



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل

Evaluation of some Serodiagnostic Techniques in Toxocariasis

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of M.D degree
in Medical Parasitology

By

Mai Khalil Assaker

(MB. BCh., M.Sc.)
Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ezzat G. Mikhail

Professor of Parasitology, Parasitology Department,
Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Elmeya H. Safar

Professor of Parasitology, Department Of Microbiology,
Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Prof. Dr. Abdalla M. Boghdadi

Professor of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine,
Cairo University

Prof. Fouad G. Youssef

Associate Professor of Preventive Medicine
Namru – 3

Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University
2002

B
V109

Acknowledgement

Acknowledgment

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Hoda El-Bolaki, Head of Parasitology Department, Cairo University, for her continuous encouragement and valuable advice and for her inestimable generous guidance and insight.

I would like very much to express my deepest thanks and everlasting gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ezzat G. Mikhail, Professor of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his constructive advices, meticulous supervision, and for his persistent encouragement and enthusiasm. However, words will be difficult to give him the full gratitude.

I would like to express my sincere feelings to Prof. Dr. Elmeya H. Safar, Professor of Parasitology, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, who honored me by entrusting this study to me. I am truly obliged for her kindness, emphatic support, unlimited effort and impeccable supervision and revision, without which this work would not have been completed.

A special gratitude and respect to Prof. Dr. Abdallah M. Boghdadi, Professor of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his constant motivation and guidance. He was both gracious and generous with his invaluable expertise and time. He willingly put forward so much of his advice and knowledge to complete this work. Truly I'm honoured to be one of his sincere students.

My sincere appreciation and endless gratitude are due to Prof. Fouad G. Youssef, Associate Professor of Preventive Medicine, NAMRU-3, for guiding me through each step, for his valuable contributions, eminent supervision and relentless dedication working on and revising this present study.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Saleh A. Sherif, Assistant Professor Researcher of Ophthalmology, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, for his earnest effort with the clinical part of this work, and also for assistance he willingly offered me while working on this thesis.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. El-Bahy, Professor of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for giving me opportunity to carry out most of the practical work of this study, for his cordial welcome.

Finally, I would like to extend my thanks to all staff members and colleagues of the Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University and Parasitology Department, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, who encouraged me during the preparation of this work.

Table of Contents

	<i>Page No</i>
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	
Toxocara	3
Taxonomy and nomenclature	3
Historical perspective.....	3
Morphology and Life cycle	4
Toxocariasis	9
Epidemiology of toxocariasis	9
Pathology and pathogenesis of toxocariaasis	16
Clinical picture of toxocariasis	19
Immunity in toxocariasis	27
Diagnosis of toxocariasis	37
Treatment of toxocariasis	55
Prevention and control of toxocariasis	61
Aim of The Work	65
Materials and Methods	66
Results	93
Discussion	155
Recommendations	185
Summary and Conclusion	187
References	193
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

b.i.d.	:Two times daily
BF	:Bentonite flocculation
BSA	:Bovine serum albumin
CF	:Complement fixation
CIEP	:Counter- immunoelectrophoresis
CNS	:Central nervous system
CT	:Computed tomography
cu.mm.	:Cubic millimeter
DEC	:Diethylcarbamazine
DFAT	:Direct fluorescent antibody test
DNA	:Deoxynucleic acid
DUSN	:Diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis
ECP	:Eosinophil cationic protein
EDTA	:Ethylene diamine tetrasodium acetate
ELISA	:Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ES	:Excretory-secretory
Fig.	:Figure
FUO	:Fever of unknown origin
G	:Subgroup
GIT	:Gastrointestinal tract
Hb	:Haemoglobin
ID	:Immunodiffusion
IFAT	:Indirect fluorescent antibody test
Ig	:Immunoglobulin
IHA	:Indirect haemagglutination
IL-4	:Interleukin 4
IL-5	:Interleukin 5
KD	:Kilodalton
Kg	:Kilogram
M	:Molar
M.W.	:Molecular weight
mg	:Milligram
mm	:Millimeter
MRI	:Magnetic resonance imaging
mRNA	:Messenger ribonucleic acid
NAMRU	:U.S. Native medical research unit
N/No.	:Number
Nm	:Nanometer

O.D.	:Optical density
OLM	:Ocular larva migrans
OPD	:Ortho-phenylenediamine
PAT	:Precipitin absorption test
PBS	:Phosphate buffered saline
PCR	:Polymerase chain reaction
PRIST	:Paper radioimmunosorbent test
RAST	:Radio-allergosorbent test
TEE	:Toxocara embryonated egg
TES	:Toxocara excretory-secretory
TH2	:T-Helper 2
US	:Ultrasonography
USA	:United States of America
VLM	:Visceral larva migrans
WHO	:World Health Organization
WWE	:Whole worm extract
Yrs	:Years
μg	:Microgram
μl	:Microliter
μm	:Micrometer

