

FERTIGATION MANAGEMENT BASED ON SIMULATION MODELS

By

AYMAN SAMIR OSMAN EL-ABD

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Ain Shams University, 2012

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
the Requirements for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

**Agricultural Sciences
(On-Farm Irrigation and Drainage Engineering)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2018

Approval Sheet

FERTIGATION MANAGEMENT BASED ON SIMULATION MODELS

By

AYMAN SAMIR OSMAN EL-ABD

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Ain Shams University, 2012

This thesis for M.Sc. Degree has been approved by:

Dr. Hazem Sayed Mehawed

Chief Researcher, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute,
Agriculture Research Centre

Dr. Ahmed Abou El-Hassan Abdel-Aziz

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University

Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University

Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of examination: / /

FERTIGATION MANAGEMENT BASED ON SIMULATION MODELS

By

AYMAN SAMIR OSMAN EL ABD

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agricultural Engineering), Ain Shams University, 2012

Under Supervision of:

Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mohamed Morsy Hussein

Research Prof. Emeritus of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Centre.

ABSTRACT

Ayman Samir Osman El-Abd: Fertigation Management Based on Simulation Models. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2018.

Although, fertigation technique is ordinarily used to guarantee a stable productivity of crop-unit area and also to improve yield quality, but on the other hand, fertigation became undesirable due to its critical effect on agro-environmental resources and human beings. Furthermore, disability of irrigation systems because of clogging and energy-head losses enlargement due to chemical involvement in different equipment which represents a serious problem for applying such technology and assigned techniques, In addition to its impact on either yield production and assigned quality parameters or agro-physical resources. The above-mentioned problems could be avoided by the following: good management practices of each parts of fertigation technique, i.e. irrigation systems; water, fertilizers and injecting equipment.

Fertigation system management requires a substantially-specific database of available resources and convenient field status and conditions. Management information systems (MIS) plays a crucial role and can be effective tool to be used efficiently. One of these tools is called Simulation Models (SM) which represents designing a model for a real system and doing experiments over this model for the purpose of understanding the behavior of the system of the system or to evaluate strategies for the operation of the system.

The aim of this investigation was to build, verify and validate a simulation model for managing fertigation technique under arid ecosystem conditions of Egyptian agriculture.

Results and system indicated that it is possible to use the simulation model for estimating the fertigation management parameters

accurately. In addition, some of the observed results can be summarized as following:

- 1- There were improving and increasing in the yield of potato based on simulated irrigation water and fertilizers rate by using Fertigation Mag-SM in both seasons where it increased of 19.5% in the 2015 season and 11.4% season in the 2016 season compared to conventional methods.
- 2- The highest yields of potato at 75% of full irrigation (FI) and 100% of full fertilizers (NPK) were ($14.05 \text{ ton fed}^{-1}$) based on simulated water and fertilizers using Fertigation Mag-SM and ($13.21 \text{ ton fed}^{-1}$) using the conventional control during 2015 season and Were ($14.5 \text{ ton fed}^{-1}$) based on simulated water and fertilizers using Fertigation Mag-SM and ($13.78 \text{ ton fed}^{-1}$) using the conventional control during 2016 season.
- 3- Maximum value of WUE of potato yield was (5.77 Kg m^{-3}) at 75% of FI and 100% of NPK based on simulated water and fertilizers using Fertigation Mag-SM and (5.14 Kg m^{-3}) using the conventional control in 2015 season and were (6.77 Kg m^{-3}) based on simulated water and fertilizers using Fertigation Mag-SM and (6.02 Kg m^{-3}) using the conventional control in 2016 season.
- 4- Maximum value for FUE for potato was at 75% of FI and 50% of NPK, as NUE (268.9 Kg Kg^{-1}), PUE (372.3 Kg Kg^{-1}) and KUE (169.8 Kg Kg^{-1}) based on simulated water and fertilizers and were NUE (242.0 Kg Kg^{-1}) PUE (372.3 Kg Kg^{-1}) and KUE (161.3 Kg Kg^{-1}) using the conventional control in season 2015, and were NUE (274.5 Kg Kg^{-1}), PUE (417.2 Kg Kg^{-1}) and KUE (183 Kg Kg^{-1}) based on simulated water and fertilizers and were NUE (260.8 Kg Kg^{-1}) PUE (401.2 Kg Kg^{-1}) and KUE (173.8 Kg Kg^{-1}) using the conventional control in season 2016

Key Words:

Irrigation systems, Fertigation, Vegetable crops, Soils, Management criteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, glory, praise, and gratitude are due to almighty Allah for supporting me all the way of my life.

