

# OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

## THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT  
OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE OF  
M. Sc.

BY

MOHAMED BAYOUMI ALI HASSAN

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education  
Ain Shams University

UNDER SUPERVISION OF

Prof. Dr. Abd El-Satter A. Dabbour

Prof. Dr. Mahamed Sayed Ali Osman

Professor of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of  
Science, Ain Shams University.

Professor of Pure Mathematics and  
Operations research, M. T. C., Cairo

*A. Dabbour*

*M. A. Osman*

Dr. Mokhtar Ahmed Abd El-Naby

Lecturer of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Education,  
Ain Shams University.

*M. A. El-Naby*

SUBMITTED TO  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
(CAIRO)

1989

Central Library - Ain Shams University

1989/12



30497



5 11. 65  
H.B

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*I am greatly obliged to Dr. Osman, M. S. Professor of Mathematics, M. T. C., Cairo, Egypt, for his valuable comments and contributions during the preparation of this research. My sincere thanks are also dedicated to him for his constructive guidance, continuous help, warm encouragement and generous assistance throughout all stages of this research.*

*I would like also to express my deepest thanks to Dr. Abd El-Sattar A. Dabbor Professor of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.*

*I would like to express my deepest thanks to Dr. Mokhtar Ahmed Abd El-Naby Lecturer of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University.*

*My sincere guidance to all Friends about their continuous help, and patience in giving me their experience.*

*My thanks also extended to all the staff members of my faculty.*

*I wish also give all deepest thanks to my family about giving me all attention and warm encouragement during all daily life.*

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
SUMMARY	i
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER I : MULTICRITERION DECISION MAKING, THEORY AND METHODOLOGY, A SURVEY.	
1.1 Multiobjective model Formulation.	6
1.2 Scalarization Techniques.	8
1.2.1 Weighting scalarization approach.	8
1.2.2 $k^{th}$ objective Lagrangian approach.	9
1.2.3 $k^{th}$ objective $\epsilon$ -constraints approach.	10
1.2.4 The generalized Tchebycheff norm.	11
1.2.5 Extended generalized Tchebycheff norm.	13
1.3 Relation between the three common scalarization techniques.	14
1.3.1 Relation between the weighting and $k^{th}$ $\epsilon$ -constraint approaches.	14
1.3.2 Relation between the $k^{th}$ Lagrangian and the $k^{th}$ objective $\epsilon$ -constraint approaches.	15
1.4 Different combined approaches to scalarize Wep's	15
1.5 Goal programming approach.	21
1.5.1 The basic concept of goal programming	21

1.5.2	Iterative approach for handling goal programming problems.	24
CHAPTER II : A COMBINED SCALARIZATION APPROACH FOR TREATING VECTOR OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS.		
2.1	Problem Formulation.	27
2.2	Characterization of the efficient solution for Vop.	28
2.3	Qualitative analysis of basic notions.	31
2.3.1	Characterization of the set of feasible parameters.	31
2.3.2	Characterization of the stability set of the first kind.	32
2.4	Related parametric convex programming.	35
CHAPTER III : A COMPROMISE APPROACH IN MULTICRITERION DECISION MAKING PROBLEMS.		
3.1	Development of the generalized distance function approach.	40
3.2	An algorithm for determining the compromise set for linear programming.	42
3.2.1	The algorithm (Alg I).	43
3.3	Goal programming approach for finding the set of best compromise solutions.	47
3.3.1	The algorithm (Alg II).	48

3.4	Determination of the complete efficient compromise set using goal programming.	53
3.4.1	The algorithm (Alg III).	55
3.5	Determination of the complete efficient set.	56
3.5.1	The algorithm (Alg IV).	56
3.6	Determination of a given solution to multi- criterion making problem using goal programming.	61
3.6.1	The algorithm (Alg V).	61
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH.		66
REFERENCES.		68
ARABIC SUMMARY.		

## SUMMARY



## SUMMARY

In the present work, a study of vector optimization problems (VOP) is made. Several new combined problems are introduced for characterizing the efficient set of vector optimization problem. This thesis introduces new approach which combines the characteristics of both the  $k^{\text{th}}$  objective lagrangian problem and the proper equality constraint problem. Also, some of the basic notions in parametric convex programming are redefined and analyzed for the proposed approach. These notions are the set of feasible parameters, the solvability set and the stability set of the first kind.

So we introduce new technique which give decision maker freedom in choosing the solution among the complete nondominated solutions using  $L_p$ -metric [generalized distance function]. And new algorithms for determining the best compromise set using goal programming via min-max technique, the complete compromise set, the complete efficient set via goal programming technique, direct relation between multicriterion decision making approaches and goal programming technique.

This thesis contains three chapters:

Chapter I : Presents a survey on the most important theorems and methods for solving VOP problems.

12

Chapter II : Introduces the formulation and analysis of a combined forms for characterizing the efficient solutions of VOP.

