

**Trend and Determinants of Cesarean
Section at Ain Shams Maternity
Hospital (2011-2015)**

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
in Family medicine*

By

Sara Hisham Abd El-khalek
(M.B.B.Ch.).

Under supervision of

Prof.Dr. Yasser Mohamed Abou-Taleb

*Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Dr. Kareem Mohamed Labib

*Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Dr. Ayat Farouk Mohamed

*Lecturer in department of Community, Environmental
and Occupational Medicine
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2017



Acknowledgement

First of all, all praise and gratitude is due to **Allah** who given me the inspiration, guidance and blessing to complete this work, until it has reached its end.

I would like to express my gratitude to **Dr. Yasser Mohamed Abou-taleb**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.

I cannot forget the great help of **DR. Diaa Marzouk Abd El-Hamid** Professor of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine and Head of Family Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine -Ain Shams University for her continuous support throughout my work and for giving me continuous advices and motivations to complete my work in time.

I would like also to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Kareem Mohamed Labib**, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions, supervision, encouragement and great support throughout the whole work.

All my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Ayat Farouk Mohamed**, Lecturer in department of Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for her invaluable efforts, tireless guidance and for her patience and support to get this work into light.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest thanks to **my parents and my husband**, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.

To my beloved little kids **Ahmed and Mohammed:** " GOD Bless You "

Sara Hisham Abd El-khalek

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	5
List of Figures.....	7
List of Abbreviations	9
Introduction	1
Goal and Aim of the work	6
Review of Literature	
▪ History and epidemiology of cesarean section	7
▪ Indications and Determinants of cesarean section	14
▪ Complications of cesarean section	26
▪ Ways to control increasing cesarean section rates.....	40
Methodology.....	44
Results	55
Discussion.....	91
Study limitations.....	102
Conclusion	104
Recommendations	105
Summary	106
References	112
Appendices	135
Protocol	—
Arabic summary.....	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<u>Table in review</u>		
Table (1):	Absolute and Relative indications of cesarean section.....	15
Table (2):	Comparison between repeated cesarean and VBAC	22
<u>Table in result</u>		
Table (1):	Annual frequency of cesarean deliveries over the 5 years.....	57
Table (2):	Age distribution of pregnant women who underwent CS.....	60
Table (3):	Residency, special habits and body mass index of females who underwent CS	61
Table (4-A):	Obstetric characteristics of females included in the study.....	62
Table (4-B):	Obstetric characteristics of females included in the study (cont....).....	64
Table (5):	Maternal and fetal indications of CS.....	69
Table (6):	Maternal indications of CS.....	70
Table (7):	Fetal indications of CS	71
Table (8):	Characteristics of cesarean deliveries	77
Table (9):	Distribution of neonatal out comes	79
Table (10):	Descriptions of outcomes for all live births.....	80
Table (11):	Birth weights and APGAR scores among single pregnancies.....	81
Table (12):	Birth weights and APGAR scores among multiple pregnancies	82
Table (13):	Most common indications among specific groups	83

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (14-A):	Comparison of pregnant females included in the study as regards type of CS whether elective or emergency CS	84
Table (14-B):	Comparison of pregnant females included in the study as regards type of CS whether elective or emergency CS (cont....)	86
Table (14-C):	Comparison of pregnant females included in the study as regards type of CS whether elective or emergency CS (cont....)	87
Table (15):	Comparison of CS indications as regards type of CS whether elective or emergency CS	87
Table (16):	Comparison of pregnant females included in the study as regards days spent after CS	88
Table (17):	Comparison of pregnant females included in the study as regards outcomes of CS	90

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<u>Figure in review</u>		
Figure (1):	Latest available data on caesarean section rates by country	10
Figure (2):	Planned cesarean section compared with planned vaginal birth	27
Figure (3):	Egypt Maternal mortality rate	38
<u>Figure in result</u>		
Figure (1):	Frequency of Cesarean deliveries during the period from 2011 till 2015 (5 years).	56
Figure (2):	Trend of CS across years of study duration.....	58
Figure (3):	Frequency of CS in the period included in the study.....	59
Figure (4):	Past medical history among females included in the study.....	64
Figure (5):	Distribution of medical diseases among the group who had positive past medical history	65
Figure (6):	Obstetric disorders that occurred among females included in the study during pregnancy	66
Figure (7):	Distribution of Obstetric disorders among females included in the study	67
Figure (8):	Previous cesarean deliveries among females included in the study.....	68
Figure (9):	Type of CS according to urgency:	72
Figure (10):	Maternal indications among emergency and elective groups	1
Figure (11):	Fetal indications among emergency and elective groups.....	74
Figure (12):	Indications of CS among primi-section.	75

