

The Effect of Using a Program Based on Beyond Constructivism Paradigm on Developing Prep Students' English Reading and Writing Proficiency

A thesis Submitted for the PhD Degree in Education (Department of Curriculum & Instruction)

Prepared by
Sabry Mohamed Elsayed Ebraheem
A teacher of English
ELMaadi Educational Administration

Supervised by

Dr.
Magdy Mahdy Aly

Prof. of Curriculum and EFL Instruction Faculty of Education Ain Shams University *Dr.* **Dalia Ibraheem Yahya**

Lecturer of Curriculum and EFL Instruction Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

Abstract

Research title: "The Effect of Using a Program Based on Beyond Constructivism Paradigm on Developing Prep Students' English Reading and Writing Proficiency"

Researcher's name: Sabry Mohamed Elsayed Ebraheem

Supervisors: Dr. Magdy Mahdy Aly, professor of EFL Curriculum and Instruction. Dr. Dalia Ibraheem Yahya, lecturer of EFLCurriculum and Instruction.

Source: Ain Shams University, Faculty of Education, Curriculum & Instruction Department

The present study aimed at developing the second year prep students' English reading and writing proficiency through the use of a proposed program based on Beyond Constructivism Paradigm. The study adopted the quasi-experimental pre-post test control /experimental group design. The study sample consisted of 50 students at Hassan Abo Bakr Experimental School in Alkanater Alkhairya District and was equally divided into two groups: an experimental group and a control one. Students of the experimental group received training through the proposed program based on Beyond Constructivism Paradigm (Knowledge Navigation), while students in the control group received regular instruction. Tools of the study included reading skills checklist, writing skills checklist, a pre-post- reading and writing skills test, a scoring rubric and a program satisfaction questionnaire. The study results revealed that there were statistically significant differences at 0.01 level between the mean scores of the control and the experimental groups on the post test in favour of the experimental group in overall reading and writing skills as well as in each reading and writing sub-skill. There were also statistically significant differences at 0.01 level between the mean scores of the experimental group in the pre-administration and postadministration of the reading and writing skills test in favour of the postadministration in overall reading and writing proficiency as well as in each reading and writing sub-skill.

Key words: Beyond Constructivism Paradigm (Knowledge Navigation), reading and writing proficiency.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah for guiding and helping me finish this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to my supervisor, **Dr. Magdy Mahdy Aly,** Professor of Curriculum and EFL Instruction, Ain Shams University, for his continuous support and limitless encouragement. I would like to thank him for his critical insights and enormous patience. I was fortunate to have him as my supervisor. I warmly appreciate his efforts which greatly contributed to the fulfillment of this thesis.

My special thanks also go to **Dr. Dalia Ibraheem Yahya**, lecturer of Curriculum and EFL Instruction, Ain Shams University, for giving her time reading and examining my thesis. She helped me a lot and gave me much of her time. I thank her for her valuable time and fruitful comments.

Many thanks also go to my colleagues at Khadiga Prep school for girls and the English language department in El Maadi Educational Administration for helping and encouraging me during this thesis.

Many thanks also go to the administration of Hassan Abo Bakr Experimental School for helping me overcome all the challenges during the experiment.

Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to my beloved family for their continuous encouragement, spiritual support, and endless love. I thank my wife for helping me a lot and standing beside me all the way through. I thank my children for bearing me during the pressure and the hard times.

Table of Contents

Contents	Pages
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Chapter One: Background and Problem	1
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. Context of the problem.	7
1.3. Statement of the problem	9
1.4. Research Hypotheses	10
1.5. Purpose of the study	11
1.6. Delimitations of the study	11
1.7. Significance of the study	11
1.8. Definition of terms	12
1.9. Remainder of the Thesis	14
Chapter Two: Review of Literature and Related Studies	15
2.1. Section One: Beyond Constructivism	16
2.1.1. Constructivism	16
2.1.2. Reasons for going Beyond Constructivism	18
2.1.3. Paradigm Shifts in Education over Recent Decades	22
2.1.4. Exploring Beyond Constructivism Paradigms	24
2.1.5. Beyond Constructivism Paradigm (Knowledge Navigation).	25
2.1.6. Skills Required in Knowledge Navigation Paradigm	28
2.1.7. Knowledge Navigation, Constructivism and Connectivism	30
2.1.8. Knowledge Navigation-based Learning Environments	31

