

**Neuromuscular Electric Stimulation in  
Rehabilitation of Dysphagia in pediatric  
Patients with Cerebral Palsy**

*Thesis*

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in  
Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and  
Rehabilitation*

*By*

**Hanan Mohamed Ibrahim Elshoura**

*M.B.B.CH*

*Ain Shams University*

*Under supervision of*

**Professor/ Hala Abdul-Hady Saleh**

*Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Professor/ Samia Bassiouny**

*Professor of Phoniatrics*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Professor/ Naglaa Youssef Assaf**

*Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

**Professor/ Sahar Fathi Ahmed**

*Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation*

*Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*2017*

# Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Professor/ Hala Abdul-Hady Saleh**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation - Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*Also, my sincere thanks and appreciation to **Professor/ Samia Bassiouny**, Professor of Phoniatrics Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her active participation and guidance throughout this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Professor/ Naglaa Youssef Assaf**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Professor/ Sahar Fathi Ahmed**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

*My deepest appreciation goes to **Dr. Ahmed Samy Abdelrahman Abdelazeem**, Lecturer of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable suggestions, advice, efforts and for allowing me a free access to his precious time during the accomplishment of this work.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.*

*Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.*

*Hanan Mohamed Ibrahim Elshoura*

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	4
List of Figures .....	6
List of Abbreviations .....	
Introduction .....	1
Aim of the Work .....	6
Review of Literature	
▪ Cerebral Palsy .....	7
▪ Dysphagia in Cerebral Palsy .....	21
▪ Management of Dysphagia .....	60
Patients and Methods .....	78
Results .....	99
Discussion .....	124
Summary and Conclusion .....	140
Recommendations .....	145
References .....	146
Arabic Summary	

## *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Oropharyngeal dysphagia: processes and problems by phase of swallowing .....	26
<b>Table (2):</b>	Demographic data of the studied groups. ....	100
<b>Table (3):</b>	History and Clinical characteristics of dysphagia among the studied groups. ....	101
<b>Table (4):</b>	Correlation between initial FOIS and duration of dysphagia among the whole patients.....	103
<b>Table (5):</b>	Types of dysphagia in all patients.....	103
<b>Table (6):</b>	Comparison between types of CP regarding types of dysphagia among the whole patients in both groups .....	104
<b>Table (7):</b>	Initial FEES 3ml fluid PAS scale. ....	104
<b>Table (8):</b>	Correlation between initial ASHA score and FEES 3ml fluid PAS scores among the patients in both groups	105
<b>Table (9):</b>	Severity of dysphagia by ASHA scale among the studied group. ....	106
<b>Table (10):</b>	Central concavity (cm) among the studied groups.....	108
<b>Table (11):</b>	Phase I duration (ms) among the studied groups. ....	109
<b>Table (12):</b>	Phase IIa duration (ms) among the studied groups.....	111
<b>Table (13):</b>	Phase IIa amplitude (cm) among the studied groups.....	112
<b>Table (14):</b>	Phase IIa velocity (cm/sec) among the studied groups.....	114
<b>Table (15):</b>	Phase IIb coordination among the studied groups.....	115

## *List of Tables (cont...)*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (16):</b>	Comparison between pocketing and drooling statuses regarding initial central concavity and tongue movement coordination among all patients in both groups before rehabilitation programe.	117
<b>Table (17):</b>	Phase IIb duration (ms) among the studied groups.....	118
<b>Table (18):</b>	Whole swallowing duration (ms) among the studied groups.....	119
<b>Table (19):</b>	Characteristic data of the five patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia.....	121

## *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (1):</b>	Causes of CP .....	8
<b>Fig. (2):</b>	Classification of Cerebral palsy .....	14
<b>Fig. (3):</b>	Motor syndromes of cerebral palsy .....	18
<b>Fig. (4):</b>	Swallowing biomechanics .....	24
<b>Fig. (5):</b>	Dysphagia limit in suprabulbar palsy .....	27
<b>Fig. (6):</b>	Procedure of Videofluoroscopy in pediatrics .....	36
<b>Fig. (7):</b>	Videofluoroscopy in infants.....	36
<b>Fig. (8):</b>	Videofluoroscopy image in a child showed .....	38
<b>Fig. (9):</b>	FEES procedure in pediatrics .....	44
<b>Fig. (10):</b>	Real image by FEES showing normal anatomy.....	45
<b>Fig. (11):</b>	Submental application of ultrasound probe .....	49
<b>Fig. (12):</b>	B+M-mode ultrasound image .....	51
<b>Fig. (13):</b>	M-mode ultrasound tracings with phase I, indicated by arrows, demonstrate characteristic type 1 (A) and type 2 (B) phase I movements .....	53
<b>Fig. (14):</b>	M-mode ultrasound tracing demonstrates phase IIa, indicated by arrows .....	54
<b>Fig. (15):</b>	M-mode ultrasound tracings with phase IIb, indicated by arrows, demonstrate characteristic type 1 (A) and type 2 (B) phase IIb movements .....	55
<b>Fig. (16):</b>	M-mode ultrasound tracings, with phase IIIa occurring between arrows 1–2 and phase IIIb occurring between arrows 2–3, demonstrate characteristic type 1 (A), type 2 (B), and type 3 (C) phase IIIa and IIIb movements .....	56
<b>Fig. (17):</b>	Head positioning during feeding of cerebral palsy child .....	63
<b>Fig. (18):</b>	Thoracic Lumbar–sacral orthosis .....	64
<b>Fig. (19):</b>	The Innsbruck sensorimotor activator and regulator (ISMAR) .....	66

