20

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Thesis

Submitted for the partial fulfillment of MD Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Urodynamic Assessment of
Laparoscopic Versus Open Burch
Colposuspension for Genuine Stress
Incontinence

By

Khaled Ibrahim Abdallah
Master degree of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Under The Supervision of

PROF. DR. IBRAHIM ELMITWALLY SAMAHA

Professor and Chairman of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

PROF. DR. MOUNIR MOHAMED FAWZY EL-HAO

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

DR. HISHAM MOHAMED FATHY MAHMOUD

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

1999





"هالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت "ميكمال ميلعال



البقرة ؟ ٣٧

70 My Father...

70 Whom I Will Be Grateful Forever

Contents

Acknowledgment	Page
List of tables	
List of figures	
List of abbreviations	
Introduction	
Aim of the work	1
Review of literature	2
1 Anatomy of female lower urinary tract	
2 Physiology of micturition and continence	3
3 Urinary incontinence	22
4 Evaluation of incontinent patient	35
5 Treatment of stress and	73
5 Treatment of stress urinary incontinence Patients and methods	106
Results	148
Discussion	156
Summary and conclusion	205
References	214
Arabic summary	218

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor Prof. Dr. **Ibrahim ElMitwally Samaha**, Professor and Chairman of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, who allowed me to pursue this topic, supervised the study and continued to offer help far beyond the call of duty.

Likewise, I am deeply indebted to Prof. Dr. **Mounir Mohamed Fawzy El-Hao**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, tremendous assistance, continuous guidance and enthusiastic support.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to Dr. **Hisham Mohamed Fathy Mahmoud**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for offering me much of his time and experience throughout the whole work.

I cannot also forget to offer my thanks to the member staff of The Laparoscopic Surgery Unit (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University) especially the late Dr **Ibrahim Atef**, for their tremendous help during the operative part of this work, for their effective efforts and help.

In research, one does not only need the assistance of one's superiors, but also the help of one's family. As such, I must bow in respect to my parents for their tolerant support throughout this work.

At last, but not least, my heart felt thanks to all the patients participated in this work, I wish it would be of benefit for all the patients in the future.

List of Tables

	Title	Page
No	Causes of urinary incontinence.	40
1	Reversible Causes of urinary incontinence.	48
2	Age descriptive statistics and analytical difference	156
3	Age descriptive statistics and analytical differences	
4	between both studied groups. Duration of disease descriptive statistics and analytical	157
4	difference between both studied groups.	
, -	Weight descriptive statistics and analytical difference	158
5	between both studied groups.	
,	Parity descriptive statistics and analytical difference	159
6	between both studied groups.	
7	Previous laparotomy frequency and analytical	160
1	difference between both studied groups.	
8	Prolapse frequency and analytical difference between	162
O	both studied groups.	4.6.4
9	Analgesia frequency and analytical difference between	164
	both studied groups.	1//
10	Complications frequency in the laparoscopy group.	166
11	Hemoglobin descriptive statistics and analytical	168
1.1	difference between both studied groups	170
12	Duration of operation descriptive statistics and	170
	analytical difference between both studied groups.	172
13	Postoperative hospital stay descriptive statistics and	1/2
	analytical difference between both studied groups.	174
14	Duration of operation descriptive statistics and	1/4
	analytical difference between patients with and	
	without previous laparotomy in the laparoscopy	
	group.	174
15	Duration of operation descriptive statistics and	111
	analytical difference between patients with and	
	without previous laparotomy in the open surgery	
16	group. Correlation between weight and duration of operation	n 175
	Correlation between weight and duration of opening	
	in both studied groups	

17	/ ***** ***** AIRL ANALYDCAL difference	175
10	between both studied groups	1/3
18	Urodynamics preoperative data in Laparoscopy group.	177
19	group.	
	Urodynamics preoperative data in Open surgery group.	177
20	Urodynamics one-day postoperative data in	450
	Laparoscopy group.	178
21	Urodynamics one-day postoperative data in Open	178
22	surgery group.	170
22	Urodynamics one-month postoperative data in	179
23	Laparoscopy group.	
	Urodynamics one-month postoperative data in Open surgery group.	179
24	Comparison between urodynamics parameters in each	4.0.0
	or or ordical profits as regarda different 1	180
25	preoperative and one day postoperative findings	
25	companison between urodynamics narameters in and	184
	of the studied groups as regards difference between	101
26	preoperative and one month postoperative findings.	
-0	Comparison between urodynamics parameters in each of the studied groups as regards difference between	188
	one day and one month postoperative findings.	
27	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the disc.	100
20	m volume of first sensation in both groups	190
28	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference	190
29	in cystometric capacity in both studied groups	170
49	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference	191
30	in maximum detrusor activity in both studied groups.	
	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference in voiding time in both studied groups.	192
31	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference	400
	in now time in both studied groups	192
32	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference	102
22	in time to maximum flow in both studied around	193
33	Descriptive and analytical statistics of the difference	193
	in maximum flow rate in both studied groups.	0