

New Aspects in Management of Intractable Epilepsy

Essay Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in
Neurology and Psychiatry

By

Mohamed Anwar Mohamed

M.B., B., ch.



52935

618-853
M.A

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Amira Ahmed Zaki Dewedar

Prof. of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Taha Kamel Alloush

Ass. Prof. of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University



**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

1996

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million, from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995 (Department of Health 1996).

There is a growing emphasis on the need to improve the quality of care in the public sector. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.

One of the key challenges facing the public sector is the need to improve the quality of care. This is a complex task, as it involves a range of factors, including the quality of the staff, the quality of the facilities, and the quality of the services. The Department of Health (1996) has set out a number of key objectives for the public sector, including the need to improve the quality of care, to reduce waiting times, to improve the efficiency of the system, and to improve the financial performance of the system.



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

**قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت العليم
الحكيم**

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية ٣٢

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to my Professor Dr. Amira Ahmed Zaki Dewedar, Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her valuable contribution, kind encouragement and helpful instructions which put me in the right way.

My profound gratitude should be expressed to Dr. Taha Kamel Alloush, Ass. Prof. of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, I am kindly asking him to accept my deep appreciation for his great help, effort and encouragement.

Mohamed Anwar

List of contents

	Page
Introduction and aim of the work	1
Concepts and definition of intractable epilepsy	4
Diagnosis and assessment of patients with uncontrolled (refractory) seizures	15
New antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and polytherapy for intractable epilepsy	28
Epilepsy surgery	89
Other surgical procedures	113
Non-pharmacological treatment	134
Summary and comment	136
Conclusion	145
References	146
Arabic summary	

List of tables

	Page
1. Distribution of developmental and neurological background factors between patient refractory and responding to AED therapy	8
2. Patient referral to epilepsy center	12
3. Factors responsible for inadequate control of seizures	15
4. General principles which can be applied when confronted with a patient with apparently intractable epilepsy	15
5. Information to be included in a seizure calendar	18
6. The Chalfont seizure severity scale	19
7. Technique of long-term monitoring	20
8. Sensitivity of MRI to various histologic substrates for epilepsy	23
9. A summary of major consideration of the use of drug levels	25
10. Probable main mechanisms of action of old and new AEDs	28
11. The 10 most frequently reported adverse events in six double-blind placebo controlled, cross over trials with vigabatrin	38
12. Lamotrigine pharmacokinetic parameters	45
13. LTG open studies: Percentage of patients with >50% seizure reduction in the first 12 weeks of LTG treatment	48
14. LTG, adverse events in 4 double-blind placebo-controlled cross over studies	50
15. Summary of Gabapentin mechanistic profile	54
16. Summary of most frequent adverse events in patients receiving gabapentin	59
17. Summary of pharmacokinetics of zonisamide	75
18. Summary of clobazam: Meta-analysis of clinical observations in 880 patients	78
19. Tiagabine pharmacokinetics in humans	81
20. Disadvantage of polytherapy	83
21. General criteria that assist in the selection of potentially useful drug combination and minimize potentially adverse drug interactions	84
22. Incidence of adverse effects (particularly neurotoxicity) with AEDs	85
23. Therapeutic indexes of AEDs	86
24. Drug-drug interaction potential of AEDs	86
25. Rational drug combinations for treatment of partial seizures and generalized convulsions	87
26. Rational drug combinations for treatment of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy	87
28. Strategies for surgical intervention in epilepsy	89
29. The syndrome of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy	91
30. Relative advantages and disadvantages	102
31. Incidence of lesions in en bloc resected temporal lobes	106
32. Main histopathological diagnosis in 178 specimens from patients with temporal lobe epilepsy	108
33. Histopathological diagnosis in 79 tumours (resected from patients with temporal lobe epilepsy)	108
34. Outcome of surgery for epilepsy world wide, 1986-1990	110
35. Stimulator setting for vagal nerve stimulation	122
36. Adverse event occurring in at least 5% of patients	124
37. NCP system complications	126

Introduction and aim of the work

Introduction:

The prevalence of epilepsy is 7-9 cases per 1.000 population, approximately 1/4 of these individuals have seizures refractory to anticonvulsant medications and most of them have an epileptic focus in the temporal lobe (*Rogvi-Hansen et al., 1991*). It is estimated that 10-20% of childhood epilepsies are intractable (*Brorson and Wranne, 1987*).

70-80% of adults with epilepsy have partial and secondary generalized seizures and it is estimated that 30-45% of patients with partial seizures are often poorly controlled by available antiepileptic medications (*Hopkins, 1987*).

Epilepsy refractory to anticonvulsant medication is an incapacitating disease with high cost for the person and the society. The main problems are polypharmacy with side effects, suspicion of neurodegenerative consequences and a higher mortality (*Rogvi-Hansen et al., 1991*).

Some persons with refractory epilepsy will in time experience intellectual deterioration. The cause of this phenomenon is probably multifactorial; epileptiform brain activity, repeated and protracted seizures, especially tonic-clonic, some antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), may cause impairment of cognitive functions, and also it was proposed that this may be attributed to repeated trauma, analogous to boxer encephalopathy "Punch-drunk" (*Nakken and Lossius, 1993*).

The psychological price of epilepsy is often more obvious in adults than in children. Concrete endpoints like independent living, driving, marriage, parenthood, and gainful employment are not relevant to young children. For children, the psychosocial cost of uncontrolled seizures may include poor peer relations, behavior difficulties, poor school attendance and performance, parental overprotection, depression, anxiety, and poor self-esteem. When epilepsy persists into adulthood, there is the risk that these problems will become chronic and irreversible, with vocational and emotional disability and persistent unemployment even after control of seizure with epilepsy surgery (*Wyllie, 1992*). It is possible that earlier epilepsy surgery during the formative years of childhood may prevent this

irreversible disability and enable patients to make a normal transition into adulthood. However, this hypothesis has not been vigorously tested, and careful longitudinal studies are badly needed (*Wyllie, 1992*).

Babies with dozens of seizures each day often have stagnation or regression of cognitive development, even in the absence of demonstrable neurodegenerative disease. It has been noted anecdotally that if seizures can be stopped, development may proceed at a normal or even accelerated "Catch-up" pace. There is hope from small numbers of case reports that epilepsy surgery may increase the chance for normal development by relieving the immature central nervous system of the burden of continual seizures; but this theory remain to be proven (*Wyllie, 1992*).

Predictors of intractability include the presence of partial seizures, structural abnormalities on imaging studies, and abnormalities on the neurological examination (*Leppik, 1992*). If a person continues to have seizures inspite of adequate treatment with AEDs, surgical options should be considered (*Leppik, 1992*).

Everybody dealing with intractable patients has experienced that some of them have a lasting spontaneous remission after many years of intractability. However, the risk of serious adverse consequences of surgery is much less than that of staying intractable for years. Patients between 1 and 60 years of age can be operated on with good results and a low rate of mortality, complications, and morbidity (*Loyning, 1990*).

30-45% of patients with partial seizure are often poorly controlled by available antiepileptic medications, and some of those who are controlled experience adverse side effects. New agents that will improve seizure control and reduce side effect profile in those patients are constantly being thought (*Leppik et al., 1991*).

Patients who do not respond adequately to antiepileptic drugs and are not candidate for surgical treatment may benefit another modes of treatment: