# SOIL CUTTING

624,5136 A. I

Ву

# ADEL EBRAHEEM EL-DESSOKY

#### A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirement for the **Degree of Master of Science** 

In

Structural Engineering



# Supervised by

# Prof. Dr. Farouk I. El-Kady

Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

91791

## Prof. Dr. Mona M. Eid

professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Faculty of Enginering Ain Shams University

### Prof. Dr. Ezzat A. Fattah

Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

1994

Statement

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for

the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the

author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of

Engineering, Ain Shams University, from October 1988 to

October 1994.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other university of institution.

Date : 1994

Signature:

Name

: Adel E. El-Dessoky



#### **ACKNOWLDGEMENTS**

The author is indebted with great favour to **Dr. Farouk I. El-Kady**, The writer's major professor and supervisor of this investigation, for his direct supervision, continuous help and rational guidance throughout the research work.

Special thanks are made to my immediate supervisors Dr. Mona Eid and Dr. Ezzat Fatth for their generous assistance, helpful suggestion during the course of this study and assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

Thanks are also to the technicians of the Laboratory of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Sahms University for their co-operation and friendly help.

Lastly, I owe to my Family, Father, Brother and my Beloved Wife, without their help, this thesis can not see the light.

### **Examiners Committee**

Name, Title & Affilitation

1. Prof. Dr. Y. A. El-Kadi

Prof. of Geotechnical Eng.

Signature

2. Prof. Dr. T.A. Meki

Prof. of Geotechnical Eng.

Dept. of Structural Eng.

Faculty of Engineering.

ain Shams University.

Toroll A Alack

3. Prof. Dr. F.I. El-Kadi

Prof. of Geotechnical Eng.

Dept. of Structural Eng.

Faculty of Engineering.

Ain Shams University.

4. Prof. Dr. E.A. Fattah

Prof. of Geotechnical Eng.

Dept. of Structural Eng.

Faculty of Engineering.

Ain Shams University.

Date: / / 199

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Dept. of Structural Engineering

Abstract: of the M.Sc.

Thesis submitted by: Adel Ebraheem El-Dessoky

Title of Thesis: Soil Cutting

Supervisors:

1. Prof. Dr. F.I. El-Kadi.

Prof. Dr. Mona M. Eid.

3. Prof. Dr. Ezzat A. Omeira

Registration Date:

Examination Date:

#### Abstract:

The principal purpose of the problem which forms the basis of this study was to look into the possibility of providing a rational analytical solutions for predicting the forces on a cutting blade driven horizontally into a loose sand. However, such analytical solution must be checked by a selected number of experimental tests to verify their accuracy. In this study the mathematical solution using a limit analysis theories based on the relationship between the horizontal force and the direction of movement for a given set of soil strength parameter's and a given plate configuration. The observation of soils under conditions of failure often resent the basis for the development of important theorems leading a solutions for forces and deformations at failure.

A glass-sided tank was designed to accomplish the observation and phtographing of the total soil failure zone. A series of experimental tests performed by using cutting blades with different angles of inclination-rigidly attached to a carriage and moved through a loose sand at specified constant speed and various depths of cut. The purpose of the experimental programme was to provide data on the interaction of the chosen cutting blades embedded in frictional soil under plane strain conditions which could be compared with anlaytical results. Mainly the following facts were investigated.

- 1. The lood-displacement response of the soil.
- 2. The soil deformation field.
- 3. Failure mechanisms.

Test results showed that the experimental observations verified the postulated failure modes. Moreover, there was fairly good agreement between the predicted forces and the experimentally measured ones.

