

**DOPPLER RENOVASCULAR ASSESSMENT
IN CHRONIC LIVER DISEASES**

Thesis

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of
M.D. Degree of Radiodiagnosis*

By
ABEER MAGHAWRI ABDEL-HAMID
M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc.

*E16-c7561
A.M*

6/11/99

Supervised By

PROF. DR. SALWA TAHA ISMAEL

Professor of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

**FACULTY OF MEDICINE
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY**

1999

عنه الشريف
ك د ل ه خ ا ب ج د ه ز ح ط ي
—

صفحة خارج
المداد حليب / احمد زكي
مكتبة داخلية
الاستاذة / محمد هادي
ن. هادي





«قالوا سبحانك في علم لنا إلى ما ملئتنا
إنك أنت العزيز الحكيم»



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Impressed with the encouragement of Prof. Dr. Salwa Taha Ahmed Ismaeil, Professor of Radiodiagnosis. Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University.

I wish to thank her all sincerely for her time, interest and commitment. I am also grateful to her for her insightful supervision and valuable comments which helped me to choose, initiate and complete this work in its present form, she provided detailed criticisms to which I tried to respond which helped me most to clarify many hairy points.

I wish to express my deep thanks to all staff members of Radiodiagnosis Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, my professors my colleagues for their valuable help during the study.

Finally no words can express the warmth of my feelings to my family for their patience and help.

CONTENTS

	Page No.
❖ <i>INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF WORK</i>	1
❖ <i>ANATOMICAL CRITERIA</i>	3
❖ <i>PHYSIOLOGICAL CRITERIA</i>	13
❖ <i>PATHOLOGY (Hepatorenal Syndrome)</i>	20
❖ <i>DOPPLER PHYSICS</i>	34
❖ <i>MATERIAL AND METHODS</i>	44
❖ <i>RESULTS</i>	54
❖ <i>ILLUSTRATIVE CASES</i>	90
❖ <i>DISCUSSION</i>	158
❖ <i>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</i>	177
❖ <i>REFERENCES</i>	181
❖ <i>ARABIC SUMMARY</i>	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Details	Page No.
1	Laboratory features for differentiating the 3 principal causes of acute azotemia in patients with liver disease	25
2	RI values of intrarenal arteries in control group.	55
3	PI values of intrarenal arteries in control group.	56
4	Laboratory data of all groups of patients	58
5	RI values of intrarenal arteries of group 1	60
6	PI values of intrarenal arteries of group 1	60
7	RI values of intrarenal arteries of group 2	61
8	PI values of intrarenal arteries of group 2	62
9	RI values of intrarenal arteries of group 3	63
10	PI values of intrarenal arteries of group 3	64
11	RI values of intrarenal arteries of group 4	65
12	PI values of intrarenal arteries of group 4	66
13	Comparison of RI values between control group & group 1	67
14	Comparison of PI values between control group & group 1	68
15	Comparison of RI values between control group & group 2	69
16	Comparison of PI values between control group & group 2	70
17	Comparison of RI values between control group & group 3	71
18	Comparison of PI values between control group & group 3	72
19	Comparison of RI values between control group & group 4	73
20	Comparison of PI values between control group & group 4	74

Table No.	Details	Page No.
21	Comparison of RI values between group 1 & group 2	75
22	Comparison of PI values between group 1 & group 2	76
23	Comparison of RI values between group 1 & group 3	77
24	Comparison of PI values between group 1 & group 3	78
25	Comparison of RI values between group 1 & group 4	79
26	Comparison of PI values between group 1 & group 4	80
27	Comparison of RI values between group 2 & group 3	81
28	Comparison of PI values between group 2 & group 3	82
29	Comparison of RI values between group 2 & group 4	83
30	Comparison of PI values between group 2 and group 4	84
31	Comparison of RI values between group 3 and group 4	85
32	Comparison of PI values between group 3 and group 4	86
33	Comparison of mean RI values between the different groups and the control group.	87
34	Comparison of mean PI values between the different groups and the control group.	89

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Details	Page No.
1	Longitudinal section through the kidney	5
2a	Segmental arteries.	7
2b	Segments of the kidney.	7
3	Diagram showing vascular supply of the kidney	9
4a	Sagittal sonographic image of the right kidney.	11
4b	Transverse sonographic image of the right kidney.	11
5	Diagram of the Doppler effect.	35
6a	Normal Doppler waveform of the segmental artery	43
6b	Normal Doppler waveform of the interlobar artery.	43
6c	Normal Doppler waveform of the arcuate artery.	43
7	The path of the Doppler US beam and display of the Doppler signal.	49
8	Diagram illustrates comparison between all studied groups regarding mean values of RI	87

Figure No.	Details	Page No.
9	Diagram illustrated comparison between all studied groups regarding mean values of PI	88
10	Case No. (1)	92,93
11	Case No. (2)	96, 97
12	Case No. (3)	100-102
13	Case No. (4)	105, 106
14	Case No. (5)	109, 110
15	Case No. (6)	113-115
16	Case No. (7)	118-120
17	Case No. (8)	123-125
18	Case No. (9)	128-130
19	Case No. (10)	133-135
20	Case No. (11)	138-140
21	Case No. (12)	143,144
22	Case No. (13)	148, 149
23	Case No. (14)	152, 153
24	Case No. (15)	156, 157

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HS	Highly significant
NS	Non significant
PI	Pulsatility index
RI	Resistive index
S	Significant
SD	Standard deviation
US	Ultrasonography

