Thermoplastic versus Conventional Heat Cured Denture Bases for Implant - Retained Mandibular Overdenture

Thesis outline Submitted to

The Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

for the partial fulfillment of Doctorate Degree in Prosthodontics

By **Hany Mohammed Mohammed Ali**

B. D. S. (Ain Shams University 2002)M. Sc (Cairo University 2010)

Under Supervision of

Dr. Hany Ibrahim Eid

Professor of Prosthodontics
Faculty of Dentistry-Ain Shams University

Dr. Noha Helmy Nawar

Associate Professor of Prosthodontics Faculty of Dentistry-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2017

List of Contents

| Title | Page |
|--|------|
| ❖ List of figures | i |
| ❖ List of tables | V |
| ❖ Introduction | 1 |
| * Review of literature | 3 |
| ■ Incidence and prevalence of edentulism | 3 |
| - Factors affecting degree of ridge resorption | 4 |
| - Effects of residual ridge resorption | 10 |
| ■ Treatment of complete edentulism | 13 |
| - Conventional complete dentures | 13 |
| - Factors affecting success of conventional complete | |
| denture | 14 |
| - Surgical management of severely resorped ridge | 16 |
| - Overdentures | 19 |
| . Classification of overdentures | 19 |
| . Tooth supported overdenture | 19 |
| . Advantages of tooth supported overdentures | 20 |
| . Disadvantages of tooth supported overdentures | 21 |
| . Implant Supported Overdenture | 21 |
| | |

| - Dental Implant | 21 |
|--|----|
| . Classification of dental implant | 22 |
| . Bone behavior in relation to implants | 24 |
| . Osseointegration | 26 |
| . Dental implant stability | 27 |
| . Factors affecting the implant stability | 27 |
| - Implant overdenture attachments | 30 |
| . Implant overdenture attachments classification | 31 |
| . Classification of implant overdentures | 36 |
| . Advantages of implant overdentures | 37 |
| . Disadvantages of implant overdentures | 38 |
| Complete denture base materials | 40 |
| - The requirements of a denture base material | 40 |
| - Types of complete denture materials | 41 |
| . Poly methyl Methacrylate | 41 |
| Advantages of polymethyl methacrylate | 42 |
| Disadvantages of polymethyl methacrylate | 42 |
| . Thermoplastic resin | 43 |
| Types of thermoplastic resin | 43 |
| Advantages of thermoplastic materials | 47 |
| | |

| Disadvantages of thermoplastic materials | |
|--|----|
| - Physical properties of thermoplastic versus polymethyl | |
| methacrylate denture base material | 52 |
| ■ Methods of evaluation | 54 |
| - Radiographic evaluation | 54 |
| - Marginal bone height | 54 |
| . Periapical radiography | 54 |
| . Panoramic radiography | 55 |
| . Scanography | 56 |
| . Computed tomographic scans (CT) | 57 |
| . Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) | 57 |
| - Implant stability | 59 |
| | 59 |
| . Influencing factors affecting the Implant Stability | |
| Quotient (ISQ) | 62 |
| ❖ Aim of study | 64 |
| ❖ Material and methods | 65 |
| ■ Patient selection | 65 |
| ■ Patient approval and consent. | |
| ■ Patients Evaluation | 67 |

| ■ Patients grouping | 70 |
|---|-----|
| ■ Pre-surgical considerations. | 71 |
| ■ Surgical armamentaria. | 73 |
| ■ Surgical procedures | 74 |
| - First surgical phase (fixture installation) | 74 |
| - Second surgical phase | 81 |
| Prosthetic procedures | 83 |
| - Laboratory steps for the denture construction | 87 |
| Post insertion care and instructions | 94 |
| ■ Methods of evaluation | 97 |
| - Radiographic Evaluation | 97 |
| . Image analysis | 98 |
| - Implant stability | 99 |
| Statistical analysis | 101 |
| * Results | 102 |
| ■ Radiographic evaluations | |
| - The effect of different denture base materials on bone | |
| loss | 102 |
| - The effect of time on the amount of bone loss throughout the study period within the same group | 109 |

| ■ Implant stability | 113 |
|---|-----|
| - The effect of different denture base materials on implant stability | 113 |
| - The effect of time on the stability of implants | |
| throughout the study period | 115 |
| ❖ Discussion | |
| ■ Discussion of methodology | 117 |
| ■ Discussion of results | 123 |
| ❖ Summary and conclusion | |
| ❖ References | 130 |
| ❖ Arabic summary | |

