



Cairo University

EFFECT OF REINFORCEMENT ON PUNCHING BEHAVIOR OF FLAT SLAB

By
**Eng. Ahmed Mohamed El.said Said
Issa**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

EFFECT OF REINFORCEMENT ON PUNCHING BEHAVIOR OF FLAT SLAB

By
**Eng. Ahmed Mohamed El.said Said
Issa**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Talat
Mostafa**

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Rabea
Mahmoud**

.....
Professor of Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Prof. Dr. Hatem Hamdy
Gheith**

.....
Professor of Concrete Structures
Reinforced Concrete Research Institute
Housing & Building Research Center

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017**

EFFECT OF REINFORCEMENT ON PUNCHING BEHAVIOR OF FLAT SLAB

By
**Eng. Ahmed Mohamed El.said Said
Issa**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Approved by the examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Talat Mostafa

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Moustafa Fouad El-kafrawy

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Gouda Mohamed Ghanem

External Examiner

Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Mohamed El.said Said Issa
Date of Birth: 19 / 11 / 1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: engahmed3essa@gmail.com
Phone: 01110226655
Address: 4, 275 Street, New Maadi, Cairo
Registration Date: 01 / 10 / 2014
Awarding Date:/...../ 2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Talat Mostafa
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Rabea Mahmoud
Prof. Dr. Hatem Hamdy Gheith - Reinforced Concrete
Research Institute, Housing & Building Research Center

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Gouda Mohamed Ghanem (External examiner)
- Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University
Prof. Dr. Moustafa Fouad El-kafrawy (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Talat Mostafa (Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

Effect of Reinforcement on Punching Behavior of Flat Slab

Key Words:

Flat Slabs, Punching Shear, Slab-Column Connection, Shear Reinforcement,
Vertical Closed Stirrups.

Summary:

This study investigated the behavior of reinforced concrete flat plates under concentric punching loading which experimentally investigated. The experimental results showed the performance of flat slabs with different types and placement configurations of shear reinforcement of slabs. The general deformational behavior of the tested slab specimens were recorded and examined. To evaluate the results of the tested slabs, several of international codes had been used to estimate the punching shear and obtain that the difference between the experimental and international code results were acceptable. These provisions of different national and international codes summarize and evaluate the strength of the punching shear for interior slab-column connections with axial load and moment transfer.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, praise and thanks for God.

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors **Prof. Dr. MOHAMED TALAT MOSTAFA, Prof. Dr. MOHAMED RABEA MAHMOUD And Prof. Dr. HATEM HAMDY GHEITH** for their kind supervision, support, guidance planning, generous support, helpful advice, encouragement, useful suggestions since the start of the work and their continues revision of this thesis.*

*Special thanks are expressed to my great father **Prof. Dr. MOHAMED ISSA** for his guidance, encouragement and useful suggestions throughout this thesis.*

*I would also like to express my heartfelt appreciation to **my best mother, my brother, my sister and my fiancée** for their help, encouragement and valuable support throughout all phases of this thesis.*

Ahmed Mohamed Elsaid Issa

2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objectives of research work	2
1.3 Scope of research work	2
1.4 Summary of thesis contents	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Shear failure and punching shear reinforcement in slab-column connection	4
2.2 Slab behavior that affected by punching	8
2.3 Review of Design Provision for Punching Shear	12
2.4 Shear Reinforcement systems for Slab-Column Connections	18
2.4.1 Bent-up Bars	18
2.4.2 Vertical Stirrups	20
2.4.3 Shear Heads	21
2.4.4 Shear Studs	22
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 Purpose of the Experimental Study	26
3.3 Description of the Test Specimens	26
3.4 Geometry of Test Specimens	29
3.5 Characteristics of the Used Materials	29
3.5.1 Aggregates	29
3.5.2 Cement	29
3.5.3 Water	31
3.5.4 Reinforcement Steel	31

3.5.4.1 Flexural Reinforcement	31
3.5.4.2 Shear Reinforcement	31
3.6 Compositions of the Concrete Mix	32
3.7 Preparation of the Specimens	40
3.7.1 Wooden Forms Fabrication	40
3.7.2 Mixing, Casting, and Curing of the Specimens	41
3.8 Measuring Device	41
3.8.1 Electrical Strain Gauges	41
3.8.2 Test Measurements	41
3.9 Load set up & test procedure	42
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	52
4.1 Introduction	52
4.2 Experimental Results	52
4.3 Modes of Failure of the Slab Specimens	52
4.3.1 Crack Inception and Propagation	53
4.3.2 Crack Pattern	54
4.3.3 Load – Strain Behavior	64
4.3.3.1 Group (1): Containing S_1 & S_3	64
4.3.3.2 Group (2): Containing S_2 , S_3 & S_4	66
4.3.3.3 Group (3): Containing S_3 & S_5	68
4.3.3.4 Group (4): Containing S_3 , S_6 & S_8	71
4.3.3.5 Group (5): Containing S_3 & S_7	72
4.3.4 Deflections	74
4.3.4.1 Group (1): Containing S_1 & S_3	74
4.3.4.2 Group (2): Containing S_2 , S_3 & S_4	75
4.3.4.3 Group (3): Containing S_3 & S_5	77
4.3.4.4 Group (4): Containing S_3 , S_6 & S_8	78
4.3.4.5 Group (5): Containing S_3 & S_7	80
4.4 General Remarks	81

CHAPTER 5: PROVISIONS FOR SYMMETRICAL PUNCHING SHEAR RESISTANCE TOWARDS DIFFERENT INTERNATIONAL CODES	83
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Review of the Proposed Egyptian code (ECP 203-2016)	83
5.3 Review of BS 8110 -97 (British standard)	85
5.4 Review of German Standard DIN 1045-1:2001	86
5.5 Review of ACI Code 318-08 (American Concrete Institute)	87
5.5.1 Moment Transfer in Slab-Column Connections	88
5.5.2 The Contribution Strength of the Shear Reinforcement	88
5.6 Review of CSA A23.3-2004	89
5.6.1 Moment Transfer in Slab-Column Connections	90
5.6.2 The Contribution Strength of the Shear Reinforcement	90
5.7 Discussion about the Comparisons of Provisions Codes	91
5.7.1 Comparisons with the Proposed Egyptian code (ECP 203-2016)	91
5.7.2 Comparisons with BS 8110-97	92
5.7.3 Comparisons with German Standard DIN 1045-1:2001	93
5.7.4 Comparisons with ACI Code 318-08	94
5.7.5 Comparisons with CSA A23.3-2004	95
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	96
6.1 Summary	96
6.2 Conclusions	96
6.3 Recommendations for Further Work	97
REFERENCES	98

LIST OF TABLES

Table (3-1): Specimens Details	27
Table (3-2): The results of the sieve analysis test for Combined Aggregate	30
Table (3-3): Characteristics of the used Portland cement	30
Table (3-4): Mix Design of All Specimens	32
Table (4-1): Cracking and Failure Loads for Each Specimen	53
Table (5-1): Comparison between ECP Predicted Shear Load and Test Failure Load	91
Table (5-2): Comparison between BS Predicted Shear Load and Test Failure Load	93
Table (5-3): Comparison between ACI Predicted Shear Load and Test Failure Load	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (2-1): Types of shear failure of slabs	5
Figure (2-2): Critical shear perimeter	6
Figure (2-3): Several techniques for increasing the resistance of the punching shear in slab-column connections (a, b, c & d)	7
Cont. Figure (2-3): Several techniques for increasing the resistance of the punching shear in slab-column connections (e & f)	8
Figure (2-4): Cracks of symmetric slab subjected by a concentrated load	9
Figure (2-5): The impression of the flexural reinforcement ratio on the response of the load-deflection curve (Criswell, 1974)	9
Figure (2-6): Profiles of the deflection about the top tension surface along the radius of slab (Kinnunen and Nylander, 1960)	10
Figure (2-7): Strain distribution (vertical) at column faces (Moe, 1960)	11
Figure (2-8): Bottom reinforcement passes through a column minimizes the ripping action of the top reinforcement (Kinnunen and Nylander 1960)	12
Figure (2-9): Slab effective width for the unbalanced moment that transfers by flexure moment	15
Figure (2-10): The Punching Shear Critical Sections	17
Figure (2-11): Shear reinforcement system by using Bent-Up bars (Specimen S4 examined by Islam and Park, 1976)	19
Figure (2-12): Shear reinforcement system by using Closed Vertical Stirrups (Specimen CS6 examined by Islam and Park, 1976)	20
Figure (2-13): Shear reinforcement system by using Shear Heads	22
Figure (2-14): Test setup for experiments of slab-column connections that tested under combined of unbalanced moment and gravity load	23
Figure (2-15): Shear reinforcement systems	24
Figure (2-16): Possible modes of slabs failure that use shear reinforcement	25
Figure (3-1): Concrete Dimensions of Test Specimens	28
Figure (3-2): The Combined Aggregate Grading Curve	33

Figure (3.3): Test Specimen S ₁ Control Specimen without Using Shear Reinforcement	34
Figure (3.4): Test Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ , S ₄ Specimen Reinforced (t _s =12cm) Using Shear Reinforcement (V.S, 1Ø10 / Dir. @ d/2)	35
Figure (3.5): Test Specimen S ₅ Specimen Reinforced (t _s =14cm) Using Shear Reinforcement (V.S, 1Ø10 / Dir. @ d/2)	36
Figure (3.6): Test Specimen S ₆ Specimen Reinforced (t _s =12cm) Using Shear Reinforcement (V.S, 1Ø10 / Dir. @ 1.5d)	37
Figure (3.7): Test Specimen S ₇ Specimen Reinforced (t _s =12cm) Using Shear Reinforcement (V.S, 4Ø10 / Dir. @ d/2)	38
Figure (3.8): Test Specimen S ₈ Specimen Reinforced (t _s =12cm) Using Shear Reinforcement (V.S, 4Ø10 / Dir. @ 1.5d)	39
Figure (3-9): Preparation of the Wooden Forms	40
Figure (3.10): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimen S ₁	43
Figure (3.11): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ , S ₄	44
Figure (3.12): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimen S ₅	45
Figure (3.13): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimen S ₆	46
Figure (3.14): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimen S ₇	47
Figure (3.15): Location of the Electric Strain Gauges for Specimen S ₈	48
Figure (3.16): Location of the Two LVDTs (Horizontal Displacement), After the Test	49
Figure (3.17): Location of the Three LVDTs (Vertical Displacement), After the Test	50
Figure (3.18): Load Set up for Test Specimens	51
Figure (4-1-a): Cracks of Slab S ₁	54
Figure (4-1-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₁	55
Figure (4-2-a): Cracks of Slab S ₂	55
Figure (4.2.b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₂	56
Figure (4-3-a): Cracks of Slab S ₃	56
Figure (4-3-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₃	57

Figure (4-4-a): Cracks of Slab S ₄	57
Figure (4-4-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₄	58
Figure (4-5-a): Cracks of Slab S ₅	58
Figure (4-5-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₅	59
Figure (4-6-a): Cracks of Slab S ₆	59
Figure (4-6-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₆	60
Figure (4-7-a): Cracks of Slab S ₇	60
Figure (4-7-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₇	61
Figure (4-8-a): Cracks of Slab S ₈	61
Figure (4-8-b): Punching Failure Column of Slab S ₈	62
Figure (4-9): The Outer Line Crack of All Specimens	63
Figure (4-10): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT at Tension Face for S ₁ & S ₃ (Under Column)	64
Figure (4-11): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT at Tension Face for S ₁ & S ₃ (0.25L from Column)	65
Figure (4-12): Load-Strain Curve in Concrete for Specimens S ₁ & S ₃	65
Figure (4-13): Load-Strain Curve in Shear Reinforcement for Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄	66
Figure (4-14): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT For S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄ (Under Column)	67
Figure (4-15): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT For S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄ (0.25L from Column)	67
Figure (4-16): Load-Strain Curve in Concrete for Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄	68
Figure (4-17): Load-Strain Curve in Shear Reinforcement for Specimens S ₃ & S ₅	69
Figure (4-18): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT For S ₃ & S ₅ (Under Column)	69
Figure (4-19): Load-Strain Curve in Specimen Bottom RFT For S ₃ & S ₅ (0.25L from Column)	70
Figure (4-20): Load-Strain Curve in Concrete for Specimens S ₃ & S ₅	70

Figure (4-21): Load-Strain Curve in Shear Reinforcement for Specimens S ₃ , S ₆ & S ₈	71
Figure (4-22): Load-Strain Curve in Concrete for Specimens S ₃ , S ₆ & S ₈	72
Figure (4-23): Load-Strain Curve in Shear Reinforcement for Specimens S ₃ & S ₇	73
Figure (4-24): Load-Strain Curve in Concrete for Specimens S ₃ & S ₇	73
Figure (4-25): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₁ & S ₃ (Under Column)	74
Figure (4-26): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₁ & S ₃ (0.25L from Column)	75
Figure (4-27): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄ (Under Column)	76
Figure (4-28): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₂ , S ₃ & S ₄ (0.25L from Column)	76
Figure (4-29): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ & S ₅ (Under Column)	77
Figure (4-30): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ & S ₅ (0.25L from Column)	78
Figure (4-31): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ , S ₆ & S ₈ (Under Column)	79
Figure (4-32): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ , S ₆ & S ₈ (0.25L from Column)	79
Figure (4-33): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ & S ₇ (Under Column)	80
Figure (4-34): Load-Deflection Curve for Specimens S ₃ & S ₇ (0.25L from Column)	81

ABSTRACT

Shear reinforcement can be used to maximize the ductility and strength of the reinforced concrete flat slabs. However to be efficient, the shear reinforcement must be anchored well in the tension and compression zones of the slab. The test results on the slab-column connection models which provided with shear reinforcement are introduced in this research paper. The benefits of using shear reinforcement are to reduce the slab thickness, cost, and weight of the structure.

The experimental test program included eight flat slab specimens having average concrete compressive strength 35MPa except two specimens (S_2 & S_4) have compressive strength (25 & 45MPa) respectively. The slab specimens were 1100*1100mm and 120mm thickness with bottom and top reinforcement (RFT) 10Ø16/m in both directions (high grade steel) except specimen (S_5) was 1100*1100mm and 140mm thickness with the same slab reinforcement. The slabs were divided into three types; the first type had no shear reinforcement and consider the control slab (S_1), the second type (S_{2-5} & S_7) had shear reinforcement of vertical stirrups at a distance of $d/2$ from the column face (loaded area), and the third type (S_6 & S_8) had vertical stirrups at a distance of $1.5d$ from the column face. The eight specimens were loaded with a concentrated load at the mid span until failure.

The general behaviour of the deformation of the tested slab specimens was examined and recorded (cracking, deflection, and strain in both steel and concrete). To calculate the results of the tested specimens, different of international codes had been used to evaluate the strength of the punching shear and confirm that the difference between the international code and experimental results was acceptable.

Recommendations for the experimental analysis and execution for flat slabs were obtained. A comparison had been made between the research test results and the codes equations to improve the methods of the analysis about the flat plates.

CHAPTER (1)

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

Flat plate structures of reinforced concrete, i.e. slabs directly supported by columns without any dropped beams, are common in various types of buildings and structures such as office buildings, car park buildings, and bridges. The absence of drop panels or dropped beams simplifies formwork, increases the used space, and reduces the overall height of a building. Since most of the analysis concrete is defined by some characteristics such as cracking and crushing, so the flat slab column connection behavior under static load can be marked out through them.

One of the most economical systems in reinforced concrete structures is the flat slab. It provides flexibility in the architectural design; which maximize the clear space, minimize the building height, and minimize the construction time. However, the punching failure due to transfer of unbalanced moments and shearing forces between columns and slabs is considered a critical problem in flat slab system. The unbalanced moment is transferred by the combination of flexure, shear, and torsion in the slab next to column faces. When shear stresses due to shear forces and moment transfer in the region of flat slab next to column become too high, a punching failure will occur.

The reinforced concrete slabs which developed in US. And Europe in 20th century typically included large column capitals looked like mushroom in shape to improve the local introduction of forces from the slab to the column. In 1950, slabs without capitals started to become popular. Because of their construction simplicity therefor they have been used for medium height office, residential buildings, and parking garages. Flat slab design depends on the conditions of serviceability and the ultimate grade of punching shear which called two-way shear. These two conditions lead to the suitable slab thickness selection.

Since many years ago, punching has been a problem to engineers who tried to fully understand it. It does not matter how many experiments, analysis, and models were made which relied on empiric results that did not describe the totally phenomenon. The test parameters which used are the reinforcement properties, the geometry of the slabs, aggregate size, and loading modes. Although all of these were planned in an intelligent way, providing a huge range of results, it is impossible to cover all parameters. However, this system has a lot of problems which is failure of punching shear of the slabs due to the high concentration of stresses near slab-column connections. This failure type is critical due to its brittle nature. Once, the failure of punching shear occurs, structure resistance is typically reduced due to separation between column and slab therefor joint connection failure will occurs.