2.1.9. Role of the Teacher in Knowledge Navigation	32
2.1.10.Technology and Students' Motivation.	35
2.1.11. Knowledge Navigation and Students' Interest	37
2.1.12. Students and Technological Objectives	38
2.1.13. Students' Benefits from Knowledge Navigation	40
2.1.14. Barriers and Suggested Solutions.	42
2.1.15.Studies Related to Beyond Constructivism	44
2.2. Section Two: Reading and Writing	51
2.2.1. Importance of Reading.	51
2.2.2. Categories of Readers.	53
2.2.3. Developing Reading Proficiency	54
2.2.4.Types of Reading.	55
2.2.5. Measuring Reading Proficiency	58
2.2.6. Importance of Writing	59
2.2.7. Writing and Technology	60
2.2.8. Characteristics of Proficient Writers	60
2.2.9. Types of Writing.	61
2.2.10. Approaches for Developing Writing Proficiency	62
2.2.11.Integrating Reading and Writing Proficiency	64
2.2.12.Similarities between Reading and Writing	65
2.2.13. Strategies for Integrating Reading and Writing Proficiency	66
2.2.14.Studies Related to Reading.	69
2.2.15. Studies Related to Writing	75
2.2.16. Studies Related to Integrating Reading and Writing	81
2.3.General Commentary	83
2.4.Conclusion.	86

Chapter Three: Research Method and Procedures	87
3.1. Study Design.	88
3.2. Participants	88
3.3. Study Instruments	89
3.3.1. The Reading Skills Checklist.	89
3.3.1.1. Purpose of the Reading Skills Checklist	89
3.3.1.2. Source of the Reading Skills Checklist	89
3.3.1.3. Description of the Reading Skills Checklist	90
3.3.1.4. Validity of the Reading Checklist	90
3.3.2. The Writing Skills Checklist.	91
3.3.2.1. Purpose of the Writing Skills Checklist	91
3.3.2.2. Source of the Writing Skills Checklist	91
3.3.2.3. Description of the Writing Skills Checklist	92
3.3.2.4. Validity of the Writing Checklist	92
3.3.3. The Reading/Writing Skills Test.	93
3.3.3.1. Test Construction.	93
3.3.3.2. The General Aims of the Test.	93
3.3.3.3. The Objectives of the Test	94
3.3.3.4. Test Description.	94
3.3.3.5. Piloting the Test.	96
3.3.3.6.Test Reliability	97
3.3.3.7. Validity of the Test	97
3.3.3.8. Test Administration.	99
3.3.3.9. Scoring of the Test.	100
3.3.4. Scoring Rubric	100
3.3.4.1. Aim of the Rubric.	100
3.3.4.2. Description of the Rubric	100

3.3.4.3. Validity of the Rubric	101
3.3.5. Satisfaction Questionnaire.	101
3.3.5.1. Aim of the Questionnaire	101
3.3.5.2. Sources of the Satisfaction Questionnaire	101
3.3.5.3. Design of the Satisfaction Questionnaire	101
3.3.5.4. Validity of the Satisfaction Questionnaire	102
3.3.5.5. Instructions of the Satisfaction Questionnaire	102
3.4. The Program.	103
3.4.1. Aims of the Program	103
3.4.2. Objectives of the Program.	103
3.4.3. Content of the Program	103
3.4.4. Teaching Methods of the Program	104
3.4.5. Program Duration	104
3.4.6. Activities and Tasks of the Program	105
3.4.7. Instructor of the Program	105
3.4.8. Orientation Sessions.	106
3.4.9. Assessment.	106
3.5. Conclusion	111
Chapter four: Data Analysis, Results, and Discussion	112
4.1. Determining the Equivalence between the Groups	113
4.2. Results.	118
4.2.1. Verifying the First Hypothesis	118
4.2.2. Verifying the Second Hypothesis	121
4.2.3. Verifying the Third Hypothesis	124
4.2.4. Verifying the fourth hypothesis	127
4.2.5. Satisfaction Questionnaire	130
4.3. Measuring the Effect of the Program	136

