

**PRODUCTION OF BIOGAS AND ORGANIC MANURE
FROM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES WASTES USING
ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SYSTEM**

Submitted By

Amal Zaki Abdel-Aal Mohaemd

B.Sc. of Agric. Sci., (Microbiology), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1996

M. Sc. of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research

Ain Shams University, 2004

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
In
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences
Institute of Environmental Studies and Research
Ain Shams University

2016

APPROVAL SHEET
**PRODUCTION OF BIOGAS AND ORGANIC MANURE
FROM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES WASTES USING
ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SYSTEM**

Submitted By

Amal Zaki Abdel-Aal Mohaemd

B.Sc. of Agric. Sci., (Microbiology), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1996

M. Sc. of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research

Ain Shams University, 2004

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences

This thesis Towards a Doctor of Philosophy Degree in
Environmental Sciences Has been Approved by:

Name

Signature

1-Prof. Dr. Hesham Ibrahim El-Kassas

Prof. of Soil & Water Environment

Dean of Institute of Environmental Studies & Research

Ain Shams University

2-Prof. Dr. Rashed Abdel Fattah Zaglolul

Prof. of Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Banha University

3-Prof. Dr. Elshahat Mohammed Ramadan

Prof. of Agriculture Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

4-Prof. Dr. Tarek Said El-Tayeb

Prof. of Agriculture Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

5-Prof. Dr. Saber Mahmoud Ahmed

Deputy Director of SWERI for Training and Extension

Agriculture Research Center

PRODUCTION OF BIOGAS AND ORGANIC MANURE FROM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES WASTES USING ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SYSTEM

Submitted By

Amal Zaki Abdel-Aal Mohaemd

B.Sc. of Agric. Sci., (Microbiology), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1996
M. Sc. of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research
Ain Shams University, 2004

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences

Under The Supervision of:

1-Prof. Dr. Elshahat Mohammed Ramadan

Prof. of Agriculture Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

2-Prof. Dr. Magdi Ismail Mostafa (Passed Away)

Prof. of Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

3-Prof. Dr. Tarek Said El-Tayeb

Prof. of Agriculture Microbiology

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

4-Dr. Bahgat El-Said Ali

Prof. of Microbiology, Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute

Agriculture Research Center

5-Prof. Dr. Saber Mahmoud Ahmed

Deputy Director of SWERI for Training and Extension

Agriculture Research Center

2016

DEDICATION

*I dedicate this work to whom my heartfelt thanks; to my daughters **Thoraya and Sara** for their patience and help, as well as to my parents and my brothers and sisters for all the support they lovely offered along the period of my post graduation.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish Praise and thanks to ALLAH, the most merciful for assisting and directing me to the right way.

My great appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Elshahat Mohammed Ramadan Taha, Professor of Agriculture Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem, and valuable participation in drawing the outline of the work, and continuous supervision and valuable comments during the present investigation.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Tarek Said El-Tayeb, Prof. of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his continuous supervision, and helpfulness throughout this investigation.

I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to Prof. Dr. Bahgat El-Said Ali, Prof. of Microbiology, Soils Water and Environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, for his supervision, advice and guidance.

I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to Prof. Dr. Saber Mahmoud Ahmed, Agent of Guidance & Training of Soils Water and Environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, for his supervision, advice and guidance.

ABSTRACT

Different fruits and vegetable wastes (FVW) were used throughout this investigation to study their efficiency to produce biomethane. The optimum conditions and mixing ratios for improving production of biogas was conducted using co-digestion with the help of industrial food processing under thermophilic conditions in batch mode digester. The effects of optimum conditions such as: temperature, pH, organic loading rate (OLR), C/N ratio, particle size and total solids were studied. The optimum temperature at 55°C, initial pH value of 7.0, C/N ratio 30:1, the particle size 0.5mm, total solids 10% (w/w) and OLR 4.3gVS/L gave the highest biogas production. Under these optimum conditions maximum biogas production yield, methane production yield and methane content were 0.478 m³/KgVS, 0.339 m³CH₄/KgVS and 71% (v/v) content, respectively, after hydraulic retention time (HRT) 10 days of digestion. Maximum biogas production rate and biogas productivity were obtained being 0.068 m³/day and 0.153 m³gas/m³v/day, respectively. In scaling up 200L digesters, the average daily biogas production was 13 L. The maximum biogas production was 20 L. The maximum biogas production rate and productivity were 3.5 m³/day and 0.13 m³gas/m³v/day, respectively. The maximum biogas production yield was 0.472 m³/KgVS. The maximum methane production yield was 0.331 m³CH₄/KgVS and the methane content was 70 % (v/v), after hydraulic retention time (HRT) 35 days of digestion.

