

Retropubic Single Incision Minisling versus Tension Free Vaginal Tape for Management of Stress Urinary Incontinence

: a randomized controlled trial

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.D. degree in obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Ahmed Mohamed Reda

M.Sc. in Obstetrics and Gynecology - Ain shams university
Specialist at Urogynecology Unit - Ain shams university

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Hazem Mohamed Sammour

Professor in obstetrics & gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams university

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim

Professor in obstetrics & gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams university

Prof. Dr. Ihab Fouad Serag Eldin Allam

Professor in obstetrics & gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams university

Dr. Ihab Adel Gomaa

Lecturer in obstetrics & gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain shams university

Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University

2016

الرافعة المصغرة احادية الشق خلف العانة
مقارنة بالشريط المصلي خالي الشد في علاج
السلس البولي الاجهادي

دراسة عشوائية التحكم

رسالة
توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه
في امراض النساء و التوليد
مقدمة من

طبيب / أحمد محمد رضا

ماجستير امراض النساء و التوليد
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس
تحت اشراف

الاستاذ الدكتور / حازم محمد سمور

استاذ امراض النساء و التوليد
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الاستاذ الدكتور /أحمد محمد ابراهيم

استاذ امراض النساء و التوليد
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الاستاذ الدكتور /ايهاب فؤاد سراج الدين علام

استاذ امراض النساء و التوليد
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور /ايهاب عادل جمعة

مدرس امراض النساء و التوليد
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس
كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

2016



*First of all, thanks to **ALLAH** who helped me to accomplish this work, all gratitude is due to **ALLAH** almighty for blessing this work as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.*



Acknowledgment

My deepest and warmest gratitude to my great supervisor **Doctor Hazem Mohamed Sammour**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University. It was an honor to me to carry out this work under his continuous guidance, encouragement and expert supervision.

I would like to express my great appreciation and thanks to **Doctor Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University who in addition to his valuable guidance and supervision, has provided me with a great deal of support, encouragement and Knowledge.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and special thanks to **Doctor Ihab Fouad Serag Eldin**, Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his close observation, generous guidance, effective help, and valuable supervision.

The present work could not have been done without the help of **Doctor Ihab Adel Gomaa**, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his guidance, continuous assistance and sincere supervision of this work.

Ahmed Reda

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Aim of the work	5
Review of literature	
Anatomy of Lower Urinary Tract	6
Physiology of Micturation	18
Urinary Continence	29
Stress Urinary Incontinence	43
Management of Stress Urinary Incontinence	59
Materials and Methods	76
Results	89
Discussion	112
Summary	126
Conclusions	131
Recommendation	132
References	133
Arabic summary	

List of tables

no	title	page
1	Comparison between retropubic minisling and tension free vaginal tape (TVT) groups as regards demographic data	89
2	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding preoperative evaluation	92
3	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding preoperative urodynamic study	95
4	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding operative and postoperative data	97
5	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding concomitant surgical procedures	99
6	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding efficacy data at 1 year follow up	100
7	Comparison between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding incidence of complications	103

List of tables (continued)

	Comparison between retropubic minisling and	
8	TVT groups as regards urodynamics study 1 year after procedure	106
	Difference between preoperative and 1 year	
9	urodynamics study in retropubic minisling group	108
	Difference between preoperative and 1 year	
10	urodynamics study in TVT group	109
	Difference between preoperative and 1 year	
11	questionnaires in retropubic minisling group	110
	Difference between preoperative and 1 year	
12	questionnaires in TVT group	111

List of figures

no	title	page
1	Sagittal view of the female pelvis	8
2	Horizontal section of female urethra	17
3	Diagram of reflex pathways during bladder filling	21
4	Diagram of reflex pathways during bladder voiding	23
5	Peripheral pathway of the female lower urinary tract	26
6	The continence mechanism	39
7	Flow chart of the study	77
8	30X30 cm mesh used for tape preparation	82
9	Tape used for retropubic minisling	82
10	The I.V.S needle	84
11	Visual analogue scale	86
12	Box-Plot chart showing difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding age	90
13	Box-Plot chart showing difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding body mass index	90

List of figures (continued)

	Bar-Chart showing difference between	
14	retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding menopausal status	91
15	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding urethral hypermobility	93
16	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding PFDI-20 and PISQ-12 questionnaires	93
17	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding incontinence severity index questionnaire	94
18	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding preoperative urodynamic study	96
19	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups as regards operative time and blood loss	98
20	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups as regards postoperative data	98
21	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding objective cure rate	101

