

Early outcome of minimally invasive aortic valve replacement through mini sternotomy and mini thoracotomy versus conventional approach

Athesis by:

AHMED OTHMAN ALI ELASHEERY

M.Sc.

Submitted in Fulfillment of
MD degree of Cardiothoracic Surgery

Under supervision of:

PROF. DR. MOHAMED AHMED HELMY

*Professor of cardiothoracic surgery
Faculty of medicine Cairo University - Kasr Alaini*

PROF. DR. MOHAMMED IBRAHIM SEWIELAM

*Professor of cardiothoracic surgery
Faculty of medicine Cairo University – Kasr Alaini*

ASS. PROF. DR. AHMED NABIL KHALLAF

*Assisstant professor and head of cardiothoracic surgery
unit
Faculty of medicine Fayoum University*

ASS.PROF.DR. YASER AHMED BORAYEK

*Assisstant professor of cardiothoracic surgery
Faculty of medicine Cairo University – Kasr Alaini*

Faculty of medicine

Cairo University

2016

Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, thanks are due to **ALLAH** the most gracious and merciful, to whom I attribute my success in achieving any work in my life.*

*Second, to **my father, mother, my lovely wife and my gorgeous daughter** who spent their lives pushing me forward.*

*I would like also to thank **Professor Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Helmy**, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Cairo University, for his patience, suggestions and cooperation to achieve this work.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deepest appreciation to my **Professor Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Sewielam**, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Cairo University, for his continuous encouragement and precious guidance throughout the preparation of this work.*

*I am deeply grateful to **Assistant Professor Dr. Ahmed Nabil Khallaf**, Assistant Professor and head of Cardiothoracic Surgery unit, Faculty of Medicine Fayoum University, for his continuous support and comment that enlightened my way.*

*My deep sincere thanks to **Assistant Professor Dr. Yaser Ahmed Borayek**, lecturer of cardiothoracic surgery, faculty of medicine Cairo University, for his incomparable efforts guiding me through the way*

Finally, I wish to express my appreciation to all who shared their thoughts and comments with me to achieve this work.

Table of Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	1
<i>List of Figures</i>	1
Abbreviations & Acronyms	1
Introduction and Aim of the work	1
Review of literature	1
Anatomy of aortic valve and root	1
<i>Background history</i>	1
<i>Aortic Root</i>	1
<i>Anatomic versus hemodynamic junctions</i>	1
<i>The "annulus "</i>	1
<i>Sinuses of Valsalva</i>	1
<i>Subvalvar portion</i>	2
<i>Interleaflet triangles</i>	2
<i>Sinotubular junction</i>	2
<i>Aortic Valvar Leaflets</i>	2
<i>Location of coronary arteries</i>	2
<i>Aortic valve and conduction system</i>	2
<i>Relationship between the LVOT and aortic root</i>	2
<i>The normal functional unit</i>	2
<i>Clinical implications of surgical anatomy of aortic root</i>	2
Pathophysiology	3
<i>Aortic stenosis (AS)</i>	3
<i>Epidemiology</i>	3
<i>Etiology</i>	3
<i>Pathophysiology</i>	3
<i>Hemodynamics</i>	3
<i>Prognosis</i>	3
<i>Stages of valvular aortic stenosis</i>	3
<i>Aortic regurgitation</i>	3
<i>Etiology</i>	3
<i>Acute Aortic Regurgitation</i>	3
<i>Chronic Aortic Regurgitation</i>	3
<i>Stages of aortic regurgitation</i>	3
<i>Prognosis</i>	4
<i>Diagnosis of aortic lesions</i>	4

Indications for surgery	4
<i>A. Aortic Stenosis</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>B. Aortic regurgitation</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Prosthetic valve choice.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Historical background.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Why minimally invasive surgery?</i>	<i>5</i>
Purposes of evolution of minimally invasive surgeries	5
Advantages of minimally invasive aortic valve replacement	5
<i>Negative drawbacks</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Approaches for Minimally invasive AVR</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Limited sternal division:</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Intercostal accesses to the aortic valve</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Current trends</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Operative techniques.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Patient Selection</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>General unfavorable conditions for minimally invasive procedures.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Preoperative preparation.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Anaesthesia</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Transesophageal echocardiography:</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Surgical techniques of approaches for MIAVR:</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Conversion to full sternotomy.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Postoperative care:</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined
Patients and Methods	8
<i>Study population.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Inclusion criteria:.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Exclusion criteria:.....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>A) Preoperative Parameters:</i>	<i>8</i>
1) <i>History taking:</i>	<i>8</i>
2) <i>Clinical Examination:.....</i>	<i>8</i>
3) <i>Investigations:</i>	<i>8</i>
5) <i>Preoperative preparation:</i>	<i>8</i>
6) <i>Performing the required surgery:.....</i>	<i>8</i>
7) <i>Monitoring of early postoperative course guided by the following</i>	
<i>criteria:</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Statistical analysis:</i>	<i>8</i>
Results	9

