



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

# **The Effect of High Voltage Transmission Lines on Nearby (Gas-Oil) Pipelines & Methods of Mitigation**

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science in Electrical Engineering

(Electrical Power and Machines Engineering)

by

Ahmed Adel Al Saied Mohamed Aboul Khair

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering

(Electrical Power and Machines Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2007

Supervised By

**Prof. Dr. Hanafy Mahmoud Ismail**

**Prof. Dr. Salem Mahmoud Elkhodary**

**Associate Prof. Dr. Sabry Mosa Mohamed**

Cairo - (2015)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Electrical Power and Machines

## **The Effect of High Voltage Transmission Lines on Nearby (Gas-Oil) Pipelines & Methods of Mitigation**

by

Ahmed Adel Al Saied Mohamed Aboul Khair

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering

(Electrical Power and Machines Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2007

### **Examiners' Committee**

#### **Name and Affiliation**

#### **Signature**

Prof. Dr. Soliman El Debeiky  
Electrical Power and Machines , Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Ahdab M.K. Elmorshedy  
Electrical Power and Machines , Cairo University

.....

Prof. Dr. Hanafy Mahmoud Ismail  
Electrical Power and Machines , Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Salem Mahmoud Elkhodary  
Electrical Power and Machines , Ain Shams University

.....

Date: /2015



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Electrical Power and Machines

## **The Effect of High Voltage Transmission Lines on Nearby (Gas-Oil) Pipelines & Methods of Mitigation**

by

Ahmed Adel Al Saied Mohamed Aboul Khair

Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering

(Electrical Power and Machines Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2007

Supervisors Committee

### **Name and Affiliation**

### **Signature**

Prof. Dr. Hanafy Mahmoud Ismail  
Electrical Power and Machines , Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. Salem Mahmoud Elkhodary  
Electrical Power and Machines , Ain ShamsUniversity

.....

Associate Prof. Dr. Sabry Mosa Mohamed  
Electrical Power and Machines , Helwan University

.....

Date: /2015

## **STATEMENT**

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Electrical Engineering Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Name: Ahmed Adel Al Saied Aboul khair

Signature:.....

Date:        /        / 2015

## **RESEARCHER DATA**

Name : Ahmed Adel Al Saied Mohamed Aboul Khair

Date of birth : 26/9/1984

Place of birth : Cairo

Last academic degree : Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering

Field of specialization : Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : 2007

Current job : Senior Engineer at the Egyptian Natural Gas  
Company (GASCO)

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I am very grateful to Professor Dr. Hanafy Ismail and Professor Dr. Salem El Khodary for their kind supervision, continuous advice and helpful guidance.

I would also like to express my deep gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Sabry Mosa for every good help in all the thesis stages ,un gainful discussions and fruitful suggestions.

My deep appreciation, gratitude and thanks to my father for all what he did for me and my (mother , brother, sister, wife ,brother's wife , Mariem & Yhia ) and for the morals he have learned us.

Ahmed Adel Aboul Khair

Cairo,2015

## **ABSTRACT**

Electromagnetic fields produced by high voltage overhead transmission lines are still considered an important subject in several research areas due to their harmful effects on human health and environment. These Electromagnetic fields produces high level of induced voltages at nearby steel pipelines. This problem appeared obviously at the Natural Gas Transmission Network at the last 10 years where the pipelines share the same routes with high voltage transmission lines. The induced voltages at Gerga / Aswan pipeline and Taba / sharm pipeline reached to very dangerous levels and some technicians have been strongly shocked and exposed to death. Environmental Impact Assessment studies (EIA) are very important to be carried out before establishing of power line projects to evaluate the electromagnetic effects on the project environment especially on nearby pipelines as well as its social and health impacts. This thesis presents a comprehensive study to the induced voltages along a section of Taba / sharm Gas pipeline, 500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross section area and 208 km length which lies in the same right of way with an actual sophisticated overhead transmission line tower carrying four circuits (two 220 kV circuits and two 66 kV circuits) under different loading conditions. Three different mitigation methods were applied along the pipeline under normal and abnormal conditions (balanced, severe unbalanced loading and during different short circuit conditions). Mitigation techniques using cancellation wire, gradient control wires and using polarization cells were investigated. Results obtained showed that polarization cells give more efficient values for reduction of induced voltages using the suggested technique. A model was developed using the Alternative Transients Program (ATP) to simulate the whole system with the different mitigation methods. The effect of transmission lines maintenance scenarios on the induced voltage levels on the gas pipeline was also investigated. An economic impact assessment technique was developed to determine the appropriate and economic method.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