Chapter III: Introduces several algorithms for treating multi-criterion decision making problems.

- An algorithm for determining the compromise set for linear programming problems using  $L_p$ -metric space (Alg I).
- An algorithm for determining the best compromise set using min-max technique via goal programming (Alg II).
- An algorithm for determining the complete efficient compromise set using goal programming (Alg III).
- An algorithm for determining the complete efficient set (Alg IV).
- An algorithm for determining a given solution to multi-criterion decision making problem using goal programming (Alg V).

Also:

The main results of the present work are included in [25], [26].

## INTRODUCTION

Mathematical programming is an important branch in the field of operations research. It deals with the formulation and solution of optimization (minimization, maximization) problems. As a consequence the multicriterion decision making problem, or vector optimization problem (VOP) appears when decision maker must take a decision which optimize more than one conflicting objectives. It is a fundamental principle in VOP problems that the solution of these problems can be characterized as a solution of a certain single objective optimization problem, or a scalar optimization problem. The solution of VOP is referred in literature as efficient, non-inferior, pareto-optimal or nondominated solution (N).

The most common strategy is to characterize noninferior solutions in terms of optimal solutions of appropriate scalar optimization problems (SOP). Among the many possible ways of obtaining a scalar problem from VOP. There are common forms of scalarization to characterize the nondominated solutions of VOP which are the weighting problem  $P(w)$ , the  $k^{th}$  objective Lagrangian problem  $P_k(u)$ , the  $k^{th}$  objective  $\epsilon$ -constraint problem  $P_k(\epsilon)$ , the generalized Tchebycheff norm  $P(\beta)$  and the extended generalized Tchebycheff norm  $(P_\beta^\alpha)$ .

The ability of solving VOP relies completely on the success of solving the resulting SOP. Therefore a great deal of work has

been done to characterize the efficient solution of VOP using the corresponding SOP.

Yu, (1974) introduced a generalized concept for efficiency which is based on the domination structure. The concept of non-inferior solution was introduced at the turn of the century by pareto, (1986).

Kuhn and Toker, (1951) published a necessary and sufficient conditions for (proper) noninferiority.

Gass, Saaty and Zadeh solved VOP using the weighting problem. Haimes [5] introduces other forms of scalarization which are the Lagrangian problem and the constraint problem.

Lin [15] proposed another SOP to generate the efficient solutions of VOP which named by the proper equality constraint problem.

Bowman [3] showed that the solution of VOP can be characterized in terms of the generalized Tchebycheff norm problem and Choo. gave an extension to the generalized Tchebycheff norm to characterize the proper efficient solution of VOP even in the non convex case.

Wendell and Lee [5] combined the characteristics of both the weighting problem and the constraint problem to introduce another form of (SOP) which is called the Hybrid approach problem.

Elsawy, Mohamed and Osman & Dauer introduces several approaches which combined the characteristics of the weighting, Lagrangian, proper equality,  $k^{\text{th}}$  -  $\epsilon$  constraint approach, the generalized Tchebycheff norm and the extended generalized Tchebycheff norm.

For these approaches the notions of the set of feasible parameters, the solvability set, the stability sets, were defined and analyzed for parametric convex nonlinear programming problems.

The importance of these results in VOP can be seen by the fundamental role which parametric techniques play in vector optimization problems [19, 20, 21].

On the other hand, goal programming is designed to solve the problem that satisfying (possible conflicting) goals as best as possible when some of the goals have a higher priority than other, which introduced by A. CHARNES and W. Cooper [13].

Y. IJIRI [13] used a generalized inverse approach to study this problem. The modification of the simplex method introduced by Charnes and Cooper for solving linear goal programming was thoroughly developed by S. Lee [13, 14] who also presented number of applications. All of these approaches are restricted to linear systems.

DAUER J. P., and KRUEGER [7] introduced an iterative algorithm for solving general goal programming problems. This approach enables one to solve linear, non linear, integer and other goal

programming problems using the corresponding optimization technique in an iterative manner, this method allows a sensitivity analysis equivalent to that available for the corresponding optimization technique.

SCHNIEDERJANS and JWAK (1982) proposed new approach for solving general goal programming models, LEMKE (1954) originally presented the dual simplex algorithm for linear programming.

DAVID L. OLSON (1984) compared Four algorithms in terms of accuracy and time requirements; these algorithms are Lee's modified goal programming simplex, revised simplex program developed by OLSON, Arthur and Ravindran's hierarchical solution procedure and Schnieder Jans and Kwak's dual simplex approach. He concluded that the dual simplex method appears to have superior computational times for models with a large proportion of positive deviational variable in the solution. The revised simplex algorithm appears more consistent in time and accuracy for general goal programming models.

FLAVELL (1976) introduces the notion of the best compromise set using goal programming approach via min-max technique [11].



# **CHAPTER I**

## **MULTICRITERION DECISION MAKING, THEORY AND METHODOLOGY, A SURVEY**

٢٤