STUDIES ON INSECT VECTORS OF PLANT VIRUS DISEASES INFESTING LEGUMES IN EGYPT

BY

GOUDA MOHAMED MAHMOUD EL DEFRWY

No.

ьb,

B. Sc. Agric (Ain Shams)
M. Sc. Agric. (Ain Shams)



THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of The Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Entomology

Plant Protection Department
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain - Shams University

1987

APPROVAL SHEET

Title of Thesis: STUDIES ON INSECT VECTORS OF PLANT VIRUS

DISEASE INFESTING LEGUMES IN EGYPT.

Name of Student: Gouda Mohamed Mahmoud El-Defrawy

Degree : Doctor of Philosophy in Entomology.

Approved by

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr. H. A. Ablel Rahman

Frof. Dr.

(Committee in Charge)

Date : 7 /2 /1987.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been carried out in the Department of Aphid Research, Plant Protection Research Institute and Department of Virology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. The writer wishes to express his sincere thanks and gratitude to Dr. E.A. El-Kady, Professor of Entomology, Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for supervising the work, valuable guidance and keen interest in the progress of the work.

The writer wishes to express his deep appreciation and thanks to Prof. Dr. E.K. Allam and Prof. Dr. M. Abul-Nasr, Professor of Virology in the Faculty for their supervision, interest and for valuable guidance in the part concerned with the study of the virus-vector relashionship. Thanks should also be extended to all my colleagues in the Department of Entomology especially Prof. Dr. S.I. Bishara, Professor of Entomology at Plant Protection Research Institute and Prof. Dr. A.S. Gamal El-Din Professor of Plant Virology at Plant Pathology Research Institute for their help and facilities offered throughout this work.

Sincere thanks are due to the staff of Aphid Research Department in my Institute for their kind cooperation throughout the work.

1

CONTENTS

	Page
THEODYLEGITON	1
INTRODUCTION	_
PART I	
Relation Between Aphids and Leguminous	
Plants	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
A. The seasonal occurrence of different aphid species	
infesting healthy and diseased faba bean and cowpea	
plants	13
B. Susceptibility of faba varieties to infestation with	_
the cowpea aphid, A.craccivora	15
C. Evaluation of faba bean loss in yield components due	
to aphid infestation	17
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	21
A. Role of Aphids in the spread of plant virus disease	21
infesting leguminous crops	21
1. The role of different aphid species as vectors of	4.4
pea leaf roll virus disease to faba bean plants .	21
I.(1). The natural occurrence of Pea leaf roll virus	21
disease in the field	21
i. Description of symptoms of PeLRV disease	21
in the plant	21
ii. The seasonal occurrence of PelRV disease	21
in the field	22
1.(2). Aphid abundance in relation to the spread of	22
Pea leaf roll virus disease in faba bean	24
	•
i. Aphis craccivora	2 4 28
iii. Myzus persicae	
iv. Acyrthosiphon spp.	31 33
TAS WOLLD THAT DAME SESSES SESSES SESSES SESSES SESSES SESSES	33

CONTENTS : Cont'd.

		Page
	2. The role of different aphid species as vector	s
	of mosaic virus to cowpea plants	. 39
	2.(1). The natural occurrence of cowpea aphid	_
	borne mosaic virus disease in the fiel	d 39
	i. Description of symptoms of CAMV dis	-
	ease on plants	. 39
	ii. The seasonal occurrence of cowpea	
	mosaic disease in the field	. 40
	2.(2). Aphid abundance in relation to the	
	spread of cowpea aphid-borne mosaic	
	virus	. 42
	i. Aphis fabae Scop	. 42
	ii. Aphis craccivora	. 46
В.	Susceptibility of faba bean varieties to infestat	ion
	with the cowpea aphid, Aphis craccivora	52
	1. Greenhouse screening experiments	
	i. Season of 1982/1983	. 52
	ii. Season of 1983/1984	57
	2. Field screening experiments	64
	i. Season 1983/1984	. 64
	ii. Season 1984/1985	. 70
C.	Faba bean loss in yield components due to aphid	
	infestation A. craccivora	
	1. Under greenhouse conditions	. 78
	1.(1). Whole plant weight	
	1.(2). Dry pods weight	. 83
	1.(3). Straw weight	-
	1.(4). Seeds weight	. 86
	2. Under field conditions	88

CONTENTS : Cont'd

_	Page
PART II	
Aphids Role in Transmission of Pea leaf	
Roll Virus and Cowpea Aphid-Borne Mosaic	
Virus	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	94
MATERIALS AND METHODS	114
1. Source of the virus	114
2. Diagnostic indicator plants	116
3. Virus-free aphid culture	117
4. Mode of transmission	118
i. Mechanical transmission	118
ii. Seed transmission	118
iii. Insect transmission	119
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	120
I. Aphids role in transmission of Pea leaf roll virus	
disease to faba bean	120
1. Virus source	120
2. Identification of the virus isolate	122
i. Host range	
ii. Transmission of PeLRV isolate	126
3. Effect of the source plant species on the	
transmission of virus by aphids	127
4. Aphid vector-PeLRV relationship	130
i. Transmission of PeLRV by different aphid	
species	130
ii. Transmission of Pea leaf roll virus by the	
different stages and forms of the aphid vector	134
iii. The relation between number of aphids/plant	
and rate of virus transmission	137
iv. Acquisition feeding period of PeLRV	138
v. The latent period of PeLRV in aphid vectors.	141
vi. Inoculation feeding period of PeLRV by	
A. pisum and A. craccivora	744

CONTENTS : Cont'd.

