

Bioactivity of Novel Nano-Ceramic Coating of Titanium Alloy Implant Surfaces and Its Effect on Osseointegration

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for PhD Degree in Dental Biomaterials

By

Ahmed Mohamed Abdelrahman

M.Sc. (Cairo University) 2013

B.D.S (Cairo University) 2005

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2018

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Ghada Atef Alian

Professor of Dental Biomaterials, Biomaterials Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Mohamed Salah Abdelaziz

Assistant Professor of Dental Biomaterials,

Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Prof. Madiha Mohammed Shoib

Professor of Chemistry and Technology of Ceramic Coating

Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute
(CMRDI)

Prof. Ibrahim Mohamed Ibrahim

Professor of Surgery, Anesthesia and Radiology Department

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my gratitude and deep appreciation to *Prof. Ghada Atef Alian*, Professor of Dental Materials, Department of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her treasured guidance, inspiration during the preparation of this work and during all aspects of this investigation.

I am thankful for *Ass. Prof. Mohamed Salah Abdelaziz*, Assistant Professor of Dental Biomaterials, Department of Biomaterials, Faculty Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his time and continuous help and useful remarks he willingly gave me during my work.

Prof. Madiha Mohammed Shoib, Professor of Chemistry and Technology of Ceramic Coating, Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute, for her guidance and encouragement during the coating development and investigation.

Prof. Ibrahim Mohamed Ibrahim, Professor of Surgery, Anesthesia and Radiology Department Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University; for his contribution, in the in-vivo part of the study.

Ass. Prof. Heba Abdelhamid Shalaby, Assistant Professor of Dental Biomaterials, Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Alfayum University; for her help and contribution in the development and preparation of the coating.

Dr. Marwa Elshaikh, Lecturer of Oral Biology, Histology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Al Azhar University for Girls; for her help and contribution in the Histopathological evaluation.

Sincere thanks to the *Staff Members* of the Biomaterials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for bringing this work to light.

LIST OF CONTENT

INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
Titanium:	4
<i>Titanium and its alloys</i>	4
a. <i>Commercially pure Titanium (Cp-Ti).....</i>	4
<i>Biocompatibility of Titanium implants</i>	7
a. <i>Corrosion</i>	7
b. <i>Allergy.....</i>	8
Surface Modifications of Titanium:	9
<i>Surface Coating Materials</i>	9
a. <i>Hydroxyapatite coating alternatives and enhancements:</i>	9
b. <i>Bone morphogenetic proteins containing coatings:</i>	10
c. <i>Collagen-based coatings:.....</i>	11
d. <i>Coatings containing Chitosan:.....</i>	12
e. <i>Other coatings for enhanced bone integration:</i>	13
<i>Surface Coating techniques.....</i>	15
a. <i>Thermal Spray:</i>	15
I. <i>Cold Spray</i>	15
II. <i>Detonation Gun Spray</i>	15
III. <i>Direct Current Blown Arc Spray (plasma spraying)</i>	16
IV. <i>Radio Frequency Inductively Coupled Spray</i>	16
V. <i>Plasma Transferred Wire Arc Spray.....</i>	17
VI. <i>Plasma Spray of Hydroxyapatite</i>	17
VII. <i>Nitriding</i>	18
b. <i>Physical Vapor Deposition/Chemical Vapor Deposition:.....</i>	19
I. <i>Thermal Evaporation-Physical Vapor Deposition.....</i>	19
II. <i>Sputter Physical Vapor Deposition.....</i>	20
III. <i>Ion-Beam-Assisted Deposition.</i>	20
IV. <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition</i>	20
c. <i>Electrodeposition:</i>	21
d. <i>Aerosol Deposition:</i>	22
<i>Surface roughness modifications.....</i>	23
a. <i>Micro-roughness:</i>	23
I. <i>Acid Etching.....</i>	23
II. <i>Grit-blasting</i>	24

III. Grit-blasting and acid etching.....	24
IV. Anodization.....	25
V. Titanium Plasma-Spraying (TPS)	26
b. Nano-roughness:	27
I. Self-assembly of monolayers (SAMs).....	27
II. Sol-gel technique	27
III. Electron beam lithography	28
IV. TiO ₂ Nanotube fabrication (Anodization)	28
Titanium Surface evaluation:.....	29
Surface chemical analysis	29
a. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS):	29
b. Auger electron spectroscopy (AES):	30
c. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX or EDS):	31
d. X-ray diffraction (XRD):	31
e. FTIR Spectroscopy (Fourier Transform Infrared):	32
Surface Topographical analysis	33
a. Scanning Probe Microscopes:	34
I. Atomic Force Microscopy.....	34
II. Scanning Tunneling Microscopy.....	35
b. Mechanical Contact Profilometers:	35
c. Optical Profiling Instruments:	36
I. Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM)	36
II. White light interferometry.....	36
Biological analysis	37
a. Biomimetic (In-vitro) studies:	37
b. Cytotoxicity (In-Vitro) testing:	37
c. Preclinical Animal (In-vivo) Studies:	38
d. Clinical Human (In-vivo) Studies:	40
 AIM OF THE STUDY.....	 42
 MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	 43
Materials:	43
Methods:.....	44
 The In-Vitro study:	 44
1. Specimens preparation, Electrodeposition, and Sintering	45
I. Specimens' preparation:	45

II. Electrochemical Deposition:	45
III. Electrochemical Deposition:	45
IV. pH evaluation:	47
V. Sintering:	47
2. Characterization of surface coat:	48
I. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis:	48
II. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analysis:	49
III. Surface topographical study:	49
IV. Coat Thickness Evaluation:	50
V. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) examination:	50
VI. Monitoring Samples weighting:	51
3. Evaluation of Bioactivity of surface coat:	51
I. Simulated body fluid (SBF) preparation:	51
II. SBF immersion:	51
III. Characterization of SBF immersed samples:	51
The In-Vivo study:	52
1. Implants preparation, Electrodeposition, Sintering, and sterilization:	52
I. Implant preparation:	53
II. Electrochemical Deposition:	53
III. Sintering:	53
IV. Sterilization:	53
2. Animal Selection and Experimental procedure:	54
I. Animal Selection:	54
II. Surgical procedure:	54
I. Anesthesia and preparation	54
II. Operation	55
III. Animal Sacrificion	55
III. Post-Operative Care:	60
3. Radiographic examination:	60
I. Film preparation:	60
II. Digitization:	61
4. Histomorphometric analysis:	62
I. Bone sample preparation:	62
II. Histomorphometric analysis:	63
5. Histological analysis:	64
I. Bone sample preparation:	64
II. Histological analysis:	64
III. Osteocytes count:	64
Statistical analysis:	66

RESULTS	67
1. In-Vitro study.....	67
I. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis	67
A. Before Sintering	67
B. After Sintering.....	71
C. After SBF immersion	74
II. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analysis.....	77
A. Before Sintering	77
B. After Sintering.....	80
C. After SBF Immersion.....	83
III. Surface topographical study.....	87
1. Surface roughness (Ra)	87
2. Morphological analysis.....	89
A. Before Sintering.....	89
B. After Sintering	91
C. After SBF immersion.....	92
IV. Coat Thickness Evaluation.....	94
V. Monitoring Samples weighting.....	96
2. In-Vivo study.....	97
I. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis	97
II. Radiographic Analysis.....	98
A. Difference between tested groups	98
B. Difference between follow up periods.....	98
III. Histomorphometric analysis	101
IV. Histological Analysis	104
DISCUSSION	109
SUMMARY.....	122
CONCLUSIONS.....	124
REFERENCES.....	125
ARABIC SUMMARY	141

