

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٥٠ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٠٠% To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%



بعض الوثائـــق الإصليــة تالفــة



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالإصل

Recovery of Heavy Metals from Sludges and Wastewaters

A Thesis Presented **To**

Chemistry Department Faculty of Science Cairo University

By

Hanan Sayed Abdel-Rahman Ibrahim

Environmental Research Division
Water Pollution Research Department
National Research Center
(B.Sc. Chemistry, 1987 (very good, Honour) Cairo University)
(M.Sc. Chemistry, 1995 (Inorganic) Cairo University)

For

The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science



2002

APPROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Title of Ph.D. Thesis: Recovery of Heavy Metals from Sludges and Wastewaters

Name of the candidate: Hanan Sayed Abdel-Rahman Ibrahim

This thesis has been approved for submission by the supervisors:

Prof. Dr. N. T. Abdel-Ghani

Professor of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry Faculty of Science Cairo University

Signature:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ragaei Lasheen

N.T. Abdel. Ghat

Professor of Water Chemistry

Water Pollution Research Department

National Research Center

Signature: M.R. lacken

Prof. Dr. Sadek E. Abdou

Chairman of Chemistry Department Faculty of Science - Cairo University.

ABSTRACT

Name: Hanan Sayed Abdel-Rahman Ibrahim.

Title of Thesis: Recovery of Heavy Metals from Sludges and Wastewaters.

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy in Science, Cairo University 2002

Recovery of metals from industrial wastewater, after ion exchange treatment, is strong economic driving force even in cases when discharge limitations can be met by precipitation. The main objective of this study to use bench scale column runs to assess the effectiveness of ion exchange resin for metal recovery at different operating conditions. The results indicate that strongly basic anion exchange resin is highly efficient for chromate removal and the selectively of chelating cation exchange resins for metals removal increases in the following order: Cu > Ni > Cd. This evaluation address the product quality, pollution prevention potential, and the factors involved in the use of ion exchange to recover Cd, Cu, Cr (VI), Ni from industrial wastewater.

The quantity of sludge produced by the Greater Cairo wastewater treatment plants is large and will increase to about 360,000 tons dry solids / year by 2020. Land application of sewage is widely used in Egypt; however, the principal environmental concern is due to the inevitable presence of heavy metals. The objective of this study is to assess the effective methods for metals removal from sludge to reduce health risks during land application. The results showed that acid leaching was more efficient for metals removal from sludge except for lead and copper EDTA leaching was more efficient. The optimum treatment efficiencies of metals extraction from sludge are related to the nature of metals in sludge, dosage of extractants, pH, sludge solid content, and reaction time. Stringent industrial effluent control, coupled with improved industrial technology should be effective in reducing the heavy metal contents of sludge in Egypt.

Key words: Recovery; Removal; Heavy Metals; Wastewater; Anion Exchange Resin; Chelating Cation Exchange Resin; Sludge; leaching.

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. N. T. Abdel-Ghani

N.T. Abd el-Ghad Professor of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry

Faculty of Science Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ragaei Lasheen

Professor of Water Chemistry

Water Pollution Research Department

National Research Center

Prof. Dr. Sadek E. Abdou

Chairman of Chemistry Department

Faculty of Science - Cairo University

To THE SOUL OF "MY MOTHER"

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I'm deeply thankful to **Professor Dr. N. T. Abdel-Ghani**, Professor of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for his kind supervision, valuable advice, guidance and invaluable criticism and discussions.

It is my pleasant duty to assure my sincere appreciation, my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Professor Dr. M. R. Lasheen,** Professor of Water Chemistry, Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center, for suggesting the topic of this study, his kind supervision, valuable assistance, valuable advice, guidance and suggestions through these studies.

I also wish to express my highest appreciation and everlasting gratitude with deep thanks to **Professor Dr. Azza Ashmawy**, Professor of Wastewater treatment technology, Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center, for her valuable discussion, guidance, valuable advice, criticism and for her constant encouragement.

Special thanks must go to colleagues in the Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center for the atmosphere they have created and the help they have given.

Finally, I would like to express my deep thanks to my dear father, my lovable brother, his family, my truehearted friend Dr. Hoda and grandmother for their spiritual support and continuous encouragement.

CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter I	
Introduction	
1. Assessment of the Industrialization in Egypt	
2. Water Supplies and Wastewater Treatment in Egypt	
3. Legislative Framework for Wastewater Management	
4. Policy, Legislation and Enforcement in Egypt	
5. Cairo Sludge Management	11
6. The Objectives	14
7. Literature Review	15
7.1. The Negative Impact and hazards of Heavy Metals	
7.1.1. Sources of Heavy Metal Pollution	15
7.2. Conventional Chemical Treatment and Metal Recov	very Technologies
7.2.1. Chemical Precipitation	22
7.2.2. Electrolytic Recovery	24
7.2.3. Membrane Separation	
7.2.3.1. Reverse Osmosis	
7.2.3.2. Electrodialysis	
7.2.4. Adsorption	
7.2.5. Ion Exchange	
7.2.5.1. Application of the Ion Exchange in a Wastewater	
7.2.5.2. Operating Breakthrough Capacity and Selectivit	
7.2.5.3. Chromate Removal and Recovery by Anion Exc	
7.2.5.3.1. Effect of Sulfate and Chloride on Chromate Ro	
7.2.5.4. Cations Metal Removal and Recovery by Cation	
7.2.5.5. Chelated Metals Wastes Removal	
7.2.5.6. Metal Cyanide Waste Removal	
7.2.5.7. Regeneration of the Ion Exchange Resins	
7.3. Metal Recovery from Sludge	
7.3.1. Sludge Generation Management and Metals Impac	
7.3.2. Leaching Processes for Metals Removal from Sluce	
7.3.2.1. Acid Leaching for Metals Removal from Sludge	
7.3.2.2. EDTA Leaching for Metals Removal from Sludg	
Chapter II	
Materials and Methods	
1. Materials and Reagents	43
1.2. Ion Exchange Resins	
2. Experimental Procedure for Wastewater Processing.	
2.1. Synthetic Wastewater Preparation	
2.2. Column Runs for Ion Exchange Resin	
2.3. Case Study	
3. Sources of Sludge Samples	
3.1 Experimental Procedure for Sludge Processing	

	Pag
4. Instrumentation	49
5. Analytical Methods	49
Chapter III	
Results and Discussion	
1. Metals Recovery by Ion Exchange Resins	.50
1.1. Chromate Removal and Recovery by Anion Exchange Resins	
1.1.1. Effect of Volumetric Flow Rate on Chromate Removal	50
1.1.2 Effect of Sulphate and Chloride on Chromate Removal Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin	56
1.2. Metal Cations Removal and Recovery Using Chelating Cation Exchange Resins	71
1.2.1. Cadmium Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207	
Chelating Cation Exchange Resins. 1.2.2. Copper Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207	71
Chelating Cation Exchange Resins	73
1.2.3. Nickel Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207	
Chelating Cation Exchange Resins	
IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207 Chelating Cation Exchange Resins	80
1.3. Removal of Anions Chelated-Metal (Metals-EDTA) Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin	83
1.4. Regeneration of Anion and Cation Exchange Resins	87
1.4.1. Regeneration of Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin	
1.4.2. Regeneration of Amberlite IRC-718 Chelating Cation Exchange Resins	
1.5. Case Study: Treatment of Rinsewater from Metal Plating Plant (COMEX)	
1.5.1 Removal of Copper-Cyanide Complex and Cyanide Ions from Rinsing	
Water Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin	
1.5.3. Removal of Chromate at alkaline pH from Rinsing Water Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin	
2. Heavy Metals Leaching from Sludge	
2.1. Acid Leaching of Heavy Metals from Sludge	
2.1.1. Leaching of Heavy Metals with Sulfuric Acid	
2.1.1.1. Lime Requirement for Sludge Cake Neutralization	
2.1.2. Leaching of Heavy Metasl with Hydrochloric Acid	
2.1.3. Leaching of Heavy Metal with Nitric Acid	
2.1.4. Influence of Sludge Characteristics on Acid Leaching.	
2.1.4.1. Sludge Types	
2.1.4.2. Solids Content.	
2.2 Thermal Drying and Acid Leaching of Heavy Metals from Sludge	
2.2.1. Heavy Metals Recovery from Hydroxide Sludge	
2.3. EDTA Leaching for Heavy Metals Removal from Sludges	
Conclusion and Remarks.	
Summary	
References	
Arahic Summary	