LIST OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABSTRACT

### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	MECHANISMMS OF PIPELINES INDUCED VOLTAGE	3
1.3	HAZARDS FROM INDUCED VOLTAGES ON PIPELINES	9
1.4	THESIS REVIEW	12

### **CHAPTER TWO : REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK**

2.1	INTRODUCTION	14
2.2	CALCULATION METHODS	14
2.3	SOFTWARE PACKAGES	19
2.4	VARIOUS PUBLICATIONS AT THIS FIELD	24

### **CHAPTER THREE: INDUCED VOLTAGES MITIGATION TECHNIQUES AND CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEM**

3.1	GENERAL	30
3.2	CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEMS	30
3.3	METHODS OF MITIGATION DEPEND ON REDUCING THE INDUCED VOLTAGE LEVELS ON THE PIPELINES	31
3.4	METHODS DEPEND ON LIMITING THE EFFECT OF THE INDUCED VOLTAGES ON THE WORKING PERSONS	35



## **CHAPTER FOUR: CASE STUDY SIMULATION PROGRAM**

4.1	INTRODUCTION	37
4.2	ATP DRAW PROGRAM AND TRANSMISSION LINES-PIPELINE REPRESENTATION	37
4.3	TRANSMISSION LINE DATA PAGE SETTINGS	40
4.4	MODEL IMPLEMENTATION	42

## **CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND COMPARISON BETWEEN DIFFERENT MITIGATION TECHNIQUES**

5.1	INTRODUCTION	47
5.2	PIPELINE AND TRANSMISSION LINES DESCRIPTION	47
5.3	PIPELINE AND TRANSMISSION LINES ROUTE	53
5.4	SIMULATION RESULTS	63
5.5	ECONOMIC STUDY FOR DIFFERENT MITIGATION METHODS	162

## **CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK**

6.1	CONCLUSIONS	164
6.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	166

## **REFERENCES**

## **List of Tables**

### **CHAPTER FOUR: Case Study Simulation Program**

Table (4.1) System types and equivalent models	40
--	----

### **CHAPTER FIVE: Results & Comparison between Different Mitigation Techniques**

Table (5.1) Transmission line capacity	50
Table (5.2) Transmission line parameters per ohm/km	50
Table (5.3) Transmission line positive, zero sequence parameters	51
Table (5.4) Pipeline and Transmission line LCC sections	63
Table (5.5) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation - Balanced Loading - UnTransposed Transmission Lines	69
Table (5.6) induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation – Light unbalanced Loading - UnTransposed Transmission Lines	72
Table (5.7) induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation –Heavy unbalanced Loading - UnTransposed Transmission Lines	74
Table (5.8) induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation –Light unbalanced Loading - Transposed Transmission Lines	76
Table (5.9) induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation –Light unbalanced Loading - Transposed Transmission Lines –reversed direction	79
Table (5.10) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation –Heavy unbalanced Loading - Transposed Transmission Lines	81
Table (5.11) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation L-G short circuit on 220 kV circuit -Transposed Transmission Lines	84
Table (5.12) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation L-G short circuit on 66 kV circuit -Transposed Transmission Lines	86
Table (5.13) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline Without Mitigation L-L short circuit on 220 kV circuit -Transposed Transmission Lines	89
Table (5.14) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case1 four circuits in service	91

Table (5.15) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case 2 (2 * 220 kV circuits in service & 1 *66 kV circuit in service)	94
Table (5.16) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case 3 (2 * 220 kV circuits in service & 2 *66 kV circuit out of service)	96
Table (5.17) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case 4 (1 * 220 kV circuits in service & 2 *66 kV circuit in service)	99
Table (5.18) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case 5 (1 * 220 kV circuits in service & 1 *66 kV circuit in service)	101
Table (5.19) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline case 6 (1 * 220 kV circuits in service & 2 *66 kV circuit out of service)	104
Table (5.20) Transmission lines Maintenance scenarios results	106
Table (5.21) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Cancellation wires mitigation systems – light unbalanced loading on 220 kV circuit	110
Table (5.22) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Cancellation wires mitigation systems –Heavy unbalanced loading on 220 kV circuit	113
Table (5.23) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Cancellation wires mitigation systems , L-G fault at 220 kV circuit	116
Table (5.24) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Cancellation wires mitigation systems , L-G fault at 66 kV circuit	118
Table (5.25) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Cancellation wires mitigation systems , L-L fault at 220 kV circuit	121
Table (5.26) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Polarization cells mitigation systems , Steady state light unbalance loading on 220 kV circuit	126
Table (5.27) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Polarization cells mitigation systems , Steady state Heavy unbalance loading on 220 kV circuit	128
Table (5.28) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Polarization cells mitigation systems , L-G fault at 220 kV circuit	131
Table (5.29) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Polarization cells mitigation systems , L-G fault at 66 kV circuit	134
Table (5.30) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using Polarization cells mitigation systems , L-L fault at 220 kV circuit	137
Table (5.31) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using gradient control wires mitigation systems, steady state light unbalance on 220 kV circuit	142