List of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (13):	Indications of CS among females had previous 1 or 2 CS.....	76
Figure (14):	Types of maternal complications among study group who had surgical complications.	78

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>ACHD</i>	<i>Adult Congenital Heart Disease</i>
<i>ACOG</i>	<i>American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists</i>
<i>APGAR</i>	<i>Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, and Respiration.</i>
<i>ART</i>	<i>Anti-Retroviral Therapy</i>
<i>AWMF</i>	<i>Association Of Scientific Medical Societies In Germany</i>
<i>BMI</i>	<i>Body Mass Index</i>
<i>CDMR</i>	<i>Cesarean Delivery On Maternal Request</i>
<i>CFMF</i>	<i>Congenital Fetal Malformation</i>
<i>CI</i>	<i>Confidence Interval</i>
<i>CNGOF</i>	<i>French College Of Gynecologists And Obstetricians</i>
<i>CP</i>	<i>Cerebral Palsy</i>
<i>CS</i>	<i>Cesarean Section</i>
<i>CTG</i>	<i>Cardiotocography</i>
<i>DM</i>	<i>Diabetes Mellitus</i>
<i>DVT</i>	<i>Deep Venous Thrombosis</i>
<i>EBM</i>	<i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i>
<i>EDHS</i>	<i>Egyptian Demographic Health Survey</i>
<i>ERCD</i>	<i>Elective Repeat Cesarean Delivery</i>
<i>GOPP</i>	<i>General Organization for Physical Planning</i>
<i>GW</i>	<i>Gestational Weeks</i>
<i>HELLP</i>	<i>Hemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes, and Low Platelet count.</i>
<i>HIS</i>	<i>Health Issue Survey</i>
<i>HIV</i>	<i>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</i>
<i>HSV</i>	<i>Herpes Simplex Virus</i>
<i>HTN</i>	<i>Hypertension</i>
<i>ICU</i>	<i>Intensive Care Unit</i>
<i>IUGR</i>	<i>Intra Uterine Growth Retardation</i>
<i>MMR</i>	<i>Maternal Mortality Rate</i>

List of Abbreviations cont...

Abb.	Full term
<i>MRI</i>	<i>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i>
<i>NICU</i>	<i>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit</i>
<i>NVSR</i>	<i>National Vital Statistics Report</i>
<i>NYHA</i>	<i>New York Heart Association</i>
<i>RCOG</i>	<i>Royal College Of Obstetrician And Gynecologist</i>
<i>RCS</i>	<i>Repeat Cesarean Section</i>
<i>SADHS</i>	<i>South Africa Demographic Health Survey</i>
<i>SD</i>	<i>Standard Deviation</i>
<i>SLE</i>	<i>systemic lupus erythematosis</i>
<i>SMFM</i>	<i>Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine</i>
<i>TOLAC</i>	<i>Trial Of Labor After Cs</i>
<i>UTI</i>	<i>Urinary tract infection</i>
<i>VBAC</i>	<i>Vaginal Births After Cesarean</i>
<i>VD</i>	<i>Vaginal Delivery</i>
<i>WHO</i>	<i>World Health Organization</i>
<i>WHR</i>	<i>World Health Report</i>

ABSTRACT

Majority of pregnant females (93.2%) had single pregnancy, while twins and triplets represented 6.2% and 0.6% respectively.

As regards birth outcome; majority of newborns 89.8% were alive and well. About two thirds of them 66.6% had normal weight and 96.6% of them with normal 5 min APGAR score.

It is highly recommended that:

Family physicians adhere to the definition of active labor before admitting low risk mothers to hospital, they also should explain overall risks and benefits of CS compared with vaginal birth to pregnant females.