List of figures (continued)

22	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding subjective cure rate	101
23	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding PFDI-20 and PISQ-12 questionnaires at 12 months follow up visit	102
24	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding patient global index of improvement questionnaires at 12 months follow up visit	102
25	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding incidence of immediate postoperative complications	104
26	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding incidence of short term complications	104
27	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding incidence of long term complications	105
28	Difference between retropubic minisling and TVT groups regarding preoperative urodynamic study	107

List of abbreviations

BMI	body mass index
cc	cubic centimeter
CI	confidence interval
CLPP	cough leak point pressure
GSI	genuine stress incontinence
h	hour
ISD	internal sphincteric deficiency
kg	kilogram
m	meter
min	minute
ml	milli liter
MMK	Marshall-Marchetti-Krantz
n	number
OR	odds ratio
PDFI-20	Pelvic Floor Distress Inventory-20
PISQ-12	Prolapse/Urinary Incontinence Sexual Questionnaire-12
PVR	post void residual volume
QoL	quality of life
RR	relative risk
SIMS	single incision minisling

List of abbreviations (continued)

SUI	stress urinary incontinence
TOT	trans obturator tape
TVT	tension free vaginal tape
UI	urinary incontinence
VLPP	valsalva leak point pressure

Introduction

Urinary incontinence is a common problem among adult women, with an estimated overall prevalence of 40% and between 6-10% of women with severe incontinence. Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is the predominant type of incontinence affecting approximately 50% of incontinent women. Thus, 20% of adult women will experience stress urinary incontinence. SUI reduces quality of life, causes important social limitations and represents an important economic burden (*Hunnskaar et al., 2004*).

According to the International continence society, stress urinary incontinence is defined as “A condition in which involuntary loss of urine on effort or exertion, or on sneezing or coughing” (*Abrams et al., 2003*).

The understanding of SUI pathophysiology has consistently improved over the past decade, and has resulted in the development of many surgical techniques (*Palma, 2011*).

Midurethral slings have revolutionized the surgical management of stress urinary incontinence in women and several procedures for midurethral slings have been reported (*Papatsoris et al., 2007*).

Based on the Integral Theory, Petros and Ulmsten proposed the tension-free vaginal tape (TVT). According to this theory a mid-urethral tape can stabilize the urethra during straining without modifying urethral mobility (***Petros and Richardson, 2005***).

The TVT is an effective operation for SUI that may be done in more complicated cases, such as intrinsic sphincter deficiency other than in primary cases. TVT creates a neopubourethral ligament that anchors the three muscle forces activating urethrovesical closure, including pubococcygeus muscles, longitudinal muscle of the anus and levator plate (***Sekiguchi et al., 2009***).

Recognized complications of retropubic mid-urethral slings include voiding dysfunction and the potential for bowel, bladder, and vascular injuries (***Ogah et al., 2011***).

In 2001, Delorme described a new method of inserting the tape, which passes through the obturator foramen (termed transobturator tape [TOT]), thus theoretically avoiding some of the complications such as bladder perforation (***Petros and Richardson, 2010***).

Clinical trials have demonstrated that transobuturator slings are associated with equivalent subjective cure rates to

retropubic slings, with less voiding dysfunction and fewer bladder perforations (*Novara et al., 2010*). However, transobturator slings have lower objective cure rates and have greater risk of postoperative neurologic symptoms in the obturator region (*Richter et al., 2010*).

Traditional retropubic and transobturator midurethral slings are reliable, safe, and effective treatments for stress urinary incontinence. Unfortunately, they also are associated with rare but severe complications, such as bladder or bowel perforation, vascular injury, nerve damage, and/or groin muscle pain that can occur with the blind passage of trocars. To maintain efficacy and patient satisfaction while avoiding such complications, minimally invasive mini-slings have been developed (*Neuman, 2007*).

Mini-slings often are performed as an outpatient surgery, with minimal morbidity, pain, and quick patient recovery (*Kennelly and Myers, 2011*).

Single-incision sling procedure for SUI is meant to be less invasive by avoiding the blind trocar passage through the retropubic or transobturator spaces associated with standard midurethral slings. As such, it has the potential for fewer complications, less postoperative pain, and decreased anesthesia requirements than standard slings. This device can