Y 1 1-50/

STUDIES ON FERMENTED DAIRY FOODS

By

ALI ABD EL-AZIZ ALI
B Sc. Agric. (Dairying) 1981
Ain Shams University

THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

(Dairying)

TO

Food Science Dept.
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
(CAIRO)

1987

APPROVAL SHEET

Fitle: SPUDIES ON FERLENTED DAIRY FOODS.

Name : ALI ABD-EL-AZIZ ALI

This Thesis for the M.Sc. Degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr.

Prof. Dr. 5.M- Val

Prof. Dr.

Committee in Charge

Date: / /1987



DEDICATION

To my:

FATHER,

MOTHER,

WIFE and REEM

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude and sincere appreciations to Prof. S.M. KHALAFALLA, and Prof. A.E.A. HAGRASS, Professors of Dairying; and Dr. E.O. FAYED and Dr. Y. A. A. EL-SAMRAGY, Lecturers of Dairying, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams University; for their guidance, valuable suggestions, encouragement and every possible help they kindly offered throughout the course of this work.

I am very much indebted to all the staff members of the Department for their assistance and encouragement.

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTION	• • • •	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	• • • •	3
I. Chemical and microbiological as-		
pects of some fermented dairy food	s	3
II. Biochemical activities of lactic		
starter cultures.	• • • •	23
MATERIALS AND METHODS	• • • •	31
I. Laban Rayeb samples	• • • •	31
I.A. Source of samples	• • • •	31
I.B. Preparation of samples	• • • •	31
I.C. Chemical analysis	• • • •	31
I.C.l. Total solids	• • • •	31
I.C.2. Total and soluble nitrogen		
content	• • • •	31
I.C.3. Fat content	• • • •	
I.C.4. Lactose content	• • • •	32
I.C.5. Titratable acidity and pH	• • • •	32
I.C.6. Acetaldehyde content	• • • •	32
I.C.7. Diacetyl content	• • • •	32
I.D. Statistical analysis	• • • •	32
I.E. Microbiological examination	••••	32
I.E.1. Culturing the organisms in	•	
Laban Rayeb.	• • • •	32
I.E.l.a. Total viable count	• • • •	33
I.E.1.b. Lactic acid bacterial count	• • • •	33
I.E.l.c. Yeasts and moulds count	• • • •	33
I.E.l.d. Coliforms count	• • • •	33
I.E.2. Isolation and identification of		
lactic acid bacteria	••••	34
II. Selection of enterococci starter		
cultures.	• • • •	34
II.A. Activity test	• • • •	34
II.B. Biochemical activities of selec-		
ted strains.	••••	37
III. Manufacture and examination of a		38
fermented milk.		٥ر

		Page	
III.A. Starter cultures	••••	3 8	
III.B. Manufacturing method	• • • •	3 8	
III.C. Sampling		39	
III.D. Chemical analysis	• • • •	3 9	
III.E. Microbiological examination	• • • •	39	
III.E.1. Total viable count	• • • •	3 9	
III.E.2. Lactic acid bacterial count	• • • •	40	
III.E.3. Coliforms count	• • • •	40	
III.E.4. Yeasts and moulds count	• • • •	40	
III.E.5. Psychrotrophic bacterial			
count.	• • • •	40	
III.F. Scoring of fermented milk	• • • •	41	
IV. Production of concentrated fermented			
milk.	• • • •	41	
IV.A. Manufacturing method		41	
IV.B. Sampling		42	
IV.C. Chemical analysis	• • • •	42	
IV.D. Microbiological examination	• • • •	42	
IV.E. Scoring of concentrated fermented			
milk.		42	
RESULIS AND DISCUSSION			
PART I - Chemical and microbiological			
properties of Laban Rayeb	• • • •	43	
Experimental			
PART II- Biochemical activities of entero-			
cocci starter cultures isolated			
from Laban Rayeb.		55	
Experimental			
PARF III - The use of enterococci starter			
cultures in the manufacture of			
fermented milk.		6 2	
Experimental			
PART IV- The use of enterococci starter			
cultures in the manufacture of			
concentrated fermented milk.		100	
Experimental			
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	• • • •	139	^
REFERENCES		150	
ARARTA SHAMARY			

INTRODUCTION

quality of fermented products through studies of growth and interaction of many useful microorganisms. Moreover, attention has been focused on the need of dairy industry for new isolates of streptococci as yet unused for dairy fermentations. The expansion of the processing of pasteurized milk products in developing countries to conform unit and health regulations has created a bottleneck in starter selection. Environmental conditions in each country affect the properties of the predominant native microflora and this limits the use of some "universal" starter. A realistic solution for this problem might be to select starter oultures among the native flora that could be used successfully in the dairy industry.

This work was directed mainly to study the following points:

- I. Chemical and microbiological properties of Laban Rayeb.
- II. Biochemical activities of the predominant bacterial group isolated from Laban Rayeb.
- III. The use of the predominant isolated as starter cultures in the manufacture of fermented milk.
- IV. The use of the predominant isolated as starter cultures in the manufacture of concentrated fermented milk.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Carbohydrate (% by difference)	_	59.00
Fiber %	_	2.50
Calcium (mg/100 g)	_	<i>5</i> 5•00
Iron (mg/100 g)	_	3.80
Phosphorus (mg/100 g)	-	410.00
Niacin (mg/100 g)	2.23-5.68	3•35-3•69 ^a
Riboflavin (mg/100 g)	0.147 - 0.545	0.262-0.2 86^a

a Means varied depending on the method.

El-Sadek et al. (1965) analysed ten samples of laban El-Leer, obtained from different parts of upper Egypt after varying periods of fermentation. They found that the pH values varied from 3.25 to 4.70, while the the corresponding titratable acidity ranged from 1.05 to 3.30%.