Characteristics of nutrient content of liquid digested slurry and slurry compost were studied. Slurry compost had higher nutrient content than the liquid digested slurry. The slurry compost improved physical and chemical soil properties more significantly than liquid digested slurry. The biological analysis of biogas slurry was also determined, total coliform and faecal coliform were absent in both slurry compost and liquid digested slurry. The humic substances (HS) were extracted from slurry compost and liquid digested slurry. The humic acid (HA) was higher than the corresponding ones of fulvic acid (FA) extracted from biogas slurry. HS, HA and FA ranged from 40.9% (w/w) to 48.61% (w/w), 25.1 (w/w)% to 31.31% (w/w) and 15.8% (w/w) to 17.30% (w/w), respectively. The concentrations of total acidity of FA were higher than of HA. The values of phenolic-OH groups and COOH of FA and HA were 660 & 330 and 300 & 220 m mol/100g, respectively. On the other hand, HA and FA inhibited significantly the growth of soil borne diseases (*Rhizoctonia solani*, and *Verticillium dahliae*).

HA and FA had the highest significant inhibition of growth of *Fusarium solani* were (88.9% to 95.6%) and (85.6% to 92.2%), respectively. Application of biogas slurry compost showed significant increases in growth parameters and morphological characteristics of cucumber plants. Increasing of growth parameters enhanced yield which led to reduce the reliance on synthetic fertilizers. The best results were obtained from the following treatments in a descending order, T₄ (125% (w/w) biogas slurry compost)> T₂ (75% (w/w) biogas slurry compost +25% (w/w) chemical NPK)> T₃ (100% (w/w) biogas slurry compost)> T₁ (50% (w/w) biogas slurry compost +50% (w/w) chemical NPK)> control A (100% (w/w) NPK) recommended dose of chemical NPK> control B which no fertilizers were applied. The biogas slurry compost showed 47% yield increment over the control A and 29% increased upon average fruit number/ plant.