List of Figures

| Fig. | Description | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 1 | completely edentulous maxillary and mandibular arches | 69 |
| 2 | Cone Beam CT | 70 |
| 3 | Surgical template | 71 |
| 4 | Dental implants in sterile double vial package | 72 |
| 5 | Electric high torque motor with irrigation system | 73 |
| 6 | full surgical kit for implant installation | 73 |
| 7 | Sequence of drills used for implant installation | 74 |
| 8 | Surgical incision | 77 |
| 9 | Full thickness mucoperiostial flap | 77 |
| 10 | Mark fixture site with round surgical bur | 77 |
| 11 | Pilot drill | 78 |
| 12 | Enlargement of implant bed with parallel drill | 78 |
| 13 | Reaming the implant bed | 78 |
| 14 | Fixture mount were removed from its sterile package | 79 |
| 15 | Implant handled to its position and slowly threaded into the bone | 79 |
| 16 | Implant installed with its transferred mounts | 79 |
| 17 | Unscrewing the implant mount with screw driver | 80 |
| 18 | The fixture with its cover screw in position | 80 |
| 19 | Interrupted suture | 80 |

| 20 | Detection of implant fixture | 82 |
|----|---|----|
| 21 | Snap (ball) attachment | 82 |
| 22 | Attachment insertion to fixture | 82 |
| 23 | Snap (ball) attachment in its position | 83 |
| 24 | Alginate primary impressions | 85 |
| 25 | Special tray | 85 |
| 26 | Implant analogue | 85 |
| 27 | Abutment analogues were replaced in their accurate positions in the | 86 |
| | impression | |
| 28 | Abutment analogues position in master cast | 86 |
| 29 | Silicone ring around the female part | 86 |
| 30 | Holes in selected teeth | 89 |
| 31 | Waxing up and attaching the sprue formers | 89 |
| 32 | Mold after dewaxing | 89 |
| 33 | Sabilex Acrilfast cartilage | 90 |
| 34 | Sabilex 2AD plus | 90 |
| 35 | The denture attaching the sprue formers after injection | 91 |
| 36 | Processed denture with sprue | 91 |
| 37 | Finished thermoplastic denture | 91 |
| 38 | The plastic sleeves | 95 |
| 39 | Gap around the socket | 95 |
| 40 | Activator and deactivator instruments | 96 |

| 41 | Closing or opening the ball socket | 96 |
|----|--|-----|
| 42 | Marginal bone heights evaluation report | 98 |
| 43 | Osstel ISQ | 99 |
| 44 | Smartpeg with its holder | 100 |
| 45 | Smartpeg with its holder in patient mouth | 100 |
| 46 | Smartpeg placed into internal interface of implant To record ISQ value | 101 |
| 47 | The mean values for the amount of bone loss at mesial aspect of implants | 104 |
| 48 | The mean values for the amount of bone loss at distal aspect of implants | 106 |
| 49 | The mean values for the amount of bone loss at all aspects of implants | 108 |
| 50 | The mean values for the amount of bone loss within group I by Paired t test | 110 |
| 51 | The mean values for the amount of bone loss within group II by Paired t test | 112 |
| 52 | The mean values for implant stability records by t test | 114 |
| 53 | The mean values for implant stability records in group I by Paired t test | 115 |
| 54 | The mean values for implant stability records in group II by Paired t test | 116 |

List of Tables

| Table | description | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | T test comparing the mean values for the amount of bone loss at | 103 |
| | mesial aspect of implants | |
| 2 | T test comparing the mean values for the amount of bone loss at | 105 |
| | distal aspect of implants | |
| 3 | T test comparing the mean values for the amount of bone loss at | 107 |
| | all aspects of implants | |
| 4 | Paired t test comparing the mean values for the amount of bone | 109 |
| | loss within group I | |
| 5 | Paired t test comparing the mean values for the amount of bone | 111 |
| | loss within group II | |
| 6 | T test comparing the mean values for implant stability records | 113 |
| 7 | Paired t test comparing the mean values for implant stability | 115 |
| | records in group I | |
| 8 | Paired t test comparing the mean values for implant stability | 116 |
| | records in group II | |

INTRODUCTION

Loss of natural dentition, eventual edentulism and resultant wearing of complete denture has been part of the expected course of aging. The prevalence of edentulism has decreased in the past few years due to the improvement of conservative dental treatment modalities as well as the greater frequency of their use. However, the expansion of the elderly population results in an increase in the need of various forms of oral health care.