4.4. Discussion of the Results	138
4.5. Conclusion.	147
Chapter five: Summary and Conclusion	148
5.1. Summary of the Results	149
5.2. Methodology	149
5.3. Discussion	151
5.4. Limitations	152
5.5. Pedagogical Implications	153
5.6. Recommendations	157
5.7. Suggestions for Further Research	159
References	161
Appendices	186
Appendix A: Names of the Jury Members	187
Appendix B: Reading Skills Checklist	189
Appendix C: Writing Skills Checklist	194
Appendix D: Reading/Writing Pre-post test	199
Appendix E: Answer key	211
Appendix F: Scoring Rubric	213
Appendix G: Satisfaction Questionnaire	218
Appendix H: Websites	224
Appendix I: The Program	227
Appendix J: Samples of Students' Work	400
Arabic Summary	1

List of tables

Table	pag
1.Exploring learning paradigms beyond Constructivism	27
2. The characteristics of the participants	89
3. Table of specifications of the pre-post test	96
4.Test reliability coefficient.	97
5.Test internal validity	99
6.Description of the units of the proposed program	104
7.t-test results of the pre-test of the experimental and control group	114
students in the reading skills test	
8.t-test results of the pre-test of the experimental and control group	116
students in the writing skills test	
9.t-test results of the post-test of the experimental and control group	119
students in the reading skills test.	
10.t-test results of the post-test of the experimental and control group	122
students in the writing skills test.	
11.t-test results of the pre and post test of the experimental group students	125
in the reading test	
12.t-test results of the pre and post test of the experimental group students	128
in the writing test	
13. Students' Satisfaction with the Instructor's Performance	131
14. Students' Satisfaction with the Learning Environment	132
15. Students' Satisfaction with the Content of the Program	133
16. Students' Satisfaction with the Assessment Procedures	134
17. Students' Satisfaction with the Teaching Approach	135
18. The referential framework for determining the effect size of t-values	137
19. The effect size for each separate reading skill	137
20. The effect size for each separate writing skill	138

List of figures

Figure	Page
1.The relation between Knowledge Navigation and	31
Connectivism	
2. t-test results of the pre-test of the experimental and control	115
group students in the reading skills test	
3. t-test results of the pre-test of the experimental and control	117
group students in the writing skills test	
4. t-test results of the post-test of the experimental and	121
control group students in the reading skills test	
5. t-test results of the post-test of the experimental and	124
control group students in the writing skills test	
6. t-test results of the pre and post test of the experimental	127
group students in the reading test	
7. t-test results of the pre and post test of the experimental	130
group students in the writing test	

Chapter one Background and Problem

Chapter one Background and Problem

1.1. Introduction

English is the most important language all over the world. It is the first international language which is used in all fields such as: politics, technology, economy, international conferences, industry, commerce, tourism, journalism and education. There has been significant increase in the number of people who speak English as a second/foreign language around the world. This requires giving more care for learning foreign languages especially English which is considered the first international language of communication among people. Therefore, the educational system in Egypt should enable the learners to communicate in order to be able to keep pace with the modern developments in all fields of knowledge.

The central aim of present-day education is to prepare learners for the emergent knowledge society through appropriate pedagogical practices. It is not enough to concentrate on content mastery in some subject domains but to provide students with the skills of knowledge creation and problem solving. Pedagogical practices that are regarded to improve these abilities include student ownership, active involvement, collaboration between participants, activities of searching, sharing and elaborating knowledge, working with authentic problems and the teacher's changed role from delivering knowledge to organizing, guiding and assessing students (Kozma, 2003).