List of Figures

Figure No	Title	Page No
Figure (1)	<i>Life cycle of Toxocara canis</i>	8
Figure (2)	<i>Clinical signs of OLM</i>	24
Figure (3)	<i>Major pathways involved in the immune response to parasitic infections as mediated by cytokines</i>	32
Figure (4)	<i>Diagnostic stage of Toxocara species</i>	76
Figure (5)	<i>Progress of embryonation of Toxocara canis egg</i>	77
Figure (6)	<i>Age distribution in the different studied groups</i>	100
Figure (7)	<i>Sex distribution in the different studied groups</i>	103
Figure (8) a and b	<i>a. Residence of the studied cases and controls b. Epidemiological data suggestive of increased risk of contracting toxocariasis in the studied cases and controls</i>	105
Figure (9)	<i>Clinical manifestations in the studied cases and controls</i>	108
Figure (10) a and b	<i>a. Parasites detected in stool samples of the studied patients b. Parasites detected in stool samples of the studied healthy controls</i>	111
Figure (11)	<i>Patients showing leucocytosis according to the total leucocytic count (Leucocytic count in the different studied groups)</i>	115
Figure (12)	<i>Patients showing eosinophilia according to the differential leucocytic count (Eosinophilia in the studied groups)</i>	118
Figure (13)	<i>ELISA test results in subgroups of cases and controls</i>	124
Figure (14)	<i>IFAT test results in subgroups of cases and controls</i>	127
Figure (15)	<i>Comparison of results of ELISA and IFAT in OLM groups</i>	129
Figure (16)	<i>Comparison of results of ELISA and IFAT in VLM groups</i>	131
Figure (17)	<i>Comparison between the two serological tests (IFAT, ELISA) in both groups of cases and comparative controls</i>	134
Figure (18)	<i>Results of total percentage of positivity of the ocular and visceral cases in group I, group II and group III tested by IFAT and ELISA tests for toxocariasis</i>	135

Figure No	Figure title	Page Np
Figure (19)	<i>Age distribution in ELISA and IFAT seropositive cases</i>	137
Figure (20) a and b	<i>a. Residence of the ELISA and IFATseropositives b. Epidemiological data of the ELISA and IFAT seropositives</i>	139
Figure (21) a and b	<i>a. Results of clinical manifestations of seropositives of IFAT test: b. Results of clinical manifestations of seropositives of ELISA test:</i>	142
Figure (22)	<i>ELISA and IFAT test seropositives in VLM and OLM groups regarding leucocytosis and eosinophilia</i>	145
Figure (23)	<i>Different grades of eosinophilia revealed among seropositives in VLM and OLM groups diagnosed by ELISA and IFAT tests</i>	147
Figure (24)	<i>Different ocular manifestations of the seropositives in studied group of patients suspicious of ocular toxocariasis</i>	148
Figure (25)	<i>a,b: Case report 1 c,d : Case report 2</i>	151
Figure (26)	<i>Case report 3</i>	152
Figure (27)	<i>Indirect fluorescent antibody test :positive reaction</i>	153
Figure (28)	<i>Indirect fluorescent antibody test :negative reaction</i>	154

List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page No
Table (1)	<i>Showing number of different clinical cases included in the study</i>	71
Table (2)	<i>Distribution of ocular cases presenting with various suspicious ocular lesions according to sex and age</i>	94
Table (3)	<i>Age distribution in the different studied groups; Group I, II and III</i>	99
Table (4)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to different age groups</i>	101
Table (5)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to gender</i>	102
Table (6)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to some *epidemiological data suggestive of increased risk of contracting toxocariasis</i>	104
Table (7)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to their clinical data</i>	107
Table (8) a,b	<i>Parasites detected in stool examination a. Group I of cases and b. Group III of controls</i>	110
Table (9)	<i>Distribution of cases according to data from stool examination</i>	113
Table (10)	<i>Subgroups of studied cases and controls showing distribution of total leucocytic count</i>	114
Table (11)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to total leucocytic count</i>	116
Table (12)	<i>Subgroups of studied cases and controls showing distribution of eosinophilic count</i>	117
Table (13)	<i>Distribution of studied groups; Group I, II and III according to different grades of eosinophilia</i>	119
Table (14)	<i>Results of Checkerboard Titration</i>	120
Table (15)	<i>Results of ELISA Test</i>	121
Table (16)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to results of ELISA test</i>	121

Table No	Title	Page No
Table (17)	<i>Distribution of the studied subgroups of cases and controls according to results of ELISA test</i>	123
Table (18)	<i>Distribution of the studied cases and controls according to results of IFAT test</i>	125
Table (19)	<i>Distribution of the studied subgroups of cases and controls according to results of IFAT test</i>	126
Table (20)	<i>Comparison between results of ELISA and IFAT in OLM group</i>	128
Table (21)	<i>Comparison between results of ELISA and IFAT in VLM group</i>	130
Table (22)	<i>Comparison between results of ELISA test in both groups of cases and comparative controls</i>	132
Table (23)	<i>Comparison between results of IFAT test in both groups of cases and comparative controls</i>	133
Table (24)	<i>Comparative results between the three groups; Group I, II and III according to results of IFAT and ELISA tests</i>	134
Table (25)	<i>Comparative results between distribution of gender and seropositives in both VLM and OLM groups diagnosed by ELISA and IFAT tests</i>	136
Table (26)	<i>Comparative results between distribution of age and seropositives in both VLM and OLM groups diagnosed by ELISA and IFAT tests</i>	136
Table (27)	<i>OLM groups Comparative results between distribution of some **epidemiological data and seropositives in both VLM and diagnosed by ELISA and IFAT tests</i>	138
Table (28)	<i>Comparative results between distribution of clinical manifestations of toxocariasis and seropositives in both VLM and OLM groups diagnosed by both IFAT and ELISA tests</i>	141
Table (29)	<i>Comparative results between seropositive cases and stool analysis for parasites in Group I (OLM and VLM)</i>	144