9/= Tou

Standard leaf Water Stress in Banana

Plant in Relation to Soil Moisture

Ву

Rawheya Bedier Mohamed Attia

B. Sc. Agric. (Horticulture),

Ain-Shams University (1980).

23670

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

In

Horticulture Science

Department of Horticulture

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

13 U 172 R B



1986

Approval Sheet

Title of Thesis: Studies on Leaf Water Stress in Banana Plant in Relation to Soil Moisture.

Name : Rawheya Bedier Mohamed Attia .

This Thesis for the M. Sc. Degree Has Been Approved

by :--

Loum A Harrabable

(Committee in Charge)

Date: / / 1986 .



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my most sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ahmed Labibe Et-Tomi, Professor of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams University for suggesting the current study, his supervision, continuous guidance, kind support, revision of the manuscript, and fruitful encouragement.

Deep thanks are offered to Dr. Ahmed Montasser,
Professor of Horticulture, Ain-Shams University for his
supervision, sincere help, useful criticism during the
whole work and preparing this manuscript.

I would like to express my great thanks to Dr. Zeinab,

H. Behæiry, Associate Professor of Horticulture, Ain-Shams
University for her suggestion of the problem, sincere help,
guidance and valuable advice.

My sincere gratitude to Dr. M. A. Salama, Associate Professor of Horticulture, Cairo University, El-Fayoum, for his advice, kindness and sincere help during the preparation of this thesis.

Thanks are also due to all those whose kind help made this work possible .

CONTENTS

Contents

		Page
(1)	Introduction · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
(2)	Review:	2
	Effect of irrigation treatments on :	2
	2.1. Leaf water stress	4
	2.2. Stomatal number and leaf anatomy	6
	2.3. Growth	11
	2.4. Chemical analysis	15
(3)	Material and Methods:	24
	3.1. Leaf water stress	24
	3.2. Stomatal number and leaf anatomy : • · · ·	27
	3.2.1. Density and dimention of stomata .	28
	3.2.2. Anatomy of stomata	23
	3.3. Growth :	29
	3.3.1. Pseudostem length	29
	3.3.2. Pseudostem circumference	29
	3.3.3. Number and area of leaves	29
	3.3.4. Percentage of dry matter	30
	3.4. Some other growth measurements at the end of	
	Sersin :-	30

	Page
3.4.1. Percentage of suckers of different	
lengths	30
3.4.2. Percentage of flowering	30
3.4.3. Percentage of peepers around the plant.	30
3.4.4. Weight of suckers	30 ·
3.5. Chemical compositions :	30
3.5.1. Total Chlorophyll content	30
3.5.2. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium .	31
(4) Results and discussion :	32
4.1. Effect of irrigation treatments on leaf water	
stress	32 .
4.2. Effect of irrigation treatments on stomatal	
number and leaf anatomy :	45
4.2.1. Density and dimention of stomata.	45.
4.2.2. Anatomy of stomata	49
4.3. Effect of irrigation treatments on :	6 1
4.3.1. Growth	6 1
4.3.1.1. Pseudostem length	61
4.3.1.2. Pseudostem circumference .	62
4.3.1.3. Number and area of leaves .	64
4.3.1.4. Percentage of dry matter .	71-

	Page
4.3.2. Some other growth measurements at the	
end of season :- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73
4.3.2.1. Percentage of suckers of	
different lengths	73
4.3.2.2. Percentage of flowering .	74
4.3.2.3. Percentage of peepers around	
the plant	75
4.3.2.4. Weight of suckers	75
4.4. Effect of irrigation treatments on chemical	
composition :- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	78
4.4.1. Total Chlorophyll content	78
4.4.2. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium .	82
5) Summary	84
6) Literature cited	89
2) trobia Simmonia	

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

Banana plant is believed to be originated in hot tropical regions of South Asia, probably in Indu Malysya regions. It is a tropical plant and it needs well distributed rainfall, or regular irrigation through the year, and absolutely no frost in winter.

It had been disclosed by Behairy (1978) that the most suitable growth and high banana yield could be attained under 75% level of the available soil moisture all over the year under Egyptian conditions.

Meanwhile, the relationship between leaf water stress and soil moisture and their effects on stomatal numbers, leaf anatomy, and plant growth remained to be investigated.

Accordingly, this study was selected to give further information on these fields using the Hindi cultivar, the most wide spread cultivar in Egypt as the material for this study.

REVIEW

Review

2.1. Effect of irrigation treatments on leaf water stress :

Boyer (1973), carried out an experiment on the effect of water potential on transpiration, stomatal opening and vegetative growth in young Cacao in soil maintained at field capacity or subjected to flooding or drying treatments. He found that, both the leaf water saturation deficit and leaf water potential were determined as indicators of plant maisture status.

Moatani et al (1977), suggested that, trunk growth was more sensitive to leaf water stress than leaves. Leaves stopped growing when \(\psi \) was dropped to about -8 bars and -15 bars -20 bars, respectively in satsuma.

Takano (1977), found that, on summer days with temperatures of 30° - 36°C water saturation deficit (WSD) in leaves was at its maximum value (13%) in the morning and decreased during the rest of the day.

Fereres et al (1979), exposed valencia orange trees to a single water stress, under field conditions at Tacna, Arizona, Rehydration began immediately after irrigation

and leaf water potentials reached normal values in less than a week .

Ashizawa et al. (1979), found that, the leaf water potential (LWP) of satsuma in watered soil was highest (about -3 bars) before sunrise, and there was close correlation between LFW and weather conditions. On clear day the variation during the daytime was very large, but on cloudy days it was small, LWP was strongly affected by light intensity and to a lesser extent by air temperature. It is suggested that in the field LWP can be used to estimate irrigation time.

Levy(1983), used Alemow(Citrus macrophylla) seedlings which were subjected to moderate or severe stress by watering them at different intervals for several irrigation cycles. He found that, severe water stress reduced transpiration but increased leaf water potential while moderate water stress reduced transpiration less and did not affect leaf water potential. It is suggested that moderate water stress influence only stomatal conductance and not root and shoot resistance.