---

LIST OF TABLES

---

Table (5.32) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using gradient control wires mitigation systems, steady state Heavy unbalance on 220 kV circuit	144
Table (5.33) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using gradient control wires mitigation systems, L-G fault on 220 kV circuit	147
Table (5.34) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using gradient control wires mitigation systems, L-G fault on 66 kV circuit	150
Table (5.35) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline using gradient control wires mitigation systems, L-L fault on 220 kV circuit	153
Table (5.36) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline due to 220 kV circuits	157
Table (5.37) Induced voltage values at the Pipeline due to one 220 kV circuit	159

**List of Figures****CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

Fig. (1.1) Magnetic field & pipeline body	3
Fig. (1.2) Magnetic field resultant component	4
Fig. (1.3) Factors affecting induced voltage levels	6
Fig. (1.4) Conductive coupling during line to ground fault	7

**CHAPTER THREE: A.C MITIGATION TECHNIQUES AND  
CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEM**

Fig. (3.1) Sacrificial anode cathodic protection system	30
Fig. (3.2) Impressed current cathodic protection current flow	31
Fig (3.3) Zinc ribbon installation for AC mitigation – grounding	32
Fig. (3.4) Typical Gradient Control Wire Installation: Plan View	33

**CHAPTER FOUR: CASE STUDY SIMULATION PROGRAM**

Fig. (4.1) LCC component from ATP Draw program	38
Fig. (4.2) Line cable constants data window	39
Fig. (4.3) LCC conductor dimensions data window	41
Fig. (4.4) AC voltage source representation	42

**CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND COMPARISON  
BETWEEN DIFFERENT MITIGATION TECHNIQUES**

Fig. (5.1) Gas pipeline route at the site	47
Fig. (5.2) schematic diagram to the system	48
Fig. (5.3) 220 - 66 kV power line tower dimensions	51
Fig. (5.4) Transmission towers at the site	52

Fig. (5.5) 220 kV,66 kV transmission lines	52
Fig. (5.6) Tension tower	53
Fig. (5.7) pipeline & Transmission lines routes and crossings	54
Fig (5.8) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 76 to K.P 80	55
Fig (5.9) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 80 to K.P 84	56
Fig (5.10) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 84 to K.P 88	57
Fig (5.11) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 88 to K.P 92	58
Fig (5.12) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 92 to K.P 96	59
Fig (5.13) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 96 to K.P 100	60
Fig (5.14) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 100 to K.P 104	61
Fig (5.15) Transmission lines & pipeline route of Taba / Sharm from K.P 104 to K.P 108	62

**LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS:**

ATP	:	Alternating Transient Program
AGA	:	American Gas Association.
AC	:	Alternating Current
CCM	:	Conventional Circuit Model .
CSM	:	Charge simulation method
CP	:	Cathodic Protection
J	:	Current density
DC	:	Direct Current
E	:	Electric Field
EMTP	:	Electromagnetic transient program
EPRI	:	Electric Power Research Institute
EPR	:	Earth Potential Rise
EMI	:	Electro Magnetic Interference
ECT	:	Electrostatic Charge Tendency.
EHV	:	Extra High Volt.
F	:	Frequency.
FEM	:	Finite element method
FDM	:	Finite difference method
HVAC	:	High Voltage Alternating Current
LFI	:	Low frequency induction
LCC	:	Line Cable Constants.
$Z_m$	:	Mutual impedance
$\mu$	:	Magnetic permeability of air.
$Z_{ma}$	:	Mutual impedance between the metallic pipeline and phase a.
$Z_{mb}$	:	Mutual impedance between the metallic pipeline and phase b.
$Z_{mc}$	:	Mutual impedance between the metallic pipeline and phase c.