



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل

**EFFECT OF SOME AGRICULTURAL
PRACTICES ON EARLY AND TOTAL
YIELD OF TOMATOES**
(Lycopersicon esculentum, Mill.)

By

Mohamed Hassan Kassem

B.Sc. (Agric.) Tanta Univ., 1980

M.Sc. (Agric.) Tanta Univ., 1991

Thesis

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree
of*

Doctor of Philosophy

In

Vegetable Crops

*Faculty of Agriculture
Kafr El-Sheikh,
Tanta University*

1998

B
1-014

**EFFECT OF SOME AGRICULTURAL
PRACTICES ON EARLY AND TOTAL YIELD
OF TOMATOES**
(Lycopersicon esculentum, Mill.)

By

Mohamed Hassan Kassem

B.Sc. (Agric.) Tanta Univ., 1980

M.Sc. (Agric.) Tanta Univ., 1991

This Thesis for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy has been

Approved by:

Prof. Dr. Farouk A. El-Aidy

F. El-Aidy

Prof. Dr. Nabil M. Malash

Nabeel Malash

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Shafik I. El-Zawily

A. I. El-Zawily

Prof. Dr. Bassiouny I. El-Sawy

B. I. El-Sawy

Committee in Charge

Date: / /1998

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The writer wishes to express his deep grateful and appreciation to **Dr. Abd El-Shafik Ismail El-Zawily**, Professor of Vegetable Crops, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, for suggesting the problem, his supervision, continuous interest and advices, valuable criticism and guidance throughout the course of this study and for his great help in preparing and reviewing the manuscript.

I wish, also, to express my deep thanks to **Dr. Bassiouny Ismail El-Sawy**, Professor of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, for his supervision, continuous interest and advices, valuable criticism and guidance throughout the course of this study and for his help in reviewing the manuscript.

Many thanks are due to **Dr. Ahmed Zein El-Apedin Mohamed**, Professor of Vegetable Crops, Horticulture Research Institute Dokky, Giza for his supervision, continuous interest and guidance throughout the course of this study.

Thanks are due to **Dr. Nabil Abdel-Moneim Hassan** Associate Professor of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, for his great help and continuous interest.

I would like also to express my thanks to **Dr. El-Mahdy I. Metwally** Professor of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, for his useful advice, great guidance and continuous interest.

Many thanks are due to all Staff Members of the Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, and Vegetable Crops Research, Horticulture Research Institute, Dokky, Giza as well as Department of Horticulture, Sakha, for their valuable help and encouragement.

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
I. Effect of planting system and density	3
II. Effect of pruning.....	9
III. Effect of planting system, density and pruning interaction	18
IV. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen fertilizer	23
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	30
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	39
Part one (first experiment)	39
I. Vegetative growth.....	39
A. Effect of planting system	39
B. Effect of spacing	39
C. Effect of pruning.....	41
D. Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	43
E. Effect of planting system and pruning interaction	45
F. Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	47
G. Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	47
II. Flowering and fruit set.....	50
A. Effect of planting system	50
B. Effect of spacing	52
C. Effect of pruning.....	52
D. Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	54
E. Effect of planting system and pruning interaction	54
F. Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	57
G. Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	57
III. Early yield.....	60
A. Effect of planting system	60
B. Effect of spacing	60
C. Effect of pruning.....	62
D. Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	66
E. Effect of planting system and pruning interaction	68
F. Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	68

G.	Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	68
IV.	Total yield	72
A.	Effect of planting system	72
B.	Effect of spacing	75
C.	Effect of pruning	76
D.	Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	80
E.	Effect of planting system and pruning interaction	82
F.	Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	84
G.	Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	84
V.	Fruit quality.....	84
A.	Effect of planting system	84
B.	Effect of spacing	89
C.	Effect of pruning.....	90
D.	Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	93
E.	Effect of planting system and pruning interaction.....	93
F.	Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	97
G.	Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	99
VI.	Chemical composition	99
A.	Effect of planting system	99
B.	Effect of spacing	99
C.	Effect of pruning.....	102
D.	Effect of planting system and spacing interaction	102
E.	Effect of planting system and pruning interaction.....	102
F.	Effect of spacing and pruning interaction.....	106
G.	Effect of planting system, spacing and pruning interaction.....	106