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 1: Materials used in this Study:</i>	43
<i>Table 2: Factorial design of the In-Vitro study:</i>	52
<i>Table 3: Factorial design for In-Vivo study:</i>	65
<i>Table 4: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Control (Ti6Al4V) matched by ICDD card.</i>	68
<i>Table 5: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group I (1.8 V) samples matched by ICDD card.</i>	69
<i>Table 6: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group II (2.4 V) samples matched by ICDD card.</i>	70
<i>Table 7: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group I (1.8 V) after Sintering matched by ICDD card.</i>	72
<i>Table 8: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group II (2.4 V) after Sintering matched by ICDD card.</i>	73
<i>Table 9: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group I (1.8 V) after SBF immersion matched by ICDD card.</i>	75
<i>Table 10: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], Relative intensity [%] for Group II (2.4 V) after SBF immersion matched by ICDD card.</i>	76
<i>Table 11: The Characteristic Transmission Bands of FTIR spectra for tested groups before Sintering</i>	78
<i>Table 12: The Characteristic Transmission Bands of FTIR spectra for tested groups After Sintering</i>	80
<i>Table 13: The Characteristic Transmission Bands of FTIR spectra for tested groups after SBF immersion</i>	84
<i>Table 14: Means and Standard Deviations (SD) for Surface roughness (μm^2) and results of One Way ANOVA.</i>	87
<i>Table 15: Means and Standard Deviations (SD) for Coat thickness (μm) and results of Independent t-test.</i>	94
<i>Table 16: Means and standard deviations (SD) for change in Weight (mg) and results of Independent t-test.</i>	96
<i>Table 17: X-ray diffraction values of Position of [$^{\circ}2\theta$], d-spacing [$\text{\AA}$], relative intensity [%] for Ti6Al4V implant matched by ICDD card.</i>	97
<i>Table 18: Means and standard deviations (SD) Bone density.</i>	99
<i>Table 19: Means, standard deviations (SD), Minimum and Maximum for Bone-to-Implant Contact (BIC) (%) and results of Kruskal Wallis test.</i>	101

<i>Table 20: Means and standard deviations (SD), median, minimum and maximum for % of the area occupied by osteocyte and results of Kruskal Wallis test.....</i>	<i>108</i>
--	------------

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Scanning electron micrographs of dental implants surfaces. (a) machined, (b) acid etched, (c) Sandblasted and (d) anodized ^[87]	26
Figure 2: Scanning electron micrographs of dental implants surfaces covered with TiO ₂ Nanotubes. (A) 30, (B) 50, (C) 70(D) 100 nm ^[97]	28
Figure 3: Flowchart of the experimental design of the In-Vitro study	44
Figure 4: Potentiostat Used.....	46
Figure 5: The Holder Used to ensure the 4-cm distance between the Cathode and Anode during the deposition process.	47
Figure 6: Line Chart for Temperature and time controlled Sintering process.....	48
Figure 7: Coat thickness evaluation.	50
Figure 8: Flowchart of the experimental design of the In-Vivo study.	52
Figure 9: Photograph showing preparation of the surgical site.	56
Figure 10: Photograph showing 3 mm incision in tibia	56
Figure 11: Photograph showing drilling for the implant placement.....	57
Figure 12: Photograph showing implant placed in implant holder	57
Figure 13: Photograph showing initial placement of the implant in the drilling site	58
Figure 14: Photograph showing ratchet used for completing implant placement. .	58
Figure 15: Photograph showing implant after placement.....	59
Figure 16: Photograph showing cap screw placed before suturing.....	59
Figure 17: Photograph showing suturing procedure	60
Figure 18: Aluminum wedge aligned to the Tibia Bone and implant.	61
Figure 19: Proximal areas (2 Apical, 2 Middle and 2 Crestal implant wall) for Bone density evaluation.	61
Figure 20: Digital photograph with confocal microscope showing mineralized bone stained red (4x).	62
Figure 21: Image is transferred to CellM software to remove soft tissue contrast.	63
Figure 22: Amount of mineralized bone is isolated and subtracted from calculated implant perimeter.....	63
Figure 23: X-ray diffraction pattern of Untreated Control (Ti6Al4V) group.....	68
Figure 24: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group I(1.8 V).....	69
Figure 25: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group II (2.4 V).....	70
Figure 26: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group I (1.8 V) after Sintering.	72
Figure 27: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group II (2.4 V) after Sintering.....	73
Figure 28: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group I (1.8 V) after SBF immersion.....	75
Figure 29: X-ray diffraction pattern of Group II (2.4 V) after SBF immersion.	76

