known that deterioration in egg quality occurs directly after they have been laid, unless they are to be preserved under certain environmental conditions (Wesley and Stadelman, 1959 Skala and Swanson, 1962; Carter, 1968; Baker and Vadehra, 1970a; Stadelmen and Cotteril, 1973; Mountney, 1976, and Pavloviski; 1986).

Under proper storage and handling procedures and conditions, this deterioration can be slowed and a high quality egg maintained for longer periods of time.

Stadelman and Cotteril, (1973) reviewed the changes that occur in the egg from time of lay until consumer usage.

These include: thinning of the albumen, PH changes, weakening and stretching of the vitelline membrane, loss in weight and increase in water content of the yolk.

The maintenance of egg quality can be achieved by three basic methods: (1) refrigeration. (Mellor and Gardner, 1970; Maurer, 1975; Heath, et al., 1976; Osterle and Kepner, 1980; Amer and Petersen, 1983); (2) direct protection of the shell Marion, 1968; Meyer and Spencer, 1973; Imai; 1981; (3) A combination of refrigeration with one of the shell proteoting methods.

Wesley and Stadelman (1959) reported that Haugh unit Values, yolk index, and thin albumen diameter are the most practical interior quality measurements to use to get a relatively complete quality description of a normal egg. They also found that Haugh units, shape of thick albumen, and percent inner thin albumen did not change significantly during the first 24 hours after lay when eggs were held at 12.8°C. with a relative humidity of 70 percent.

The same authors concluded that, percent of thick albumen was not as good an indicator of internal quality as
some other measurements. The eggs used for their study
apparently were all from one strain of chickens.

Similar results were obtained by Baker and Vadehra (1970b) who found that the shape of the firm thick albumen envelope (Upstanding or flattened) is more important than the actual amount. They concluded that the relative position of the yolk (centered or not) may influence the height of the thick albumen and thus affect the measurement.

Skala and Swanson (1962) studied the variation in initial quality of eggs. They selected hens within a strain that lall high quality eggs and compared them to hens in the same strain that laid poor quality eggs. They found that the higher quality eggs were heavier and contained a larger amount of total white than did the lower quality eggs. They also found that the total white of the high quality eggs contained a significantly higher percentage of thick white and a lower percentage of weight of outer thin white than did the lower quality eggs.

These findings were confirmed by King et al. (1961)Amer (1972) and Petrowska and Spacek (1981) who stated that there were considerable variations in the percentage of egg components among strains and individuals withen each strain.

Studies concerning the proportions of egg components are of particular interest to processors wanting to alter the relative yield of yolk and albumen.

Cunningham et al. (1960) reported that the relative amount of albumen to yolk per egg was not influenced by the season of the year, but that as the birds aged the percent of yolk per egg increased. It has also been reported that individual hens produce eggs of different composition.

Marion et al. (1965); found significant differences in egg weights of albumen, yolk and shell from three lines of White Leghornes. Since egg size was correlated with most factors, they concluded that most of the genetic variation in components was covariation with egg size. They reported also that hens in their second year of production laid eggs with 0.7% less shell and 0.5% more yolk as compared with eggs laid during their first year. In contrast, Souibb et al. (1959) observed a significant increase in shell thickness during the second year of production when compared with shell thickness during the pullet year, but these authors indicated that this increase was probably caused by environmental factors and not by aging.