Key words: Biogas production yield, fruit and vegetable wastes, anaerobic digestion, organic manure, soil-borne diseases.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| CONTENTS | 1 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 5 |
| LIST OF FIGURES | 8 |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | 11 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 13 |
| 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 16 |
| 2.1. Waste management overview in Egypt | 16 |
| 2.2. Biogas..... | 17 |
| 2.3. Characteristics of biogas..... | 17 |
| 2.4. Fruit and vegetable wastes for anaerobic digestion..... | 18 |
| 2.5. The anaerobic digestion process | 20 |
| 2.5.1. Hydrolysis | 21 |
| 2.5.2. Acidogenic phase | 21 |
| 2.5.3. Acetogenic phase | 23 |
| 2.5.4. Methanogenic phase..... | 23 |
| 2.6. Optimization of anaerobic digestion conditions for biogas production in batch lab bioreactor..... | 25 |
| 2.6.1. Effect of temperature | 25 |
| 2.6.2. Effect of pH values | 26 |
| 2.6.3. Effect of organic loading rate (OLR)..... | 27 |
| 2.6.4. Effect of C/N ratio..... | 27 |
| 2.6.5. Effect of particle size | 28 |
| 2.6.6. Total solids concentration | 29 |
| 2.7. Effect of humic and fulvic acids extracted from biogas slurry on growth of some soil borne diseases | 30 |
| 2.8. Effect of organic fertilizer application on plant growth | 31 |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS | 34 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 3.1. Materials | 34 |
| 3.1.1 Equipments and supplies..... | 34 |
| 3.1.2. Pathogenic fungi | 34 |
| 3.1.3. Cucumber seeds | 34 |
| 3.1.4. Fruit and vegetable wastes | 35 |
| 3.1.5. Media used | 35 |
| 3.1.5.1. Potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) (Difco, 1985)..... | 35 |
| 3.1.5.2. Jensen's medium (Allen, 1959)..... | 36 |
| 3.1.5.3. Nutrient agar medium (Oxoid)..... | 36 |
| 3.1.5.4. Mac-Conkey's bile salt agar medium (Difco, 1985)..... | 36 |
| 3.2. Biostarter inoculum (BI)..... | 37 |
| 3.3. Effect of substrate composition ratio on anaerobic digestion..... | 37 |
| 3.4. Optimization of anaerobic digestion conditions for biogas production in batch lab bioreactor..... | 37 |
| 3.4.1. Effect of incubation temperature | 38 |
| 3.4.2. Effect of initial pH | 38 |
| 3.4.3. Effect of organic loading rate (OLR)..... | 38 |
| 3.4.4. Effect of C/N ratio..... | 39 |
| 3.4.5. Effect of particle size | 40 |
| 3.4.6. Effect of total solids concentration | 40 |
| 3.5. Scaling up of biogas production..... | 40 |
| 3.6. Composition of biogas slurry | 41 |
| 3.6.1. Extraction and purification of humic and fulvic acids | 41 |
| 3.6.2. <i>In vitro</i> effect of humic and fulvic acids on some soil borne diseases | 42 |
| 3.7. Greenhouse experiment..... | 42 |
| 3.8. Methods | 43 |
| 3.8.1. Physical and chemical analyses | 43 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 3.8.2. Microbiological analysis | 45 |
| 3.8.3. Biogas determination and calculation | 45 |
| 3.8.3.1. Biogas production and methane content determination..... | 45 |
| 3.8.3.2. Biogas production rate | 46 |
| 3.8.3.3. Cumulative biogas production | 47 |
| 3.8.3.4. Biogas productivity | 47 |
| 3.8.4. Plant parameters measurements | 47 |
| 3.8.5. Statistical analysis | 48 |
| 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 49 |
| 4.1. Physicochemical properties and microbiological analyses of fruit and vegetable wastes (FVW), cattle dung and biostarter inoculum (BI) | 49 |
| 4.2. Effect of substrate composition ratio on anaerobic digestion..... | 53 |
| 4.3. Optimization of anaerobic digestion conditions for biogas production in batch lab bioreactor..... | 61 |
| 4.3.1. Effect of incubation temperature | 61 |
| 4.3.2. Effect of pH..... | 70 |
| 4.3.3. Effect of organic loading rate (OLR)..... | 78 |
| 4.3.4. Effect of C/N ratio..... | 86 |
| 4.3.5. Effect of particle size | 95 |
| 4.3.6. Effect of total solids | 97 |
| 4.4. Scaling up of biogas production..... | 111 |
| 4.5. Nutrient content of liquid digested slurry and slurry compost..... | 116 |
| 4.5.1. Physicochemical properties of liquid digested slurry and slurry compost | 116 |
| 4.5.2. Biological analysis of biogas slurry | 118 |
| 4.5.3. Humic substances content in biogas slurry..... | 120 |
| 4.5.4. Characteristics of the humic and fulvic acids extracted from biogas slurry | 121 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4.6. <i>In vitro</i> effect of humic and fulvic acids on growth of some soil borne diseases..... | 122 |
| 4.7. Effect of biogas slurry compost on growth and yield of cucumber..... | 128 |
| 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION..... | 132 |
| 6. REFERENCES..... | 140 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Composition and general features of biogas (Karthick <i>et al.</i> , 2014). | 18 |
| Table 2: Previous achievements on fruit & vegetable waste (FVW) digestion (Cahyari and Putra, 2010). | 20 |
| Table 3: Physico-chemical characteristics of the experimental soil. | 43 |
| Table 4: Physicochemical analyses of fruit and vegetable wastes, cattle dung and biostarter inoculum. | 51 |
| Table 5: Microbial counts of fruit and vegetable wastes, cattle dung and biostarter inoculum..... | 51 |
| Table 6: Biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) obtained from different treatments (A, B, C and D) and different controls..... | 57 |
| Table 7: Anaerobic bioreactor performance operated at 25°C for 50 days of controls (A&B) and different treatments A, B, C and D under steady state fermentation. | 58 |
| Table 8: Effect of temperature on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 65 |
| Table 9: Effect of temperature on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 66 |
| Table 10: Effect of starting pH on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 74 |
| Table 11: Effect of pH values on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor.... | 75 |
| Table 12: Effect of organic loading rate on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor. | 82 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 13: Effect of organic loading rate on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 83 |
| Table 14: Chemical analyses of biogas fermentation mixture with different C/N ratios at the begging of fermentation. | 89 |
| Table 15: Effect of C/N ratio on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 91 |
| Table 16: Effect of C/N ratio on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor.... | 92 |
| Table 17: Effect of particle size on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 100 |
| Table 18: Effect of particle size on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 101 |
| Table 19: Effect of total solids on biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) during 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 106 |
| Table 20: Effect of total solids on biogas production parameters after 50 days of anaerobic incubation in bioreactor..... | 107 |
| Table 21: Biogas production yield (m^3/KgVS) and cumulative biogas (L) during 35 days of anaerobic incubation in a 200 L bioreactor. | 113 |
| Table 22: Parameters of biogas production obtained from the anaerobic digestion after 35 days of incubation at 55°C | 114 |
| Table 23: Physicochemical analyses of biogas slurry..... | 119 |
| Table 24: Biological analysis of biogas slurry..... | 119 |
| Table 25: Percentage of humic substances, humic acid and fulvic acid and humic fulvic ratio extracted from biogas slurry. | 123 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 26: Characteristics of the humic acid and fulvic acid extracted from biogas slurry. | 123 |
| Table 27: Effect of humic and fulvic acids concentrations extracted from biogas slurry on growth of pathogenic fungi. | 126 |
| Table 28: Influence of biogas slurry on cucumber growth parameters. | 131 |
| Table 29: Influence of biogas slurry on yield components of cucumber. | 131 |