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. (20):</b>	Electronic feeder .....	67
<b>Fig. (21):</b>	Type of NMES .....	69
<b>Fig. (22):</b>	Gastrostomy in CP child .....	77
<b>Fig. (23):</b>	Ultrasound procedure. ....	90
<b>Fig. (24):</b>	B+M-mode ultrasound image.....	91
<b>Fig. (25):</b>	Diagram showing Fiberoptic endoscopy. ....	92
<b>Fig. (26):</b>	FEES procedures.....	92
<b>Fig. (27):</b>	Anatomy showed by FEES.....	93
<b>Fig. (28):</b>	Applications of surface neuromuscular electrical stimulation.....	96
<b>Fig. (29):</b>	Study flow chart (CONSORT) .....	98
<b>Fig. (30):</b>	Correlation between initial ASHA and FEES scores.....	105
<b>Fig. (31):</b>	ASHA scale among the studied groups. ....	107
<b>Fig. (32):</b>	Central concavity among the studied groups. ....	108
<b>Fig. (33):</b>	Phase I duration among the studied groups .....	110
<b>Fig. (34):</b>	Phase IIa duration among the studied groups.....	111
<b>Fig. (35):</b>	Phase IIa amplitude among the studied groups.....	113
<b>Fig. (36):</b>	Phase IIa velocity among the studied groups.....	114
<b>Fig. (37):</b>	Phase IIb coordination among the studied groups.....	116
<b>Fig. (38):</b>	Phase IIb duration among the studied groups. ....	118
<b>Fig. (39):</b>	Whole swallowing duration among the studied groups.....	120

## *List of Abbreviations*

Abb.	Full Term
ASHA.....	American Speech-Language Hearing Association
BASOF.....	Behavioural assessment scale of oral Function in feeding
CI .....	Confidence interval
CP .....	Cerebral palsy
EDACS.....	Eating and drinking ability classification system
e-Stim .....	Electric stimulation
FDA.....	Food and Drug Administration
FEES.....	Fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing
FEES-ST.....	Fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing with sensory testing
FOIS .....	Functional oral intake scale
GER .....	Gastroesophageal flux
GMFCS E&R.....	Gross motor function classification system extended and revised
GMFCS.....	Gross motor function classification system
ISMR.....	Insbruck sensorimotor activator & regulator
MBS .....	Modified barium swallow
NICU.....	Neonatal intensive care unit
NMES .....	Neuromuscular electric stimulation
NOMS .....	National outcome measurement system
OST .....	Oral stimulatory therapy
PAS .....	penetration aspiration scale
PEG.....	Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy
SD .....	Standard deviation
SE.....	Standard error
SIGN .....	Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network
US .....	Ultrasonography
VFSS.....	Videofluoroscopic swallow study



## INTRODUCTION

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a chronic movement disorder resulting from an abnormal development or injury in the immature brain. Children with CP demonstrate various movement disorders, including muscle weakness, abnormal postural responses, coordination failure, and slow muscle contraction, which cause functional problems, including abnormal gait (*Shkedy Rabani et al., 2014*), hand dysfunction (*Klingels et al., 2012*), and dysphagia (*Arvedson, 2013*). These functional dysfunctions frequently limit participation in daily activities.

In children, eating is one of the most important activities of daily living, especially for development and quality of life (*Song, 2015*). Dysphagia causes malnutrition and negatively impacts development in patients with CP. Approximately 75% of children with CP has dysphagia, and that statistic increases to 86% in patients with quadriplegia (*Song, 2015*). Children with CP have some difficulty with postural balance, postural control, and oral-motor control, which are all necessary for proper eating. Oral-motor and sensory dysfunctions are primary reasons for difficulties with swallowing in children with CP (*Arvedson, 2013*).

Children with CP have a difficulty recognizing oral sensation for localization of the input, because the sensory threshold is different from normal. Due to an abnormal oral sensory threshold, it is difficult to determine where the

stimulation occurs within the oral area, including the lips, cheeks, tongue, and oral plates (*Erasmus et al., 2009*). During the oral phase of swallowing, sensory information is essential for chewing and controlling the bolus of food. The touch and pressure receptors of the tongue and oral-cavity surfaces transmit sensory information to the brainstem and cerebral cortex to guide tongue shape and pharyngeal pressure according to bolus volume and viscosity (*Song, 2015*).

Swallowing disorders can be evaluated by a Video fluoroscopic swallow study (VFSS) that provides dynamic visualization of oral, pharyngeal and upper esophageal phases of swallowing. Video fluoroscopic assessment of oral motor function has been the accepted "gold standard" investigation for several years but has significant drawbacks, including the time constraints set by the use of ionizing radiation and the problems posed by the cumbersome equipment in mimicking the child's normal feeding situation (*Yang et al., 1997*).