Fahmi et al. (1966) studied the chemical properties of Zabadi in U.A.R. They found that the titratable acidity ranged from 0.72% to 1.65% with an average 1.27%, and the pH varied from 3.50 to 5.30 with an average of pH 4.36.

Rosikowski (1966) studied the chemical composition of a plain commercial weighter sample. He found that it contains 1.66% lat, 10.98% total collis, 3.43% total protein, 5.15% carbohydrate and 0.75% ash.

Gorner et al. (1972) stated that acetaldehyde content of yoghurt increased during 2-4 h of incubation reaching 20-40 mg/kg in the ripe yoghurt. They concluded that to obtain good aroma, yoghurt should have an acidity of 38-40 SH°, 10-20mg acetaldehyde and 20-30 mg acetic acid/kg.

Kroger and Weaver (1973) determined the fat, protein, total solids and calorific value of 44 yoghurt samples. Their averages and ranges were 1.18% (0.89 - 2.04%) for fat, 4.29 (3.09 - 3.38%) for protein, 24.97% (15.10 - 30.73%) for total solids and 103.2 k.cal/100 g (52.3 - 127.0 k.cal/100 g).

Chemical analysis was carried out in Mish by Nassib and El-Gendy (1974). The results indicated that the pH of Mish varied from 5.2 to 7.1, the fat content from 2.2. to 8.0%, solids content from 17.6 to 47.5%, salt content from 0.3 to 13.8% and amino mitrogen content from 5.5 to 13.6 mg N/100 ml of sample.

Abou-Donia et al. (1975a) found the average values for

the chemical analysis of Egyptian market Zabady to be: pH 4.08, titratable acidity 1.17%, alcohol 0.092% and diacety 11 ug/100 g.

Alary et al. (1975) analysed 6 different commercial yoghurts. The mean results obtained were: lactose, 5.67, fat, 1.19, casein, 4.24, NaCl, 0.185, D.M. 11.29, lactic acid, 1.42, Ja, 0.156 and P.O.114.

El-Erian et al. (1975) found that the chemical analysis of Mish varied from sample to sample, depending on the components used. The moisture content varied from 55.76 to 74.14%. Salt content of Mish samples ranged from 10.3 to 18.0%, while fat percentages were between 3.3. and 18.0%. About 55.9 to 84.1% of the total nitrogen of Mish was found as free amino nitrogen.

Mert (1976) found that the moisture content of 107 yoghurt samples ranged from 80.90 to 96.00% (av. 90.62%), SNF content ranged from 30 to 181 g/L (av. 90.85 g/L) and acidity from 23 to 96°SH (av. 52.18 °SH). In 100 samples the consistency was too fluid, and in 20 samples the flavour was bitter acid or acrid of 5% samples labelled as full fat, only 8 exceeded 30 g fat/L, + contained 20-30 g fat/L, 1% contained 10-20 g/L and 28 contained \(\) 10 g/L. Of 5% samples labelled as semi-fat, 31 and 5 respectively, contained \(\sim 20 \); 10-20 and \(\sim 10 \) g/L.

9.

Abdel-Moneim (1978) reported that the titratable acidity and pH of Laban Rayeb samples were 1.12% and 5.08, respectively.

Hofi et al. (1978) studied the chemical composition of market Zabady. They found that it contained 1.91% fat, 12.32% total solids, 10.44 5NF, 0.46% total nitrogen and 0.045% non protein nitrogen. They also found that pH values varied from 3.7 to 4.6, while the corresponding titratable acidity ranged from 0.82 to 1.52%.

Kondratenko and Gosheva (1978) mentioned that the volatile substances responsible for aroma of Yoghurt appeared to be acetaldehyde, acetone, 2-butanol and ethanol and were present in very small quantities in pasteurized milk, and ethyl acetate and diacetyl that appeared after incubation. Acetaldehyde, diacetyl and ethyl acetate inc-reased during culturing at 45°C then decreased during storage at 4°C, whereas ethanol increased after the 10th day of storage.

Tamime and Robinson (1978) stated that the most acceptable Labreh" had a total solids (I.S.) of 22-32% and an acidity of 1.6-1.7% lactic acid. The optimum starting material for the process was natural yoghurt of 16% T.S. The influence of starter cultures was also shown to be

Richmondo et al. (1979) examined 47 samples of yoghurts and found that, the mean composition for 28 low fat flavoured, 14 full fat flavoured, 3 low fat plain and 2 full fat plain yoghurts respectively, were 4.26, 4.51, 5.69 and 5.66% protein, 1.56, 4.01, 1.62, and 4.71% fat and 25.83, 26.39, 16.25 and 17.88% T.S. with pH of 4.07, 3.88, 4.22 and 4.26, and energy content 106, 121, 69 and 92 cal/100 g.

Gorner (1980) reported that lactic and acetic acids, acetaldehyde and other secondary metabolic products of bacteria (V.F.A.) were important for flavour production in yoghurt. The mine flavour and aroma component of yoghurt appeared to be acetaldehyde skimmilk yoghurt likewise, had a high content of VFA formed by lipolysis. The residual fat in the skimmilk was sufficient for the formation of typical yoghurt flavour.

Rosenthal et al. (1980) studied the characteristics of concentrated yoghurt (Labneh) covered with oil. Typical results were (% wet basis): T.S., 46.48, fat, 20, salt, 4.83, protein 17.67 NH₃, 0.014, acidity, 3.42, pH 3.59, free fatty noise 0.045 (ml. ogviv./r): Chemical composition at 2 wk and 6 months storage were similar.

Restated that milk contains 4.6% lactose and yoghurt contains