Years of wearing complete denture lead to progressive bone resorption. This destructive process leads to loss of denture support, improper retention of mandibular denture, intolerance to loading by mucosa, difficulties with eating and speech, pain and altered facial appearance.

The introduction of osseointegrated implants in dentistry has provided new alternative options for the rehabilitation of edentulous patients. A conventional complete mandibular denture is less appropriate than a maxillary denture in term of retention. However, the use of two implants to retain the denture significantly improves the prognosis of mandibular edentulism.

The concept of overdentures has many advantages including preservation of alveolar bone and protects the patient from the psychological trauma of finding himself edentulous. Moreover, they enhance support, stability and retention. Attachments are one method to improve retention. They may connect either individual implants or

splinted implants to the overdenture. During masticatory function, overstress around dental implants may lead to bone resorption, which lead to peri-implant infection and failure of dental rehabilitation. The way in which bone is loaded can affect its response. In case of repetitive cyclic stresses application; microcracks in bone may occur and may lead to osteoclastic activity.

In recent years, thermoplastic polymers has been attracting attention as a denture base material due of its advantageous proberties such as better elasticity and higher moulding precision than heat-cured base resins that decreases the stresses on ridge bone, abutment teeth or implant as well as facilitate denture retention by way of utilizing the available undercuts according to the denture base design.

Though the question is whether the thermoplastic polymers is better than other denture base materials regarding for the stress distribution and alveolar bone resorption or not

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Incidence and prevalence of edentulism

Edentulism is loss of all permanent teeth. This is a result of a complicated interaction between biological process (as caries and periodontal disease) and non-biological entities (e.g. economy, dental awareness, access to dental services, and education). (1)

Edentulism has several bad consequences on oral health with residual ridge resorption that leading to impaired masticatory and speaking function, nutritional deficiency status that increase the risk for systemic diseases, Appearance and social problems. (2)

10% of the adult population suffers of total edentulism over forty years with gradual increases to reach 45% in population over 75 years. (3)

Complete edentulism is considered a serious pathological condition of the maxillofacial system that affects its basic functions (mastication, phonation, physiognomy, etc.). Out of these considerations, the prosthetic treatment of complete edentulism is considered to be the most complicated situation, because the treatment requires restoring basic features of the stomatognathic system such as occlusal vertical dimension (OVD), occlusal plane, and lost intermaxillary relations and on the same side achieving retention and stability of prostheses. (4)

Optimal complete denture treatment requires the understanding, differentiation and integration of certain mechanical, biological and physical factors that determine the properties of retention, stability and support in complete dentures. (5)

Factors affecting degree of ridge resorption:

The residual ridge resorption in edentulous patients is considered a serious clinical issue. Progressive bone loss without proper prosthetic treatment and rehabilitation of the masticatory function can contribute to numerous unfavorable sequelae. ⁽⁶⁾

Total teeth loss results in impairment of mastication as well as loss of receptors of the periodontal tissue. Thus the efficiency of mastication is markedly decreased with subsequent deterioration in general condition and increased risk of systemic and neoplastic diseases. (7)

There are many individual features that determine speed and extent of resorption such as age, sex and time elapsed since tooth extraction, osteoblast life span, local and systemic biochemical factors and physical factors, such as the pressure exerted on the bone by dentures. (8)

Knowledge of the causative factors that affect alveolar resorption in the mandible is important to avoid the potentially severe consequences of residual ridge resorption in edentulous patients. (9)

1. Anatomic factors:

A. Bone size

The resorption is influenced by the original size of the ridge and the depth of the extraction sockets. (9)

It is reported that ridge resorption differs with both the quantity and quality of bone of the residual ridges. The more the amount of bone, the more resorption will be present however, the amount of bone is not a good detector of the resorption rate because sometimes large ridges resorb rapidly and some knife-edge ridges may remain with little change for long periods of time. (10)

Evaluating the present status of the residual ridge gives clear evidence about the resorption pattern. The residual ridges which are high and well-rounded for several years will continue to do so. If it has resorbed in short time, it will resorb at a higher rate. (11)

B. Bone type

It has been postulated that cortical and cancellous bones respond differently to either local or systemic influences. In humans, the number, distribution, and arrangement of trabeculae varies highly in edentulous maxillas and mandibles. (12)

The thin cortical bone and the relatively large amount of trabecular bone in the maxilla are proposed to absorb bite impulses more efficiently than does mandibular bone. (11)

C. Bone density

The density of the ridge is another important (considerable) factor. However the density does not signify the current metabolic