DIAGNOSIS OF GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX IN INFANTS AND CHILDREN USING PH PROBE MONITORING

Thesis
Submitted for partial fulfillment
of
Master Degree in Pediatrics

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Abdulla Mansour Bin El-Zoa

 $(\mathcal{M}.\mathcal{B}.\mathcal{B}.Ch.)$

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Gilan Abd El-Hamid Osman,

Professor & Head of Pediatric. Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mona Moustafa El-Ganzoury

Lecturer of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Medhat Zaki

Assistant professor of Pediatric Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
1996

Ahmed XAM



Š



TO...

My Family

Abdulla

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Gilan Abd El-Hamid Osman** Prof. & Head of the Department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her continuous encouragement and valuable supervision of this work. I deeply appreciate her guidance and great help.

Iam much indebted to **Dr. Mona Moustafa El-Ganzoury**, Lecturer of
Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams
University, who has followed with me
everything, step by step till the end. She has
offered me a lot of her knowledge and
precious time.

I wish very deeply to thank Dr. Ahmed Medhat Zaki, Assistant Professor of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind support and great assistance in preperation of the practical part of this work. To him Iam very greatful.

I would like to thank all the patients and their parents who shared in this thesis.

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Introduction and aim of the work | 1 |
| Review of Literature | 3 |
| Definition of GOR | 3 |
| Incidence of GOR | 3 |
| Anatomy and physiology | 5 |
| Aetiology and pathogenesis | 22 |
| Clinical presentations of GOR | 28 |
| Diagnosis of GOR | 45 |
| • Treatment of GOR | 62 |
| Subjects and Methods | 71 |
| Results | 80 |
| Discussion | 109 |
| Summary and Conclusion | 118 |
| References | 120 |
| Arabic Summary | 120 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Гаb. No. | Title | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| 1 | Effect of pharmacologic and other | 17 |
| | agents on LES | |
| 2 | Causes of secondary GOR | 27 |
| 3 | Symptoms of GOR | 29 |
| 4 | Histologic criteria for the diagnosis of | 49 |
| | esophagitis | |
| 5 | Indications of pH monitoring | 53 |
| 6 | Scoring system for interpretation of | 60 |
| | pH monitoring data | |
| 7 | Summary of treatment of primary | 70 |
| | GOR | |
| 8 | The main clinical presentations | 81 |
| | among the whole studied group | |
| 9 | Frequency of various symptoms | 83 |
| | among the whole studied group | |
| 10 | Frequency of general signs among the | 84 |
| | whole studied group | |
| 11 | The result of 24-hour pH monitoring | 85 |
| 12 | the severity of GOR in the 2l patients | 87 |
| | with +ve GOR according to the R.I. | |
| 13 | The age distribution in +ve GOR and | 91 |
| | -ve GOR | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig. No. | Title | Page |
|-------------|--|------|
| l | Musculature of the gastroesophageal | 9 |
| 0 | junction | 90 |
| 2 | Normal position of the L.E.S. with | 20 |
| _ | cross section at that area | |
| 3 | Schematic representation of classical concept of the pathogenesis of GOR | 26 |
| 4 | Extraesophageal manifestations of | 35 |
| | GOR | |
| 5 | Illustration represents a pull-through | 57 |
| | technique of the pH probe placement | |
| 6 | Patient with the probe in place | 77 |
| 7 | Graph of a pH study | 78 |
| 8 | Sample of computer print-out of an | 79 |
| | ambulatory pH monitoring study | |
| 9 | The main clinical presentations | 82 |
| | among the whole studied group | |
| 10 | The percentage of +ve GOR and -ve | 86 |
| | GOR among the whole studied group | |
| 11 | The correlation between the age and | 88 |
| | reflux index among +ve GOR group | |

| 12 | The correlation between age of onset | 89 |
|----|--|-----|
| | and reflux index (R.I) among +ve GOR | |
| | group | |
| 13 | The correlation between composite | 90 |
| | score and R.I. among +ve GOR group | |
| 14 | The weight and hight below the l0th. | 95 |
| | percentile among +ve GOR and -ve | |
| | GOR | |
| 15 | The severity of GOR among group I | 104 |
| | and II | |
| 16 | The correlation between the age of the | 105 |
| | patient and the R.I. among group I | |
| 17 | The correlation between age of onset | 106 |
| | and R.I. among group I | |
| 18 | The correlation between the age of | 107 |
| | onset and R.I. among group II | |
| 19 | The correlation between the duration | 108 |
| | of the disease (months) and R.I. | |
| | among group II | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALTE Apparent life threatening event

BE Barrett's esophagus

GEJ Gastroesophageal Junction GOR Gastroesophageal reflux

GORD Gastroesophageal reflux disease

HCL Hydrochloric acid

LES Lower esophageal sphincter
RAP Recurrent abdominal pain

RI Reflux Index

SART Standard Acid Reflux Test

SIDS Sudden Infant Death Syndrome

TLESR Transient L.E.S. relaxation
UES Upper Esophageal Sphincter
ZMD Mean duration of sleep reflux

INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK

INTRODUCTION

Although the esophagus functions simply as a conduit between the mouth and the more distal gastrointestinal tract, its physiology and pathoghysiology display a complexity that contrasts with that simple function. Thus there are still mysteries about this "tube" and its disorders, and each year brings new revelations.

Most attention continues to focus on GOR, its emerging pathophysiology, multiple manifestations, various diagnostic techniques and improving methods of treatment (*Orenstein*, 1993).

Complications of GOR include; frequent vomiting, dysphagia and respiratory diseases (Recurrent Pneumonia, Apnea & Stridor) (Assadamongkol et al., 1993).

Gstroesophageal reflux in infants and children is common. It is distinguished from the disorder in adult population by the large number of thriving infants with functional reflux and by the large proportion of older infants and children with secondary pathologic reflux in whom vomiting and reflux are symptoms of another condition. Evaluation of the child with suspected reflux starts with a thorough history and physical examination. Diagnostic testing must be tailored to the suspected diagnosis (Sondheimer, 1994).

Assadamongkol et al. (1993) used 24-hrs esophageal pH monitoring as a gold standard for the diagnosis of pathological GOR in their study and they suggested that all children who have a history of dysphagia, vomiting and frequent respiratory problems should be evaluated for GOR.

AIM OF THE WORK:

To evaluate the clinical significance of suspected symptoms of GOR using 24-hours esophageal pH monitoring.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

GESTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX (GOR) IN INFANTS AND CHILDREN

Definition:

The gastro-esophageal reflux (GOR) is defined as the adverse movement of gastric contents into the esophagus due to defective gastro-esophageal junction (Jolley, 1992). It is an occasional physiologic event in normal adults and children, but becomes pathological when its intensity and frequency increases (Davies and Sandhu, 1995).

Pathological GOR or gastroeosophageal reflux disease (GORD), is that which causes symptoms or physical complications (Holloway and Orenstein, 1991).

Gastro-esophageal reflux (GOR) is common in children especially in the first year of life. In the great majority this constitutes a physiological event and is of little clinical consequence. The recognition of a pathological GOR is important in this age group and the clear clinical presenting symptoms such as vomiting, respiratory distress or apnea have been demonstrated (Assadamongkol et al., 1993).

Incidence:

The exact incidence of pathological GOR is not well established. Sacre and Vandenplas reported the incidence of pathological GOR to be 8% in largely unselected healthy infants screened for SIDS risk (Sacre & Vandenplas, 1989).