مان الرساله بعد کار تلف مان المربع المدرع المربع ا

## DELIVERY AFTER PRIMARY CAESAREAN SECTION

Thesis

submitted for partial fulfilment of the Master Degree in OBSTETRICS and GYNECOLOGY

By

DR. IBRAHIM ABDEL AZIM SARHAN

Supervised by

or ALAA ELDIN MOHAMMED EL-ETRIBY

Ass. Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Ain-Shams University

## Dr. KHALED MOHAMMED AZIZ DIAB

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Ain-Shams University

FACULTY OF MEDICINE AIN-SHAMS UNIVERSITY

1992





## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all, praise be to ALLAH who has guided us to this, never could it be done without the help of ALLAH.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude, deepest thanks and appreciation to my Dr. ALAA EL-DIN MOHAMMED EL-ETRIBY, Assist. Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, this is not only for his keen, precise and ideal supervision of this thesis, but also for his continuous support, encouragement and help.

I am also greatly indebted to Dr.KHALED MOHAMMED AZIZ DIAB, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain-Shams University, for his kind and keen supervision throughout the entire period of this piece of work.

I am sincerely grateful to Dr. HISHAM M. MAHABA, Lecturer of Community Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for his kind performance of the statistical analyses.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cha	apter Pag		
	LIS	ST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	
I	IN	TRODUCTION	1
II	ΑI	M OF THE WORK	3
Ш	RE	EVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
	Ca	esarean section scar	, 4
	¤	Type of caesarean section scars	4
	¤	Healing of caesarean section scars	6
	¤	Evaluation of caesarean section scars	11
		History taking	11
		Clinical examination	19
		Directigations	23
	Ma	anagement of parturient with previous one caesarean section	30
	¤	Changing trends in the management	30
	¤	Patient selection and criteria for trial of labour	36
		Favourable factors for trial of labour	36
		Outpayourable factors for trial of labour	37

Chap	ter	Page	
	¤	Conduction of labour	. 39
		C Points of general agreement	39
		Progress and duration of labour	41
		° Points of controversy	42
		° Incidence of vaginal delivery after trial of labour	. 63
	n	Complications of trial of labour after prior caesarean section $\ \ .$	. 69
IV	SU	BJECTS AND METHODS	. 79
V	RE	ESULTS	. 82
VI	DIS	SCUSSION	112
VII	SU	MMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	128
VIII	RE	EFERENCES	134
	۸D	DADIC CLIMMADY	

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table	Pag	ţе
1	Frequency Distribution of the Studied Retrospective (Group I) and Prospective (Group II) Cases According to Mode of Delivery	84
2	Frequency Distribution of the Studied Retrospective (Group I) and Prospective (Group II) Cases According To Age	<b>8</b> 6
3	Frequency Distribution of the Studied Retrospective (Group I) and Prospective (Group II) Cases According To Parity	87
4	Frequency Distribution of the Studied Retrospective (Group I) and Prospective (Group II) Cases According To Gestational Age, Twin Pregnancy and Presenctation Part	88
5	Frequency Distribution of the Indications of Repeated Abdominal Deliveries without Trial of Labour in the Studied Retrospective (Group I) and Prospective (Group II) Cases	89
6	Frequency Distribution of the Outcome of Trial of Labour in the Student Cases According To Age	
7	Frequency Distribution of the Outcome of Trial of Labour in the Stude Cases According To Parity of Parturients with Previous One Caesarean Section	
8	The Effect of Previous Vaginal Deliveries on Subsequent Trial of  Labour	94

9	The Effect of the Indication of Previous Caesarean Section on the Outcome of Trial of Labour
10	The Effect of Gestational Age and Birth Weight on the Outcome of Trial of Labour
11	The Presentation in Relation to the Mode of Delivery Among Patients with Previous One Caesarean Section
12	The Effect of Cervical Dilatation on Admission on the Outcome of the Trial of Labour
13	The Effect of State of Membrane on Admission on the Outcome of the  Trial of Labour
14	Method of Vaginal Delivery in the Studied Cases
15	Maternal Complications in the Studied Cases
16	Perinatal Mortality in the Study Groups
Fig.1	Mode of delivery of twins in the studied cases99
Fig.2	Mode of delivery of breech presentations in the studied cases 100

## I INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

One of the oldest controversies in the field of obstetrics is the optimal management of the pregnant patient with a previous caesarean section. Why has this controversy become so heated in the past few years? The main reason is undoubtedly the sky-rocketing caesarean section rate which has increased by about 300% in the last decade (Flamm, 1985).

Once a caesarean section, always a caesarean section was first proposed as a clinical dictum by Craigin (1916). This dictum dates back to an era when most caesarean sections involved classical uterine incision and when antibiotics and transfusions were unknown (Saldana et al., 1979).

Now, due to changes in the type of uterine incision being mostly lower segment transverse, combined with advances in technology which allows continuous and accurate monitoring of the mother and the fetus, it is widely accepted that an attempt at vaginal delivery should be made unless there is other indication for abdominal delivery (Graham, 1984).

A recent American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Committee recommended that the concept of routine repeat caesarean birth should be replaced by a specific indication for a subsequent abdominal delivery and in the absence of a contraindication, a woman with one previous caesarean delivery with a low transverse incision should be counseled and encouraged to attempt labour in her current pregnancy (Kirk et al., 1990).

The greatest problem for the attendant is the integrity of the uterine scar. Uterine rupture has the potential for serious harm to the parturient and the fetus (Horowitz et al., 1981).

The risk of uterine rupture in patients who have previously undergone caesarean section and are allowed a trial of labour is low and not severe enough to deny the patients' vaginal delivery with its well-known advantages. Furthermore, a rupture of a lower segment scar is usually recognized and should not increase fetal risk, if appropriately managed (Nielson et al., 1989).

However, controversy remains as to which patients should be excluded from trial of labour based on previous repetitive indications, more than one uterine scar, type of previous uterine incision, or febrile morbidity during the healing of previous uterine scar (Jarrell et al., 1985).

# II AIM OF THE WORK

## AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this work was to evaluate the prevalence of parturients with previous single caesarean section, seen at Ain Shams University Hospital. Also, to evaluate their method of delivery, factors which are related to the the success of the trial of labour, and the incidence and sequelae of gapped scar occurring in these women.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE III

## CAESAREAN SECTION SCAR

## TYPES OF CAESAREAN SECTION SCARS

Caesarean section (C.S) scars are differentiated according to the location and direction of the uterine incision, which are divided into two major types and other rare varieties:

## 1. UPPER UTERINE SEGMENT INCISION:

They include the following subtypes:

- Vertical incision: which is the classical incision.
- Transverse incision: which is rarely used nowadays and was originally known as (Kherer's incision).

## 2. LOWER UTERINE SEGMENT INCISION:

Where the bladder is displaced downwards to expose the appropriate area of the lower uterine segment. They include the following subtypes:

 Transverse incision (Kerr): which is the most frequently used incision nowadays.