


Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine



Significance of Detailed Diagnosis
of
Benign Breast Lesions



A thesis submitted
in

5 2 9 3 6

partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree
of Master of Pathology

by

Ayman Mohammed Abd Al Rahman Ghanim

SUPERVISORS

Prof.Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aala El -Shawarby
Professor ,Pathology Department

Dr. Nafessa Mohammed El-Badawy
Assistant Professor,Pathology Department

Dr.Fatan Abd-El Aziz Ghazal
Lecturer,Pathology Department



1996



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine

Significance of Detailed Diagnosis
of
Benign Breast Lesions

A thesis submitted
in
partial fulfillment of the requirement of the degree
of Master of Pathology
by
Ayman Mohammed Abd Al Rahman Ghanim

SUPERVISORS

Prof.Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Aala El -Shawarby
Professor .Pathology Department

Dr. Nafessa Mohammed El-Badawy
Assistant Professor.Pathology Department

Dr.Faten Abd-El Aziz Ghazal
Lecturer.Pathology Department

1996

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to professor Dr. Mohammed El-Shawarby, who has offered me his help and encouragement. He generously dedicated much of his time to me .In fact, this thesis owes much to his sound advice and guidance.

I also extend my profound gratitude and appreciation to professor Dr. Nafessa El Badawy, for her generous advice, and kind help.

I wish also to express my deepest thanks to Dr. Faten Ghazal, for her kind help, care and valuable advice.

Finally, I am indebted to my professor, Dr. Adel Montaser, whose never-failing guidance, gentle aid and direction were indispensable to me.

List of Tables

	page
Table (1) :Classifications of cases according to Dupont and Page ,(1985).	pp.44-45.
Table (2) :Classifications of cases according to Bodian et al ,(1993).	pp.46-47.
Table (3) : Classifications of cases of fibroadenoma. according to Dupont et al ,(1994).	p.48.
Table (4) : Percentage of individual lesions according to Dupont and Page classification(1985) .	p.49.
Table (5) : Percentage of individual lesions according to Bodian et al. classification(1993).	p.52.
Table (6) :Frequency of fibroadenomas and its types according to the criteria of Dupont et al.(1994).	p.54.
Table (7) :Reclassification of cases according to Dupont and Page (1985).	pp.57-61.

- Table (8) :Reclassification of cases according to Bodian et al. (1993). pp.62-66.
- Table (9) : Reclassification of cases of fibroadenoma according to Dupont et al.(1994) P.67.
- Table(10) :Agreement percentage when applying the classification of Dupont and Page(1985). p.68.
- Table(11) : Agreement percentage regarding Bodian et al. classification(1993). p.71.**
- Table (12) :Comparison between the criteria of Bodian et al. (1993) and that of Dupont and Page (1985), regarding the degree of non atypical hyperplasia. P. 95

List of Charts

	Page
Chart 1. Percentage of individual lesions according to Dupont and Page classification (1985).	p. 50.
Chart 2. Frequency of individual lesions of the cases according to the classification of Bodian et al. ,(1993).	p.53.
Chart 3. Frequency of fibroadenoma and its types according to the classification of Dupont et al. (1994).	P. 55
Chart 4. Agreement percentage when applying the classification of Dupont and Page (1985).	P. 69
Chart 5. Agreements percentage when applying Bodian et al . classification (1993).	P.72
Chart 6. Relative risks of breast cancer associated with atypical hyperplasia and non atypical hyperplasia.	P.97
Chart 7. Ignored risky cases of fibroadenoma when applying the Dupont and Page classification (1985).	P.103

Chart 8. Relative risks of breast cancer for cases of
fibroadenoma as a function of time

P.104

List of Figures

	Page
Fig. 1. Atypical hyperplasia according to Page criteria. (1985).	P.73
Fig 2. Moderate hyperplasia and atypical hyperplasia according to Dupont and Page criteria (1985).	P.75
Fig.3. Benign breast lesion , proliferative level (2), diagnostic group (II). according to Bodian et al. (1993).	p.77
Fig.4. Cyst with apocrine metaplasia	P.79
Fig. 5. Intraductal papilloma	p. 81
Fig. 6. Benign breast lesion ,proliferative level (2), diagnostic group (Iva).	P. 83
Fig.7. Benign breast lesion, proliferative level (I),	

diagnostic group (Iva).according to Bodian et al
(1993). P .85

Fig.8. Simple fibroadenoma P. 87

Fig.9. Complex fibroadenoma according to
Dupont et al. (1994) P.89

Fig. 10. Complex fibroadenoma according to
Dupont et al. (1994) P.91

*Introduction and Aim
of the Work*