A) Preoperative parameters results	9
1) Age	9
2) Gender	9
B) Intraoperative results	9
1) Cross clamp time	9
2) Total bypass time	9
C) Postoperative results	9
3) Mechanical ventilation	9
4) Inotropic support	9
6) Conversion to full median sternotomy	9
7) Exploration for cardiac tamponade or excessive bleeding	98
8) Cerebral, hepatic and/or renal complications	9
9) Postoperative pain	9
10) Wound infection	10
11) Postoperative echocardiography results	10
13) Postoperative Mortality	10
Discussion.....	10
Conclusion	11
References	11
الملخص العربي	

List of Tables

Table 1: Relationship of Various Portions of the Aortic Root to Surrounding Structures.(Sutton et al., 1995).....	2
Table 2: stages of valvular aortic stenosis.....	3
Table 3: Stages of aortic regurgitation.....	4
Table 4: Summary of Recommendations for AS, Timing of Intervention:	4
Table 5: summary of recommendations for Choice of Surgical or Transcatheter Intervention for AS	4
Table 6: ACC/AHA 2014 recommendations for AVR for chronic AR.....	4
Table 7: recommendations for choosing a prosthesis in a patient undergoing indicated surgical valve replacement.	4
Table 8: Minimally Invasive Approaches for aortic valve replacement. (Juan Bustamante et al., 2011)	6
Table 9: mean age of the 3 groups of the study.	9
Table 10: Cross clamp time of the 3 groups of the study.	9
Table 11: Total bypass time of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Table 12: Mechanical ventilation time of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Table 13: Postoperative arrhythmias of patients of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Table 14: ICU stay duration of patients of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Table 15: Pain scale for the patients of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Table 16: In- hospital stay duration of patients of the 3 groups of the study.....	10

List of Figures

Figure 1: A, Three-dimensional arrangement of the aortic root.	1
Figure 2: Histology of the aortic valvar complex	1
Figure 3: This basal short-axis view shows the closed aortic valve	1
Figure 4: This image of the aortic root opened from the left ventricle.....	2
Figure 5: Close-up section for extent of the aortic root.	2
Figure 6: Location of the atrioventricular conduction axis.....	2
Figure 7: ACC/ AHA recommendations for aortic valve replacement in aortic stenosis.....	4
Figure 8 AHA/ACC 2014 recommendations for Aortic Valve Replacement in Chronic Severe Aortic Regurgitation:	4
Figure 9: approaches for minimally invasive aortic valve surgery.....	5
Figure 10: T-shaped mini-sternotomy Figure 11: J-shaped mini- sternotomy	6
Figure 12: Ministernotomy wound photo after surgery.....	6
Figure 13: Aortic valve replacement via partial upper sternotomy	6
Figure 14: Right parasternal incision, to the left and trans-sternal incision to the right	6
Figure 15: right anterior mini-thoracotomy	6
Figure 16: Preoperative CT chest. A. Axial plane. B. Sagittal plane.	7
Figure 17: Mini-sternotomy wound intraoperatively.....	7
Figure 18: Upper hemisternotomy with central cannulation	7
Figure 19: Upper partial midline sternotomy J- type.....	8
Figure 20: Cross clamp time of the 3 groups of the study.	9
Figure 21: Total bypass time of the 3 groups of the study	9
Figure 22: Mechanical ventilation period of the 3 groups of the study.....	9
Figure 23: Number of patients needed inotropic support in each group of the study.	9
Figure 24: ICU stay duration of the 3 groups of the study.	9
Figure 25: Simple numerical verbal pain scale.....	9
Figure 26: duration of in-hospital stay of the 3 groups of the study.....	10

Abbreviations & Acronyms

ACC: American college of cardiology.
AF: Atrial fibrillation.
AHA: American heart association.
ALT: Alanine transferase.
A-M: Aortic- mitral.
AS: Aortic stenosis.
AST: Aspartate transferase.
AR: Aortic regurgitation.
AVA: Aortic valve area.
AVR: Aortic valve replacement.
CA: California.
CABG: Coronary artery bypass grafting.
CBC: Complete blood count.
CF: Colored flow.
CO₂: Carbon dioxide.
CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass.
CPR: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
CT: Computed tomography.
DC: Direct current.
DM: Diabetes mellitus.
ECC: Extracorporeal circulation.
ECG: Electrocardiogram.
EF: Ejection fraction.
ERO: Effective regurgitant area.
ETT: Exercise treadmill test.
HDL: High density lipoprotein.
HF: Heart failure.
HTN: Hypertension.
Hz: Hertz.
IABP: Intraaortic balloon pump.
ICS: Intercostal space.
ICU: Intensive care unit.
IE: Infective endocarditis.
IMA: Internal mammary artery.