# Contents

|           |           | Pe   | ıge No. |
|-----------|-----------|--|---------|
| List of F | igures    |  | iii     |
| List of F | lates     |  | vii     |
| Chapt     | ter 1 (In | ntroduction)                                     |         |
| 1.1       | General   |  | . 1     |
| 1.2       | Purpose   | of study   | 2       |
| 1.3       | Scop of s | study  | 3       |
| 1.4       | Organiza  | ation of the study                               | 4       |
| Chapt     | ter 2 (Li | terature Review)                                 |         |
| 2.1       | Introduc  | ction  | 5       |
| 2.2       | Experin   | nental and analytical studies for inclined tools | 7       |
| 2.3       | Vertical  | soil cutting tools                               | 16      |
| 2.4       | Wide bla  | ade cutting                                      | . 21    |
| 2.5       | Simi an   | alytical method by Reece and Hettiaratchi        | 26      |
| 2.6       | Analyti   | cal method using the principle of limit          |         |
|           | equilibri | um   | 31      |
| 2.7       | Compu     | ting the loads on flat blade as attraction       |         |
|           | element   | s (grouser of buldozer belt)                     | . 33    |
| 2.8       | Predict   | ing the stress distribution and the soil         |         |
|           | deforma   | ation ussing finite element method               | 36      |
| Chap      | ter 3 (A  | nalytical Technique)                             |         |
| 3.1       | Introduc  | etion  | . 46    |
| 3.2       | Analytic  | al model   | . 46    |
| 3.3       | The me    | thod of Coulomb's theory                         | 48      |
|           | 3.3.1     | The basic assumptions of the theory              | . 48    |
|           | 3.3.2     | The proof of the theory                          | 50      |

|      |           | -  | ii - |
|------|-----------|--|------|
| 3.4  | The fric  | tion circle method for passive pressure        | 54   |
| 3.5  | The me    | thod of ordinary statics "Newcastle method     | 58   |
|      | 3.5.1     | Introduction                                   | 58   |
|      | 3.5.2     | Forces in the spiral and Rankine zones         | 59   |
|      | 3.5.3     | Solution of the horizontal and vertical forces | 65   |
|      | 3.5.4     | Cohisionless soil                              | 72   |
|      |           |  |      |
| Chap | ter 4 (E  | xperimental Programme)                         |      |
| 4.1  | General   |  | 77   |
| 4.21 | Soil prop | perties  | 80   |
| 4.3  | The test  | facility                                       | 80   |
|      | 4.3.1     | The tank                                       | 80   |
|      | 4.3.2     | The cutting blade                              | 82   |
|      | 4.3.3     | The loading system                             | 86   |
|      | 4.3.4     | Force and displacement measurements            | 87   |
| 4.4  | Sample    | preparation and test procedure                 | 87   |
| 4.5  | Test pro  | gramme   | 89   |
|      |           |  |      |
| Chap | ter 5 (R  | esults and Discusstions).                      |      |
| 5.1  | General   |  | 96   |
| 5.2  | Driving   | force-travel distance relationship             | 96   |
| 5.3  | Failure   | mode and soil distortion                       | 109  |
| 5.4  | Effect of | f cutting blade angle                          | 117  |
| 5.5  | Effect of | f embedded depth                               | 117  |
| 5.6  | Validati  | on of analytical modles                        | 127  |
|      |           |  |      |
| Chap | ter 6 (S  | ummary and Conclusion)                         |      |
| 6.1  | Summa     | ary  | 134  |
| 6.2  | Conclu    | sions  | 135  |
|      | Refere    | nces   | 136  |
|      | Arabic    | Summary  |      |