Ultrasonography (US) has been suggested as an alternative or additional investigation of oral motor function in children with CP as a portable, non-invasive, and radiation free technique. US imaging provided useful information with regard to the oral cavity and the soft tissue structures, capturing the silent features of tongue/hyoid/palate activity and bolus transport across the tongue and through the hypo pharyngeal area impairment (*Hsiao et al., 2012*).

Video endoscopic evaluation of swallowing is performed with a Fiber optic Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallowing (FEES) for studying the physiology and physiopathology of certain stages of swallowing, particularly the pharyngeal stage. This examination offers detailed information of swallowing and of the relative functions of the upper airways and upper digestive tract. It can also be achieved by testing laryngeal sensitivity; this is accomplished by directly stimulating the various pharyngeal-laryngeal areas with the tip of the rhino pharyngolaryngoscope. This evaluation can be completed by the rhythmic administration of air in a sequence of pressures, to elicit laryngeal adduction and consequently establish the sensitivity threshold (*Sitton et al., 2011*).

Specific competence is required for performing a FEES examination: in particular, the otorhinolaryngology's and/ or phoniatic specialist involved must be familiar with the physiology and physiopathology of swallowing, the principle disorders that cause dysphagia, as well as the manoeuvres, exercises and techniques used in rehabilitation. In addition, the specialist performing FEES must not only be able to manage a subject with swallowing disorders but should also be well trained in the use of flexible endoscopy especially FEES in dysphagic patients. FEES have the advantage of absence of radiation and possibility to do bedside examinations (*Sitton et al., 2011*).

The American Speech Language Hearing Association (ASHA)'s scale is used to evaluate and follow up of the severity of dysphagia clinically (*Mullen & Schooling, 2010*).

The ASHA NOMS is a multidimensional tool developed to assess supervision and diet level, and represents functional status, which is rated on a scale from 1 to 7. Level 7 means that a participant has the ability to eat independently without limitation of swallowing function, while level 1 indicates that a participant does not have ability to swallow anything safely by mouth (*Song et al., 2015*).

Oral sensory stimulation by speech therapists has been applied to improve the feeding ability in children with CP (*Arvedson et al., 2010b*). Vibration stimuli in the oral region normalize the abnormal muscle tone during feeding activities. Oral sensory stimulation, including thermal, tactile, and pressure stimuli, was also shown to reduce tongue thrust and the bite reflex disturbing the swallowing process, and to improve the chewing and swallowing functions in children with CP. It has been widely used to treat dysphagia in children (*Arvedson et al., 2010&Scott, 2014*).

In addition, electrical stimuli have been applied as an intervention protocol to improve oropharyngeal swallowing in neurological disorders, including stroke (*Carnaby-Mann & Crary, 2007*), traumatic brain injury (*Clark et al., 2009*), Parkinson's disease (*Baijens et al., 2012*), and CP (*Christiaanse et al., 2011; Rice, 2012*). In brain-imaging

studies, electrical pharyngeal sensory stimulation induced the activation of areas in the cerebral cortex related to swallowing, such as the sensorimotor cortex (*Fraser et al., 2002*). Electrical stimulation is one of the most crucial tools in rehabilitation of swallowing to restore muscle use. This can be achieved either transcutaneously (surface electrical stimulation) or percutaneously (intramuscular, intrinsic) they act by depolarizing the nerves that are responsible for motor innervation to a particular muscle or particular muscle fibers (*Ianessa et al., 2012*).

A few previous studies have suggested that Neuro Muscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES) might provide positive effects on swallowing function in paediatric patients (*Christiaanse et al., 2011; Rice, 2012*). However, there remains a lack of studies investigating the effects of NMES on oral functions related to feeding in CP with dysphagia.

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

**T**o assess the effectiveness of surface neuro- muscular electrical stimulation in rehabilitation of dysphagia in children with cerebral palsy.

## Chapter 1

# CEREBRAL PALSY

**C**erebral palsy (CP) is a group of permanent movement disorders that appear in early childhood that are attributed to non-progressive disturbances that occurred in the developing fetal or infant brain.. Signs and symptoms vary among people. Often, symptoms include poor coordination, stiff muscles, weak muscles, and tremors which cause functional problems including abnormal gait (*Shkedy Rabani et al., 2014*) & hand dysfunction (*Klingels et al., 2012*). There may be problems with sensation, vision (42%), hearing, swallowing and speaking (*Arvedson, 2013 & Scott, 2014*).

Often babies with cerebral palsy do not roll over, sit, crawl, or walk as early as other children of their age. Difficulty with the ability to think or reason (56%) and seizures (28%). While the symptoms may get more noticeable over the first few years of life, the underlying problems do not worsen over time (*National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2015*).

## Causes of cerebral palsy

Cerebral palsy is due to abnormal development or damage occurring to the developing brain. This damage can occur during pregnancy, delivery, the first month of life, or less commonly in early childhood as shown in figure (1). Structural problems in the brain are seen in 80% of cases, most commonly