Key words: *Repeat Cesarean Section- Urinary tract infection- Cardiotocography- Diabetes Mellitus*

INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section (CS) was introduced in obstetric practice as a life saving operation both for the mother and the baby (*Althabe and Belizán, 2006*). Recently the cesarean section rate has increased in different parts of the world, both in developed and developing countries for a variety of reasons (*Ye et al., 2014*). When medically justified, caesarean section can effectively prevent maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity (*Hannah et al., 2000*). There is no evidence showing the benefits of caesarean delivery for women or infants who do not require the procedure (*Lumbiganon et al., 2007*). As with any surgery, caesarean sections are associated with short and long term complications which can extend many years beyond the current delivery and affect the health of the woman, her fetus, and future pregnancies (*Villar et al., 2007*). These complications are higher in women with limited access to comprehensive obstetric care. This dramatic increase raises several concerns of medical, ethical and economic importance (*Vogel et al., 2015*).

In a statement issued on CS in April 2015, the WHO said: “Since 1985, the international healthcare community has considered the ideal rate for Caesarean sections to be between 10-15 %, Two new studies show that when CS rates rise towards 10 % across a population, the number of maternal and newborn deaths decreases. When the rate goes above 10%, there is no

evidence that mortality rates improve” (*WHO, Count-down to 2015 decade report, 2010*).

In recent years the rate has increased worldwide. In a study performed in United States using National Vital Statistics Reports shows a level of 32.7% CS rates in United States This report presents preliminary data for 2013 on births in the United States (*Hamilton et al., 2015*).

In South Africa the 2003 South Africa Demographic Health Survey (SADHS) collected information on several other aspects relating to the delivery of babies, such as whether the delivery was by caesarean section. The data show that 21% of women in the survey delivered by caesarean section (*Department of Health, Medical Research Council, SADHS, 2007*).

The 2008 Egyptian Demographic Health Survey (EDHS) obtained information on the frequency of caesarean sections. More than 25% of deliveries in the five-year period before the 2008 EDHS survey were by caesarean section. Women delivering in a private health facilities were slightly more likely than women delivering in a government facility to have a Caesarean delivery. 37% percent of urban births were Caesarean deliveries compared to 22 % of rural births (*El-Zanaty et al., 2009*).

The 2014 Health Issue survey (HIS) also obtained information on the frequency of caesarean sections shows that

more than 50% of deliveries in the five-year period before the survey were by caesarean section (*El-Zanaty et al., 2015*).

One study of Cesarean section rates among hospitals showing that between 2005 to 2010 in a Tanzanian referral hospital, the cesarean section rate ranged from 29.9% to 35.5%. The leading indication was previous cesarean section (*Ayaba et al., 2012*).

Another study performed in Obstetrics and Gynecology Unit III, Civil Hospital and Dow University of Health Sciences Karachi, shows that from January 2009 to December 2009 out of 2784 total deliveries, 778 (27.94%). women underwent CS (*Karim et al., 2011*).

At EL- Mansoura University Hospital, In Egypt, retrospective study collected data on caesarean delivery rates and indications from the medical records of 34598 women admitted to both emergency and high risk obstetric units over a 5-year period (January 2006-December 2010) shows The overall rate of caesarean delivery was 47.25%. The annual rate of caesarean delivery increased from 42.65% in 2006 to 55.33 % in 2010. The most common causes were repeat caesarean (35.78%) (*Helal et al., 2013*).

Another study in a Five Years Period (2008 -2012) at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University shows that Cesarean sections were performed in 38.84% of deliveries in 2008, 37.88% in 2009, 39.08% in 2010,

37.72% in 2011 and 41.17% in 2012. Repeat cesarean section (RCS) was the main indication (*El-Khayat et al., 2013*).

Though caesarean section is a fairly safe surgical procedure, several studies have reported a statistically significant increase in the risk of acute and chronic complications (*Hager et al., 2004*), as surgical site infection and injury to nearby organs when compared with attended vaginal delivery (*Souza et al., 2010*).

Cesarean delivery on maternal request (CDMR) an elective cesarean in the absence of any medical or obstetric indication, is the most frequently cited reason for the increasing incidence of cesarean sections may be due to psychosocial factors such as anxiety about the delivery (*ACOG, cesarean delivery on maternal request, 2007*).

Nonmedical indications of CS carry risks for the woman, particularly when conducted in less than optimal conditions. Exposing women unnecessarily to an increased risk of many complications is medically and ethically unacceptable (*Chauhan et al., 2003*).

Unnecessary caesarean deliveries impose unjustified costs on the part of the patient and waste the medical and economic resources on the part of the health system (*Bost, 2003*).

IN the World Health Report (2010) about The Global Numbers and Costs of Additionally Needed and Unnecessary