List of Tables

Tab	le	Page
1	Industrial water uses and wastewater discharge 1989	4
2	Organj and Inorganic Loads Contributed by some industries	5
3	Egyp / Regulation for Discharges to Water Bodies and Sewers	7
4	Wo/ Sank Guidelines for Effluent from Electroplating Industry	11
5	Stc. Lard Level of Heavy Metals in Sludge Permitted to be Re-used in Agriculture	
6	Maximum permissible cumulative loading of heavy metals (Kg/ha) for agricultural land	
7	Effect of Different Volumetric Flow Rates on Chromate Removal Using Dowex 1X8 Anion Exchange Resin at pH 4.0	
8	Chromate Removal Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 1.5l/h and pH 4.0	
9	Chromate Removal Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 1.51/h and pH 4.0	
10	Effect of Sulfate (1000 and 2000 mg/l) on Chromate Removal Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 1.5l/h and pH 4.0	
11	Effect of Chloride (1000 and 2000 mg/l) on Chromate Removal Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 1.5l/h and pH 4.0	
12	Effect of Different Volumetric Flow Rates on Cadmium Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 Chelating Cation Exchange Resin	72
13	Removal of Cadmium Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207 Chelating Cation Exchange Resins at 1.5l/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 5.0	74
14	Removal of Copper Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207 Chelating Cation Exchange Resins at 1.51/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 5.0	75
15	Effect of Different Volumetric Flow Rates on Nickel Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 Chelating Cation Exchange Resin	77
16	Removal of Nickel Using Amberlite IRC-718 and Lewatit TP-207 Chelating Cation Exchange Resins at 1.5l/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 5.0	78
17	Mixture of Copper, Cadmium and Nickel Removal Using Amberlite IRC-718 Chelating Cation Exchange Resin at 1.5l/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 5.0	81
18	Mixture of Copper, Cadmium and Nickel Removal Using Lewatit TP-207 Chelating Cation Exchange Resin at 1.5l/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 5.0	82
19	Removal of 1:1 Matal Mixture-EDTA Anion Complex Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at 1.5l/h Volumetric Flow Rate and pH 4.0	85
20	Chromate Recovery from an Exhausted Anion Exchange Columns of Amberlite IRA-900 Using Alkaline Brine Regenerant at Volumetric Flow Rate of 0.15l/h	89
21	Recovery of Metals from an Exhausted Chelating Cation Exchange Columns of Amberlite IRC-718 Using 0.2N of Sulfuric acid Regenerant at Volumetric Flow Rate of 0.15l/h	۵0
22	Characterization of the Rinsing Water from Metal plating Plant (COMEX)	

1 abie

Page

23	Removal of Anionic Copper-Cyanide Complex and Cyanide Ions from Rinsewater Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 0.76 I/h and pH 8.2
24	Removal of Nickel from Rinsewater Using Amberlite IRC-718 Chelating Cation Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 0.76 l/h and pH 8.1
25	Chromate Removal from Rinsewater Using Amberlite IRA-900 Anion Anion Exchange Resin at Volumetric Flow Rate of 0.76 l/h and pH 8.4
26	Initial Concentrations of Heavy Metals, pH and Total Solids in Sludge Samples 105
27	Concentration and Percentage of Heavy Metals in the Supernatant of Sludge Samples from Different WWTPs
28	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for One Hour
29	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for Two Hours
30	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for Three Hours
31	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for Four Hours
32	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for Six Hours
33	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric Acid at Different pH values for Twenty Four Hours
34	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for One Hour
35	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for Two Hours
36	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for Three Hours
37	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for Four Hours
38	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for Six Hours
39	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric Acid at Different pH values for Twenty Four Hours
40	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH acid values for One Hour
41	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH values for Two Hours
12	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH acid for Three Hours
1 3	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH values for Four Hours

Tab	Table	
44	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH values for Six Hours	129
45	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Nitric Acid at Different pH values acid for Twenty Four Hours	
46	Percentage of Metals Removal from Zenein Sludge: Extraction with Sulfuric acid at different pH values for Two Hours	
47	Percentage of Metals Removal from Zenein Sludge: Extraction with Different Acids at pH 2 for Two Hours	
48	Effect of Solids Content on Metal Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with Different Acids at pH 2 for Two Hours	
49	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6th of October Wet Sludge: Extraction with	
50	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6 th of October Dry Sludge: Extraction with Sulphuric acid at different pH values for Two Hours	
51	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6 th of October Wet Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric acid at different pH values for Two Hours	
52	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6 th of October Dry Sludge: Extraction with Hydrochloric acid at different pH values for Two Hours	
53	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6th of October Wet Sludge: Extraction with	148
54	Percentage of Metals Removal from 6 th of October Dry Sludge: Extraction with Nitric acid at different pH values for Two Hours	149
5 5	Percentage of Metals Removal from Synthetic (Hydroxide) Sludge: Extraction	152
56	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with EDTA at Different Molar Ratio for One Hour	
57	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with EDTA at Different Molar Ratio for Two Hours	
58	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with EDTA at Different Molar Ratio for Three Hours	
59	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with EDTA at Different Molar Ratio for Four Hours.	
60	Percentage of Metals Removal from Helwan Sludge: Extraction with EDTA at Different Molar Ratio for Six Hours	
61	Percentage of Metals Removal from Synthetic Sludge: Extraction	162