One of the main objectives of teaching English as a foreign language in Egypt is to develop the students' skills (listening, speaking, reading and writing). Reading is one of the most important skills in English and other languages, as all experiences and information can be obtained through reading. Reading is also very important for several reasons. First, it is critical to success in some academic departments in Egyptian universities. Second, it is a useful source for information that might be missed in class lectures. Third, it can accelerate foreign language learning and improve other language skills. Finally, it is a major means of learning both vocabulary and spelling (**Hussein**, **2007**).

In Egypt, reading plays an important role for EFL learners to acquire the foreign language. It is the medium of interaction and communication between learners and language, because they do not have the opportunity to interact with native speakers (**Khater**, 2002). **Gaine** (2002) maintained that reading is a dynamic process in which the reader must actively participate. **Ceprano** (2002) noted that reading is the only effective strategy that generates an understanding of what is being communicated when dealing with text.

On the other hand, the act of writing is ingrained in every aspect of our life and will continue to shape human interaction during the 21st century. The ability to write gives one the power and opportunity to share and influence thoughts, ideas, and opinions with others, not only in day-to-day situations, but also across time and space (**Unger**, 2004). The writing process is made up of several different elements: prewriting, organization, writing, revising, and editing. These elements overlap with one another; they are not separate stages (**Dabbour**, 2009).**Peterson** (2007) maintains that success in writing greatly depends on the student's attitude, motivation, and engagement. The writing process takes these elements into

account by allowing students to plan their writing and create a publishable, final draft of their work of which they can be proud.

Langer (2001) sees that writing skills are increasingly important and often not adequately taught. Writing enables students to learn, reflect and respond to concepts introduced in their reading. It provides opportunities for the reader to interact with the text. Utilizing relevant and meaningful material allows students to relate their own experiences to what they are reading (Mohamed, 2010). Accordingly, there is a need to integrate both reading and writing skills in EFI classes. Reading and writing tasks often depend on each other: sometimes writing is used to check if students understand what they have read, sometimes reading is used as a preparation for writing tasks (Allam, 2012).

Wisconsin State Reading Association (2004) stated the following four fundamental relationships between reading and writing:

- 1-Interdependent: readers would be at a loss if there were no writers to produce texts. Writers would be equally lost if there were no readers to read their texts.
- 2-Personal and social activities are driven by a need to communicate: writers need responses to the text they are writing; readers need responses to their interpretations of the text.
- 3-Reciprocal processes: writers can learn much about writing by reading. Likewise, readers can learn much about reading by writing.
- 4-Parallel processes: both are purposeful, are dependent on background knowledge and experiences, and are focused on the construction of meaning.

Due mainly to knowledge explosion, educational practice is continually subjected to renewal. Changes in educational environment lead, in turn, to changes in approaches to teaching and learning. These changes also have impact on teaching and learning paradigms. Currently, as over the past few decades, teaching and learning occur in a constructivist learning paradigm.

The most prominent paradigm shifts that we experienced in education during the $20^{\rm th}$ century are :

- 1) Reproductive learning vs productive learning
- 2) Behaviourism vs constructivism
- 3) Teacher-centered vs learner-centered
- 4) Teaching-centered vs learning-centered
- 5) Teaching vs learning facilitation
- 6) Content-based vs outcomes-based
- 7) Content-based evaluation vs outcomes-based assessment (**Brown,2006**, **p.2**).

Terhart (2003) states that constructivism does not present a new didactic paradigm different from traditional educational theories. Although constructivism is successful in some educational areas, it does not introduce a shift from the traditional framework of thinking. Learning paradigms are already starting to shift beyond the changes experienced in the 20th century in terms of the role of teaching and learning. While the role of the teacher first shifted from teaching to learning facilitation, the latest shift is towards "facilitated and supported inquiry" (Soloway,2003). A paradigm shift requires a deeper level of correction. The researcher found that it is very important to make use of these developments and