Part two (second experiment)..... 109

I.	Effect of methods of nitrogen application on vegetative growth	109
II.	Effect of methods of nitrogen application on flowering and fruit set. ...	111
III.	Effect of methods of nitrogen application on early and total yield.	113
IV.	Effect of methods of nitrogen application on fruit quality	115
V.	Effect of methods of nitrogen application on chemical composition	118

SUMMARY..... 122

REFERENCES 133

ARABIC SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

Tomato is one of the most important, popular and widely distributed vegetable crops grown in Egypt. It is primarily important for local market, export and processing. The cultivated area of tomato in Egypt (according to lately estimation of the Ministry of Agriculture, 1996) was 412,103 feddans with an average yield of 14.6 tons/fed. Summer planting is the main season for tomato production in Egypt. It occupied 167,544 fed. with an average yield of 13.8 tons per fed.

Great efforts are needed to increase the production of tomatoes to face the increase in local requirements and foreign markets demand.

Also, production of early fruits of tomatoes is a major objective of the market growers, since markets supplied with early fresh fruits in the summer season are generally little, although the demand is great and the prices are high. Besides, high fruit quality is of a considerable importance. Pruning, density and foliar nutrition with urea play an important role in increasing the production and improving the quality in tomatoes.

Harvesting is done in a short time during the summer season, therefore, any treatment leads to increase the early crop, may improve the economy of tomato cultivation for the growers.

Pruning is a common practice in many countries in the world, either in the open field or in the protected cultivation for this purpose. In Egypt, there is no pruning application at all in the open field until now, although all the summer tomato areas are cultivated under the open field conditions.

The cost of pruning operation is inexpensive in comparison with the total costs for tomato production. Moreover, operation of pruning

may have a great effect on early crop yield and quality. Very little information are available on how to prune tomato cultivars prevailing in the summer season. The pruning of tomato may reduce the yield per plant and consequently the yield/fed., particularly if the pruning was heavy. Therefore, it was necessary to increase the density of plants more than the usual density through the control of planting system and planting spacing within the row. This may compensate the reduction in tomato yield per unit area as the yield may be increased under these new conditions.

Foliar application of urea on tomato plants is already an accepted agricultural practice for obtaining higher yields and better quality of the fruits. Urea is useful as a percentage of total N fertilizer is partially used as foliar spray for tomato plants, but most of the previous studies were conducted on cultivars which nitrogenous requirements were lower than recent determinate cultivars. Therefore, an important aim of the study was to determine the quantities of urea as foliar spray for the present tomato cultivars prevailing in the summer season.

So, the objectives of this research were to study the effect of planting system, plant spacing, pruning and their interactions as well as foliar application of urea (in two separate experiments) on growth, flowering and fruits set, early and total yields, fruit quality and chemical composition of tomato plants.

**REVIEW
OF
LITERATURE**

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

I. Effect of planting system and density:

1. Vegetative growth:

Competition among plants for light, water and nutrients sources through high plant densities may alter vegetative growth of plant.

Fery and Janick (1970) working on five types of tomatoes (indeterminate, jointless, determinate, miniature and dwarf), indicated that plant height and number of branches/plant for all entries were decreased with increasing plant population from 3, 000 to 41,000 plants/acre. Moreover, vine weight/plant was declined with increasing plant population. **Frost and Kretchman (1988)** reported that, tomato plants grown in a twin-row had a greater shoots dry weight than the single row arrangement. The dry weight per plant decreased at higher densities (43,050 plants/ha.). In addition, when density increased from 21,530 to 57,400 plants/ha., canopy coverage per unit area increased from 55% to 66%. **Grela Lorenzo *et al.* (1988)** studied the effect of plant spacing on growth and development of commercial tomato cultivars (Campbell 28, Peto mech and Roma VF/P-73). They observed that, the higher planting density (1 or 2 plants/hole) produced taller plants with more leaves. **Stoffella *et al.* (1988)** working on a variety of staked and ground-cultural tomatoes, found that plant size increased with increasing row spacing and shoot weight increased linearly with an increase in within-row spacing.

On the other hand, **Hassan (1978)** found that stem length, leaves and branches number per plant and leaf dry weight of the fifth leaf from the growing tip of tomato plants cv. Prichard were not significantly affected by plant spacing. Also, **El-Zawily (1981)** studied the effect of planting system and plant density on tomato growth (Prichard cv.). He