

CERCARIOMETRY IN THE STUDY OF
SCHISTOSOMIASIS TRANSMISSION
IN DIFFERENT HABITATS IN EGYPT

BY

KAREM MOHAMED ALY EL-HOMMOSSANY

B.Sc. IN ZOOLOGY

MEDICAL MALACOLOGY LABORATORY

THEODOR BILHARZ RESEARCH INSTITUTE

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE

DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES,
ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES AND RESEARCHES INSTITUTE,
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY.

1994

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَمَا أَوْفَيْتُمْ مِنَ الْعِلْمِ إِلَّا قَلِيلًا

سَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْمَغْلَبِ



SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr.

ABDALLA M. IBRAHIEM

PROFESSOR OF ZOOLOGY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY




Prof. Dr.

FOUAD YOUSIF

PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL

RESEARCH AND MEDICAL MALACOLOGY

THEODOR BILHARZ RESEARCH INSTITUTE




Prof. Dr.

MENRIET ROUSHDY

HEAD, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

AND MEDICAL MALACOLOGY

THEODOR BILHARZ RESEARCH INSTITUTE



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Abdalla Mohamed Ibrahim**, Professor of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for supervising the work, reading the final manuscript and for continuous encouragement.

Deep appreciation and thanks are due to **Prof.Dr. Fouad Yousif**, Professor of Medical Malacology, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Imbaba, Egypt, for his direct supervision, continuous guidance and his valuable help in the preparation of the manuscript.

Grateful thanks are extended to **Prof.Dr. Menriet Roushdy**, Head of Department of Environmental Research and Medical Malacology, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Imbaba, Egypt, for participation in supervising the work and kind help in the preparation of the manuscript.

Thanks also due to **Dr. Clive Shiff**, Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, U.S.A. for his help and fruitful discussions. My appreciation is also extended to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Emam**, Professor of Medical Malacology, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, for his kind help in the statistical analysis of the results.

This study was carried out in the Medical Malacology Department, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Imbaba, Egypt, and was supported, in part, by the Ministry of Health, Egypt/United States Agency for International Development funded Schistosomiasis Research Project, 263 - 01402, Grant 02 - 06 - 27.

Table of contents

	Page
Acknowledgments.....	A
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature.....	3
Material and Methods.....	11
Results.....	28
1. Evaluation of certain cercariometric methods under laboratory condition.....	28
1.1. Cercariometry by differential filtration using tap and common canal water	28
1.2. Cercariometry by centrifugation using tap and common canal water.....	31
1.3. Effect of turbidity on cercariometry by differential filtration and centrifugation.....	34
1.4. Cercariae detection in water by mice exposure.....	39
1.4.1. under different exposure periods...	39
1.4.2. Using different degrees of temperature	41
1.4.3. Influence of host density on cercarial recovery.....	41

	Page
1.5. Exposure of mice to <u>Schistosoma</u> <u>mansoni</u> cercariae in natural water..	45
2. Environmental conditions to sample water for schistosome cercariometry..	49
2.1. Site of water sampling at different degrees of temperatures.....	49
2.2. Location of shedding snails.....	54
2.3. Cercarial distribution.....	58
2.4. Day time.....	62
2.4.1. Day time hourly pattern of cercarial output uder laboratory day light...	62
2.4.2. Cercariometry at daytime hourly intervals under outdoor conditions.	66
2.5. Effect of cercarial age (time of dispersion) on cercariometry.	70
2.6. Illumination.....	74
3. Cercariometry under semifield conditions...	78
3.1. Number of shedding snails.....	78
3.2. Location of shedding snails.....	82

	Page
3.3. Distance between shedding snails and water sampling sites.....	86
3.4. Daytime water hourly sampling at various seasons of the year.....	89
3.5. Effect of Water volume in ditches on cercariometry.....	95
3.6. Effect of various aquatic plants on cercariometry.....	98
3.7. Effect of water sample volume on cercariometry.....	105
3.8. Cercariometry by centrifugation versus snail sampling for the detection of schistosomiasis transmission sites....	108
Discussion	111
Summary	126
References	130
Arabic summary	

List of Tables

Table No.		Page
1	Chemical analysis of ditch water.....	24
2	Cercariometry by differential filtration of tap and canal water using various cercarial concentrations.....	30
3	Cercariometry by centrifugation using tap and canal water using various cercarial concentrations.....	33
4	Cercariometry by filtration using turbid water	36
5	Cercariometry by centrifugation using turbid water.....	37
6	Effect of exposure period on cercariometry by mice exposure.....	40
7	Effect of temperature on cercariometry by mice exposure to <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> cercariae under laboratory conditions.....	43
8	Effect of host density on cercariometry....	44
9	Exposure of mice to <u>S. mansoni</u> cercariae in natural water.....	47
10	Cercariometry by sampling of water from different sites (by centrifugation) at different levels of temperature.....	52

Table No.		Page
11	Effect of location of shedding snails on cercariometry under laboratory conditions..	56
12	Effect of cercarial distribution in water on cercariometry.....	60
13	Day time hourly pattern of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> cercarial output under laboratory day light and temperature.....	64
14	Cercariometry of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> at daytime hourly intervals under outdoor conditions.....	68
15	Effect of cercarial age (time of dispersion) on cercariometry.....	72
16	Effect of illumination on cercariometry in natural canals (temperature 21°C).....	76
17	Effect of number of shedding snails on cercaariometry (centrifugation) in natural ditches.....	80
18	Effect of the location of shedding snails on cercariometry in natural ditches.....	84
19	Effect of distance between shedding snails and water sampling sites on cercariometry in natural ditches.....	87

Table No.		Page
20	Cercariometry of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> at day time hourly sampling during February under semifield conditions . (Winter).....	90
21	Cercariometry of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> at day time hourly sampling during April under semifield conditions. (Spring).....	91
22	Cercariometry of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> at day time hourly sampling during August under semifield conditions. (Summer).....	92
23	Cercariometry of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> at day time hourly sampling during October under semifield conditions. (Autumn).....	93
24	Effect of water volume in ditches on cercariometry under semifield conditions...	96
25	Effect of aquatic plants on cercariometry under simulated natural condetions (Shedding snails hanged in the free areas off the plants).....	101
26	Effect of aquatic plants on cercariometry under simulated natural conditions (Shedding snails hanged under plants near to the free area).....	103

Table No.		Page
27	Effect of water sample volume on cercariometry	107
28	Efficacy of cercariometry by centrifugation versus snail sampling in detection of transmission sites.....	110

List of Figures

Figure No.		Page
1	A longitudinal sector of the filtration aparatus.....	14
2	A longitudinal sector of the centrifuge....	17
3	Mice exposure device. A longitudinal section at A-A plane, B Top view.....	20
4	Plan of experimental area.....	23
5	Water temperature of experimental ditches during Oct. 1990 - Dec.1991.....	25
6	Effect of turbidity on cercariometry by differential filtration & centrifugation...	38
7	Exposure of mice to <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> cercariae in natural water.....	48
8	Cercariometry by sampling of water from various sites at different levels of temperature.....	53
9	Effect of location of shedding snails on cercariometry under laboratory conditions..	57
10	Effect of cercarial distribution in water on cercariometry.....	61