Inc.: Incorporated.
INR: International normalized ratio.
IV: Intravenous.
K: Potassium.
LAA: Left atrial appendage.
LDL: Light density lipoprotein.
LV: Left ventricle.
LVEDD: Left ventricular end diastolic diameter.
LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction.
LVESD: Left ventricular end systolic diameter.
LVOT: Left ventricular outflow tract.
MIAVR: Minimally invasive aortic valve replacement.
mmHg: Millimeter mercury.
MV: Mitral valve.
Na: Sodium.
PA: Postero-anterior.
PW: Pulsed wave.
RF: Regurgitant fraction.
RIMA: Right internal mammary artery.
RSPV: Right superior pulmonary vein.
R Vol: Regurgitant volume.
TAVI: Transcatheter aortic valve implantation.
TEAVR: Total endoscopic aortic valve replacement.
TEE: Transesophageal echocardiography.
TV: Tricuspid valve.
VA: Ventriculo-arterial.
Vmax: Maximum velocity.
2D: Two dimensional.
 ΔP : Pressure gradient.

.

Introduction and Aim of the work

Introduction

Since the first aortic valve replacement in 1960s, hundreds of thousands of lives have been saved and improved by this procedure using the conventional full median sternotomy approach.

Minimally invasive approaches for aortic valve surgery were introduced in the late 1990s. In 1996, *Konertz, Gundry* and colleagues described partial J shaped ministernotomy, while in 1997 *Bennetti* and colleagues described the right thoracotomy approach

Minimal access aortic valve surgery theoretically has many potential advantages compared to valve surgery performed through conventional median sternotomy. These advantages include less surgical trauma, less bleeding, decreased pain, reduced risk of wound infection, shorter hospital stay, and faster rehabilitation. The small incisions are also cosmetically better. However, there are also many disadvantages with limited access.

Aim of the Work

Aim of this study is to compare early morbidity and mortality during the in-hospital stay after aortic valve surgeries using minimally invasive approaches through mini sternotomy and right anterior thoracotomy, and conventional full median sternotomy approach, in order to identify advantages and disadvantages of these techniques.

Review of literature

Anatomy of aortic valve and root

Background history:

The earliest documented interest in the anatomy of the aortic valvular complex stems from the Renaissance, with the description and drawings by Leonardo da Vinci. (Nicoló Piazza et al. 2008)

He had an almost perfect understanding of the physiology of the human heart. But he had no inkling of the circulation of the blood, and the existence of one-way valves was incompatible with the ancient belief that the heart simply churned blood in and out of the ventricles, thus generating heat and 'vital spirit'. Leonardo described the ventricles and atria with great accuracy, and analysed the structure and functioning of the valves in minute detail. In a brilliant experiment, he made a glass model of the aortic valve, through which he pumped water mixed with grass seeds to study the vortices in the widening of the aortic root. He deduced that these vortices were crucial in closing of the valve — a finding that was confirmed only in the twentieth century. (Clayton 2012)

The next anatomist to study the aortic valve was Andreas Vesalius. Then, for almost 400 years the study of the human heart was very sporadic and limited. (Tilea et al., 2013)

According to Walmsley (1929), Henle was the first to introduce the term “arterial root”. During the first half of the 20th century the rise in autopsy rates in Europe and North America facilitated the study of cardiac anatomy. (Tilea et al., 2013)

AORTIC ROOT

There is still no consensus on the best way to describe the anatomy of the aortic root.(*Anderson 2007*)

The term “aortic root” refers to the direct continuation of the left ventricular outflow tract to its junction with the ascending portion of the aorta. To appreciate the anatomy of the aortic valve, it is necessary to examine its component parts and how they relate to each other so as to function as a unit. The valve comprises of the semilunar leaflets with attachments (or hingelines) to ventricular and aortic walls and the ‘anterior’ mitral leaflet, interleaflet triangles, aortic sinuses (of Valsalva), and the sinutubular junction, (*Ho 2009*)

Anatomic versus hemodynamic junctions:

As shown in **Figure 1**, there is a marked discrepancy between the circular anatomic junction and the semilunar hemodynamic junction.(*Anderson 2000a*)The root surrounding and supporting the leaflets, has length in that it extends from the basal attachments of the leaflets within the left ventricle to the sinutubular junction. These lines, semilunar in structure, extend throughout the root, running from their basal attachments within the left ventricle to their distal attachments at the sinutubular junction. The root as thus defined, therefore, is a cylinder, its walls being made up of the aortic valvar sinuses along with the interdigitating intersinusal fibrous triangles, and with two small crescents of ventricular muscle incorporated at its proximal end.

It is the semilunar attachments of the leaflets within the valvar sinuses that form the haemodynamic junction between the left ventricle and the aorta. All structures on the distal side of these attachments are subject to arterial pressures, whereas all parts proximal to the attachments are subjected to ventricular pressures. (*Anderson 2007*)

The discrete anatomic ventriculo-aortic junction is a circular locus within this root, formed where the supporting ventricular structures give way to the fibro-elastic walls of the aortic valvar sinuses. This discrete ring, however, is markedly discordant with the morphology of the attachment of the leaflets of the aortic valve. Indeed, it is crossed at several points by the hingelines of the valvar leaflets. (Nicoló Piazza et al. 2008)