# List of Figures

| Figure No.  |   | Page |
|-------------|---|------|
| Fig. 2-1;   | Passive earth pressure on a retaining wall              |      |
|             | Terzaghi-Ohde).   | 6    |
| Fig. 2-2:   | The effect of depath of cut d and lift angle d on       |      |
|             | the draft force of an inclined tool Kawamura, Soc.      |      |
|             | Agro. Mach. Jour. (Japan).                              | 9    |
| Fig. 2-3:   | Hypothetical forces and their orientation on a          |      |
|             | segment of soil reacting to an inclined-plane tillage   |      |
|             | tool. (Soehne, Grundlagen der Landtechnik).             | 9    |
| Fig. 2-4:   | Calculated and experimental draft values for an         |      |
|             | inclined tillage tool. (Kawamura, Soc. Agr. Mach.       | į    |
|             | Jour. (Japan).  | 12   |
| Fig. 2-5:   | Soil resistances (draft) are measured and calculated    |      |
|             | for an inclined tillage tool operating in a sandy soil  |      |
|             | (Soehne, Grundlagen der Landtechnik).                   | 12   |
| Fig. 2-6:   | Measured and calculated draft of an inclined tillage    |      |
|             | tool at various tool velocities. (Rowe and Barnes,      |      |
|             | Amer. Soc. Agr. Engin. Trans.).                         | 17   |
| Fig. 2-7:   | The nature of soil failure for soil reacting to a       |      |
|             | narrow vertical tillage tool. (Payne, Jour. Agr.        |      |
| :           | Engin. Res.).   | 20   |
| Fig. 2-8:   | The nature of soil failure caused by a vertical tool in |      |
|             | a firm soil side view, B, plane view. (Payne, Jour.     |      |
|             | Agr. Engin. Res.).                                      | 20   |
| Fig. 2-9-A: | Effect of inclination angle on the total forces         |      |
|             | (Blade Model).  | 27   |
| Fig. 2-9-B: | High of forces relative to the total force at tool      |      |
|             | inclinations (Blade Model)                              | 27   |

| Fig. 2-10:   | Determination of passive earth pressure by the         |    |
|--------------|--|----|
|              | logarithmic spiral method.                             | 30 |
| Fig. 2-11:   | Soil failure patterns in front of a cutting blade      | 32 |
| Fig. 2-12:   | Conditions of wedge formation                          | 43 |
| Fig. 2-13-A: | Blade-soil system at constant deppth of cut            | 38 |
| Fig. 2-13-B: | Soil failure patterns in front of a cutting blade      | 38 |
| Fig. 2-14-A: | Horizontal force VS distance travelled for different   |    |
|              | blade inclinations                                     | 39 |
| Fig. 2-14-B: | Vertical force VS distance travelled for different     |    |
|              | blade inclinations                                     | 40 |
| Fig. 2-15-A: | Experimental and analytical horizontal displacement    |    |
|              | fields for the 10° inclined blade-soil system Blade    |    |
|              | displacement = 1.0 inch).                              | 41 |
| Fig. 2-15-B: | Experimental and analytical vertical displacement      |    |
|              | fields for the 10° inclined blade-soil system.         | 42 |
| Fig. 2-16-A: | Experimental and analytical horizontal displacement    |    |
|              | fields for the 50° inclined blade-soil system - (blade |    |
|              | displacement = 1.0 inch).                              | 43 |
| Fig. 2-16-B: | Experimental and analytical vertical displacement      |    |
|              | fields for the 50° inclined blade-soil system (blade   |    |
|              | displacement = 1.0 inch).                              | 44 |
| Fig. 3-1:    | Geometry of plastic region and failure zone beneath    |    |
|              | cutting blade.   | 47 |
| Fig. 3-2:    | Geometry of different analytical models.               | 47 |
| Fig. 3-3:    | Forces acting on the sliding during passive state.     | 49 |
| Fig. 3-4:    | Friction circle method for cohesionless soil with      |    |
|              | horizontal ground surface.                             | 56 |
| Fig. 3-5:    | Soil wedge and slip line field.                        | 60 |
|              | Í  |    |