I would wish to express deep thanks and appreciation and sincere gratitude to my supervisors **Dr. Abdel-Ghany Mohamed El-Gindy** and **Dr. Yasser Ezzat Arafa** Professors of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the research problems, handling the problem solving method, continuous advising throughout this work, valuable suggestions and revising the thesis.

Sincere gratitude is also extended to **Dr. Mohamed Morsy Hussein**, Research Prof. of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Centre, for possible help and guidance, kindly supervision, continuous support, and sincere criticism throughout the period of study.

I would wish to express my greatest appreciation and deepest gratitude to **Dr. Abdelraof Ramadan** and **Dr. Ahmed Faris Elshafey** Assistant Professors of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Centre, for their great efforts during the preparation of this work, supervision, generous encouragement, providing me with all required facilities and experience, in addition to revising the manuscript.

Great thanks to all my colleagues especially **Eng. Kamel El-Tohamy** Assistant Lecturer of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Centre, as well as, all who had lent me a hand to complete this work.

Great thanks for All Agricultural Engineering Department members, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Finally, great thanks to **mother, father** and all members of my family for their support and attention.

.

CONTENTS

Page

LIST OF TABLES-

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF PLATES

I	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
2.1	Simulation modeling Therapy (SM)	3
2.1.1	Definition and behavior.....	3
2.1.2	Advantages and disadvantages of SM	3
2.1.3	Building a simulation model	4
2.1.4	Simulation model verification and validation	7
2.1.5	Simulation modeling in on-farm irrigation engineering.....	8
2.2	Fertigation Management	12
2.2.1	Effects on fertilizing application via irrigation water.....	13
2.2.2	Environmental impact of fertigation	16
2.3	IT Applications on Improving Fertigation Management Efficiency.....	19
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	21
3.1	Buildup of simulation model.....	21
3.1.1	Description of a developed simulation model.....	21
3.1.1.1	Main sub-model.....	22
3.1.1.2	Location sub-model	22
3.1.1.3	Climate sub-model	22
3.1.1.4	Crop type sub-model	22
3.1.1.5	Fertilizers sub-model	23
3.1.1.6	Soil sub-model	23
3.1.1.7	Irrigation sub-model	23
3.1.1.8	Output sub-model	23
3.2	Fertigation technique management theory.....	28
3.2.1	Irrigation and water systems.....	31
3.2.2	Applied fertilizers.....	32

II

3.2.3	Agrochemicals injectors	35
3.2.4	Crop types.....	37
3.2.5	Soil characteristics.....	37
3.2.6	Fertigation requirement calculation.....	39
3.3	Verification of the developed-SM.....	40
3.4	Validation of case-study.....	41
3.4.1	Experimental site description	41
3.4.2	Irrigation system components and experimental site layout...	41
3.4.3	Crop type.....	44
3.4.4	Meteorological data	45
3.5	Statistical analysis	45
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	46
4.1	Conventional and simulated irrigation water.....	46
4.2	Conventional and simulated fertilizer rates.....	49
4.3	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water on yield and biological yield.....	53
4.4	Effect of conventional and simulated fertilizer rates on yield and biological yield during season.....	56
4.5	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate on yield and biological yield.....	59
4.6	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and their interaction on water use efficiency of potato (Judgmental indices analysis).....	65
4.7	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and their interaction on fertilizers use efficiency of potato (Judgmental indices analysis).....	69
V	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	74
VI	REFERENCES	77
VII	APPENDICES	85
VIII	ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (1): Survey of IT systems for irrigation water management.....	20
Table (2): Solubility of common fertilizers in water.....	33
Table (3): Solubility of some fertilizers in irrigation water.....	34
Table (4): Some soil physical properties at the experimental site.....	38
Table (5): Some soil chemical characteristics at the experimental site.....	38
Table (6): Irrigation water chemical characteristics at the experimental site.....	39
Table (7): Reference data of crop length, single crop coefficient (Kc), crop height (h), and root zone depth (Zr) for the four stages of growth for potatoes (FAO56).....	45
Table (8): Conventional and simulated irrigation water during season 2015, 2016 and average.....	47
Table (9): Conventional and simulated fertilizer rate during seasons 2015 and 2016.....	50
Table (10): Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water on yield and biological yield during season 2015	54
Table (11): Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water on yield and biological yield during season 2016.....	54
Table (12): Effect of conventional and simulated fertilizers rate on yield and biological yield during season 2015	57
Table (13): Effect of conventional and simulated fertilizers rate on yield and biological yield during season	