	<u> </u>
vii. Effect of serial daily transfer of viru-	
liferous aphids on virus retention	
viii. Transmission of pea leaf roll virus by	
different clones of A. pisum	151
IL. Aphid role in transmission of cowpea aphid	
borne mosaic virus disease to cowpea plants	155
1. Virus source	155
2. Identification of the virus isolate	155
i. Host range	155
ii. Symptomatology	157
iii. Physical properties	158
iv. Transmission of the virus isolate	160
3. Aphid vectors-CAMV Relationship	162
i. Transmission of cowpea aphid-borne mosaic	
virus (CAMV) by different stages and	
forms of aphid species	162
ii. The relation between number of aphids/	
plant and rate of virus transmission	167
iii. Pre-acquision starving period	167
iv. Acquisition of CAMV by A. craccivora and	
M. persicae	170
v. Inoculation of CAMV by A. craccivora and	
M. persicae	172
vi. Retention of infectivity	175
PART III	
Effects of Two Legume Viruses On the	
Biology Of Two Aphid Species	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	178
MATERIALS AND METHODS	182
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	184
1. Effect of the persistent virus (PeLRV) of pea	·
aphid, A. pisum	184
i. Duration of nymphal stage	184
TO DISTRIBUTE DESCRIPTION TO THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	TO+

CONTENTS : Cont'd.

·	Page
-	
ii. Duration of adult stages	188
(a) The pre-parturition period	188
(b) The parturition period	191
(c) The post-parturition period	193
iii. Longevity	194
iv. Fecundity	195
2. Effect on the non-persistent virus (CAMV) on cowpea	
aphid, Aphis craccivora	201
i. Duration of Nymphal stages	201
ii. Duration of Adult stages	204
(a). The pre-parturition period	501+
(b). The parturition period	207
(c). The post-parturition period	209
iii. Longevity	210
iv. Fecundity	212
SUMMARY	217
REFERENCES	226
ARABIC SUMMARY.	

INTRODUCTION

10/

INTRODUCTION

Leguminous crops are important in the diet of a large percentage of the population of the world. They are grown for home use, for market, for canning, for freezing, or for seed purposes. The most important food legumes are Faba bean, Vicia faba L.; Beans, Phaseolus vulgaris (L.); Pea, Pisum sativum L.; Cowpea, Vigna sinensis (Savi.); Lentil, Lens esculenta (Moench); Fenugreek, Trigonella foenum-graecum L.; Lupin, Lupinus termis (Forsk.); and Lucerne, Medicago sativa L., .. etc.

Legumes are liable to attack by several insect pests from the early stage of growth through the late developmental phase to the post harvest stage. The most important of the field insects in several countries as well as in Egypt are the different species of aphids, which cause severe crop losses principally by direct feeding damage and transmission of virus diseases.

The pea leaf roll virus (PeIRV) and cowpea aphid-borne mosaic virus (CAMV) are among the most important viruses known to infect \underline{V} . faba and \underline{V} . sinensis, respectively. They infect many other species of legumes, and are recorded in many parts of the world.

Because of the importance of the two viruses in the cultivation of food legumes in Egypt and a lack of information concerning various aspects in transmission

of the two viruses by insects, an investigation was undertaken to study the virus-vector relationship and susceptibility of certain faba bean varieties to aphid infestation. The economic threshold and the economic injury levels for Aphis craccivora on faba bean crop were studied. These are first records in Egyptian environment.

PART I

RELATION BETWEEN APHIDS AND LEGUMINOUS PLANTS

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Aphid Species Associated With Legume Crops :

Aphids are long-established pests of legume species in many parts of the world. The most important aphid species infesting legumes is the black bean aphid or "black-fly" Aphis fabae Scop., once known also as "Collier" and "black dolphin", which causes severe crop losses principally by direct feeding damage. The pea aphid,

Acyrthosiphon pisum (Harris); Acyrthosiphon sesbaniae

Karkarji David and the vetch aphid, Megoura viciae Buckt., are occasional pests which seldom cause economic damage by feeding but are associated more with the transmission of several virus diseases of Vicia faba L. The cowpea or groundnut aphid, Aphis craccivora Koch, is the principal pest of legumes in the world and causes damage both by direct feeding and transmission of virus diseases.

Legume is also an occasional host for several very polyphagous aphids, one of which the green-peach aphid, Myzus persicae (Sulz.) which may transmit virus disease to Vicia faba.

As far as legume aphids are concerned, there are many reports from different parts of the world indicating the relative importance of these aphid species and their seasonal occurrence on faba bean and cowpea plants in the spread of disease agent.

2

Kirkpatrick (1955) noticed that Aphis craccivora was an abundant species infesting legumes.

Falk (1958) found that Aphis fabae caused a considerable damage to broad beans (V. faba) in Berlin.

Johnson (1966) found that the condition of the foodplant (Vicia faba) can have an important influence on the development of winged forms in A. craccivora in the field.

Smith and Bérube (1966) found that the size of populations of Macrosiphum (Acyrthosiphon) pisum (Harris) depended on food-plant species, aphid type, stage and number initially present, time of growth and some physical factors in Canada.

White (1967) reported that A. craccivora was found commonly on native plants of nine leguminous species which serve also as sources or reservoir of virus diseases transmitted by A. craccivora in Australia.

Wegorek and Ruszkiewicz (1968) found that the common aphid species occurring on leguminous fodder plants were Acyrothosiphon pisum, Therioaphis trifolii, Myzus persicae, Aphis fabae and A. craccivora. Population densities were affected by weather and declined after heavy rain in Poland.

El-Kady (1969) stated that A. sesbaniae was observed for the first time in Egypt on Vicia faba as well as A. pisum which naturally attack broad bean.