| Fig. 3-6:  | Forces diagram of the radial and Rankine passive   |     |
|------------|--|-----|
|            | zones.   | 60  |
| Fig. 3-7:  | Mohr diagram of principal stress relationship.     | 61  |
| Fig. 3-8:  | Logarithmic sector of radial zone.                 | 61  |
| Fig. 3-9:  | Force diagram of the equilibrium wedge abc         | 67  |
| Fig. 3-10: | Mohr diagram for solving angle $\Delta$            | 67  |
| Fig. 3-11: | Forces on a plate grouser at critical equilibrium. | 67  |
| Fig. 3-12: | Forces diagram of the equilibrium wedge abc        | 69  |
| Fig. 3-13: | Forces diagram of the equilibrium wedge abc at     |     |
|            | $\theta = 0.$                                      | 71  |
| Fig. 4-1:  | General view for soil cutting test facility.       | 78  |
| Fig. 4-2:  | Chart for determining the grain size distribution  |     |
|            | curve of used sand.                                | 79  |
| Fig. 4-3:  | Soil cutting test facility.                        | 81  |
| Fig. 4-4:  | Isometric view of the sand container.              | 83  |
| Fig. 4-5:  | Six different types of cutting blades with 5 mm    |     |
|            | thick, 100 m width and 300 mm long. Used at,       |     |
|            | 30, 45, 60, 90, 120 and 135 degrees inclination    |     |
|            | angles to the horizontal.                          | 84  |
| Fig. 4-6:  | Moving carriage & cutting blade                    | 85  |
| Fig. 5-1:  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of         |     |
|            | cut = 10 cm, blade angle = 30°.                    | 97  |
| Fig. 5-2:  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of         |     |
|            | cut = 12 cm, blade angle = 30°.                    | 98  |
| Fig. 5-3;  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of         |     |
|            | cut=10 cm, blade angle=45°,to horizontal.          | 99  |
| Fig. 5-4:  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of         |     |
|            | cut=12 cm, blade angle=45°, to horizontal.         | 100 |
|            |  |     |

| Fig. 5-5 :  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
|             | cut=10 cm, blade angle=60°, to horizontal.        | 101 |
| Fig. 5-6:   | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=12 cm, blade angle=60°, to horizontal.        | 102 |
| Fig. 5-7:   | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=10 cm, blade angle=90°,to horizontal.         | 103 |
| Fig. 5-8 :  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=12 cm, blade angle=90°, to horizontal.        | 104 |
| Fig. 5-9 :  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=10 cm, blade angle=120°, to horizontal.       | 105 |
| Fig. 5-10 : | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=12 cm, blade angle=120°, to horizontal.       | 106 |
| Fig. 5-11:  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=10 cm, blade angle=135°, to horizontal.       | 107 |
| Fig. 5-12:  | Driving force-travel distance for depth of        |     |
|             | cut=12 cm, blade angle=135°, to horizontal.       | 108 |
| Fig. 5-13 : | Effect of the blade angle on the resisting force  | 124 |
| Fig. 5-14:  | Relationship between the measured area of the     |     |
|             | failure zone and the blade angle.                 | 125 |
| Fig. 5-15:  | Relationship between the specific area of the     |     |
|             | failure zone and the blade angle.                 | 126 |
| Fig. 5-16:  | The measured and the calculated driving force     |     |
|             | at 10 cm depth of cutting for the different blade | }   |
|             | angle   | 131 |
| Fig. 5-17:  | The measured and the calculated driving force     |     |
|             | at 12 cm depth of cutting for the different blade |     |
|             | angle   | 132 |
|             |   |     |
|             |   |     |

#### List of Plates

Page No. Plate 4-1: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 30°. 90 Plate 4-2: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 45°. 91 Plate 4-3: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 60°. 92 Plate 4-4: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 90°. 93 Plate 4-5: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 120°. 94 Plate 4-6: Photographic record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 135°. 111 Plate 5-1: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 30° depth of cutting 10 cm. 112 Plate 5-2: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 30° depth of cutting 12 cm. 113 Plate 5-3: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 45° depth of cutting 10 cm. 114 Plate 5-4: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 45° depth of cutting 12 cm. 115 Plate 5-5: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 60° depth of cutting 10 cm. 116 Plate 5-6: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 60° depth of cutting 12 cm. Plate 5-7: Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade inclination angle 90° depth of cutting 10 cm. 118

| Plate 5-8 :  | Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade |          |
|--------------|---|----------|
|              | inclination angle 90° depth of cutting 12 cm.         | 119      |
| Plate 5-9 :  | Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade |          |
|              | inclination angle 120° depth of cutting 10 cm.        | 120      |
| Plate 5-10 : | Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade |          |
|              | inclination angle 120° depth of cutting 12 cm.        | 121      |
| Plate 5-11 : | Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade | <u> </u> |
|              | inclination angle 135° depth of cutting 10 cm.        | 122      |
| Plate 5-12 : | Photographing record of a soil cutting test for blade |          |
|              | inclination angle 135° depth of cutting 12 cm.        | 123      |
|              |   |          |
|              |   |          |