	2016.....	57
Table (14):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate on yield and biological yield during season 2015.....	61
Table (15):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate on yield and biological yield during season 2016.....	62
Table (16):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and their interaction on water use efficiency of potato during season 2015.....	66
Table (17):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and their interaction on water use efficiency of potato during season 2016.....	67
Table (18):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and there interaction on fertilizers use efficiency of potato during season 2015.....	70
Table (19):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water and fertilizer rate and there interaction on fertilizers use efficiency of potato during season 2016.....	71
Table (20):	Weather data during the growing season.....	85
Table (21):	Calculating crop water requirement for season 2015.....	88
Table (22):	Calculating crop water requirement for season 2016.....	92

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. (1): A multi-step flow chart for constructing a successful simulation study.....	7
Fig. (2): A dynamic network for fertigation management technology.....	30
Fig. (3): Venture device.....	38
Fig. (4): Positive Displacement pump	39
Fig. (5): Experimental layout and system components.....	44
Fig. (6): Average conventional and simulated irrigation water during season 2015, 2016.....	48
Fig. (7): Average conventional vs. simulated irrigation water during both of seasons 2015, 2016.....	48
Fig. (8): Conventional and simulated fertilizer rate during season 2015.....	51
Fig. (9): Conventional and simulated fertilizer rate during season 2016.....	51
Fig. (10): Conventional vs simulated fertilizers during season 2015.....	52
Fig. (11): Conventional vs simulated fertilizers during season 2016.....	52
Fig. (12): Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water on yield and biological yield during season 2015.....	55
Fig. (13): Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water on yield and biological yield during season 2016.....	55
Fig. (14): Effect of conventional and simulated fertilizers rate on	

	yield and biological yield during season	
Fig. (15):	2015.....	58
	Effect of conventional and simulated fertilizers rate on	
	yield and biological yield during season	
	2016.....	58
Fig. (16):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated	
	irrigation water and fertilizer rate on biological yield	
	during season 2015.....	63
Fig. (17):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated	
	irrigation water and fertilizer rate on yield during	
	season 2015.....	63
Fig. (18):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated	
	irrigation water and fertilizer rate on biological yield	
	during season 2016.....	64
Fig. (19):	Effect of interaction of conventional and simulated	
	irrigation water and fertilizer rate on yield during	
	season 2016.....	64
Fig. (20):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water	
	and fertilizer rate and their interaction on water use	
	efficiency of potato during season 2015.....	68
Fig. (21):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water	
	and fertilizer rate and their interaction on water use	
	efficiency of potato during season 2016.....	68
Fig. (22):	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water	
	and fertilizer rate and there interaction on fertilizers use	
	efficiency of potato during season	
	2015.....	72
Fig. (23)	Effect of conventional and simulated irrigation water	

	and fertilizer rate and their interaction on fertilizers use efficiency of potato during season 2016.....	73
Fig. (24)	Conventional and simulated irrigation water during season 2015.....	85
Fig. (25)	Conventional and simulated irrigation water during season 2016.....	86
Fig. (26)	Conventional vs simulated irrigation water during season 2015.....	86
Fig. (27)	Conventional vs simulated irrigation water during season 2016.....	87

LIST OF PLATES

	Page
Plate (1): Opening screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program	24
Plate (2): Location Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program.....	25
Plate (3): Climatic Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program	25
Plate (4): Crop Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program	26
Plate (5): Fertilizers Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program	26
Plate (6): Soil Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program.....	27
Plate (7): Irrigation Data screen of the Fertigation Mag-SM program.....	27
Plate (8): Output of the Fertigation Mag-SM program.....	38