Figure 30: FTIR spectra of different tested groups before Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I)	78
Figure 31: FTIR spectra of different tested groups before Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the Adsorbed water ($2600-3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$).....	79
Figure 32: FTIR spectra of different tested groups before Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the O-H Vibration of $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ (1434 cm^{-1}), C=O (1762 cm^{-1}) and H_2O (1714 cm^{-1}).....	79
Figure 33: FTIR spectra of different tested groups After Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I).....	81
Figure 34: FTIR spectra of different tested groups After Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the Adsorbed water ($2600-3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$).....	81
Figure 35: FTIR spectra of different tested groups After Sintering showing the O- (MgO) (528 cm^{-1} and 410 cm^{-1}). (Red: Group II and Black: Group I)	82
Figure 36: FTIR spectra of different tested groups After Sintering (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the CO_3^{2-} (1428 cm^{-1}).....	82
Figure 37: FTIR spectra of different tested groups after SBF immersion. (Red: Group II and Black: Group I)	85
Figure 38: FTIR spectra of different tested groups after SBF immersion (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the Adsorbed water ($2600-3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$).....	85
Figure 39: FTIR spectra of different tested groups after SBF immersion (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the C=O (1727 cm^{-1}) and CO_3^{2-} ($1425-1520\text{ cm}^{-1}$).	86
Figure 40: FTIR spectra of different tested groups after SBF immersion (Red: Group II and Black: Group I) showing the $-\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ (869 cm^{-1}) and $\text{PO}_4^{3-}(\text{V}_1)$ (1014 cm^{-1}) and $\text{PO}_4^{3-}(\text{V}_4)$ (556 cm^{-1}).	86
Figure 41: Bar chart showing the mean Surface roughness (μm^2) for Group I (1.8 V) and Group II (2.4 V).....	87
Figure 42: 3D atomic force micrograph of MgO coating surface for 1.8 V.....	88
Figure 43: 3D atomic force micrograph of MgO coating surface for 2.4 V.....	88
Figure 44: Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface of Pure Ti (x10000).	89
Figure 45: Scanning electron micrograph showing the Lamellar deposition of $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ for 1.8 V (x10000) before Sintering.....	90
Figure 46: Scanning electron micrograph showing the Lamellar deposition of $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ for 2.4 V (x10000) before Sintering.....	90
Figure 47: Scanning electron micrograph showing the compact Lamellar shaped deposition of MgO for 1.8 V (x10000) after Sintering.	91
Figure 48: Scanning electron micrograph showing the compact Lamellar shaped deposition of MgO for 2.4 V (x10000) after Sintering.	92

Figure 49: Scanning electron micrograph showing agglomerate-like precipitated structure after SBF immersion for 1.8 V(x10000).	93
Figure 50: Scanning electron micrograph showing complete blockage of the lamellar structure after SBF immersion for 2.4 V (x10000).	93
Figure 51: Bar chart showing the mean Coat thickness (μm) for Group I (1.8 V) and Group II (2.4 V).	94
Figure 52: 3D atomic force micrograph showing the step formed between the MgO coating and Ti sample for 1.8 V.	95
Figure 53: 3D atomic force micrograph showing the step formed between the MgO coating and Ti sample for 2.4 V.	95
Figure 54: Bar Chart showing the mean change in weight (mg) for Group I (1.8 V) and Group II (2.4 V) for different tested procedures.	96
Figure 55: X-ray diffraction pattern of Ti6Al4V alloy implants before coating deposition.	97
Figure 56: Bar Chart showing Mean Bone density for different tested groups within each follow-up period.	100
Figure 57: Line chart showing mean increase in bone density for different tested groups by time.	100
Figure 58: Digital image 4x, demonstrating mineralized cortical bone stained red and bone marrow stained brown of Control implant (BIC:64%).	101
Figure 59: Digital image 4x, demonstrating mineralized cortical bone stained red and bone marrow stained brown of Group I (1.8 V) implant (BIC:78%).	102
Figure 60: Digital image 4x, demonstrating mineralized cortical bone stained red and bone marrow stained brown of Group II (2.4 V) implant (BIC:88%).	102
Figure 61: Box and Plot of bone-to-implant contact (BIC) (%) for different tested groups of	103
Figure 62: A photomicrograph (x40) of Control group showing new bone formation along the surface of the implant with a line of demarcation (arrows and dotted line) between new and old bone.	105
Figure 63: A photomicrograph (x100) of control group showing osteocytes with normal shape size and arrangement (Arrows: resting lines).	105
Figure 64: A photomicrograph (x40) of Group I (1.8 V) showing new bone formation at the surface of the implant with resting lines, Haversian canals with concentrically arranged osteocytes (black arrows) and lined by osteoblasts (red arrows).	106
Figure 65: A photomicrograph (x100) of Group I (1.8 V) showing a line of demarcation between the newly formed bone at the implant surface and the old bone (red box), small Haversian system (red arrow) and active plump osteoblastic lining (green arrows).	106
