

Assessing the Performance of Channel Purification and Soil Aquifer Treatment for Enabling the Reuse of Treated Wastewater

By

Eng. Maysara Mostafa Ahmed Ghaith

B.Sc. in Water Engineering and Environment- Cairo University

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University
Giza - Egypt
2015

Assessing the Performance of Channel Purification and Soil Aquifer Treatment for Enabling the Reuse of Treated Wastewater

By

Eng. Maysara Mostafa Ahmed Ghaith

B.Sc. in Water Engineering and Environment- Cairo University

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan

Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed

Professor of Hydrogeology
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

Associate Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

**Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University
Giza - Egypt
2015**

Assessing the Performance of Channel Purification and Soil Aquifer Treatment for Enabling the Reuse of Treated Wastewater

By

Eng. Maysara Mostafa Ahmed Ghaith

B.Sc. in Water Engineering and Environment- Cairo University

A Thesis Submitted to

The Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

in

Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Prof. Abdallah Sadek Bazaraa

Prof. Madiha Mostafa Darweesh

Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan

Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed

Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University

Giza - Egypt

2015

Engineer's Name: Maysara Mostafa Ahmed Ghaith
Date of Birth: 19/09/1991
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: maysaraghaith@hotmail.com
maysaraghaith@cu.edu.eg
Phone: 01005428750
Address: 6, St. No. 155, Maadi, Cairo
Registration Date: 01/10/2013
Awarding Date: 2015
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering
Supervisors: Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan
Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed
Examiners: Prof. Abdallah Sadek Bazaraa
Prof. Madiha Mostafa Darweesh
Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed
Prof. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan



Title of Thesis:

Assessing the Performance of Channel Purification and Soil Aquifer Treatment for Enabling the Reuse of Treated Wastewater

Key words:

SAT (Soil Aquifer Treatment), Reuse of Treated Wastewater , Channel purification, Qual2k, Hydrus

Summary:

The reuse of treated wastewater can be enabled by river purification or SAT or both. The performance of the open channel purification system is mainly a function of the travel time. The removal efficiency is found to be about 50-70% for travel time less than 5 days. Also using weirs or drops enhances the reaeration and decreases the wastewater velocity in the channel yielding better purification. For soil aquifer treatment, the concentration of the contaminant is totally removed in the first layer of the soil, which differs from one soil type to another but generally ranges between 3 and 9 m.

Acknowledgment

It gives me great pleasure to record my appreciation of the vast amount of encouragement and assistance that has been forthcoming from many people who have been involved in the preparation of this research.

The brunt of this involvement has been borne by my supervisors, Dr. Ahmed Emam Ahmed Hassan, Professor of Hydrogeology, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Cairo University and Dr. Hesham Bekhit Mohamed, Associate Professor, Irrigation and Hydraulics department, Cairo University, whose encouragement, concern, effort suggestions, invaluable discussion and unfailing good nature have been of the utmost value that brings this work to conclusion.

Unbounded gratitude and acknowledgments are due to Dr. Abdallah Sadik Bazaraa, Professor of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Cairo University and Dr. Madiha Mostafa Darweesh, Professor of groundwater, RIGW, NWRC, for their advice, remarks, support and cooperation.

Thanks and recognition are extended to Dr. Emad Hamdy Imam, Professor of Irrigation Design, Cairo University and AUC, Dr. Mohammed Hamdy Nour, Dr. Tarek Salah-Eldin, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Cairo University and Eng. Nasser Khat tab, Holding Company for Water and Wastewater, for their sincere effort to gather and acquire the required data for the research from 6 October Wastewater Treatment Plant. In addition, my

grace and appreciation are extended to Eng. Ahmed Zaki AbdelAziz Head Manager of 6 October WWTP and Dr. Mohamed Osama, Head of water quality and monitoring Lab for their cooperation, understanding and assistance obtaining all the required data for this research.

The words cannot express how deeply I am indebted to my family, my esteemed grandfather, my adored grandmother, my respected father and my dear mother for their care, support, sacrifices and encouragement all over my life. My precious sisters, Mohra, Manar and Mayar who provide their care and encouragement all the time.

Finally yet importantly, special thanks to my beloved future fiancé for persisting support and care to finish this work as soon as possible.

To all these and those many, not cited above, who supplied something by way of encouragement, discussion, sympathy and interest, I offer my sincere thanks.

Table of Content

Acknowledgment.....	iv
Table of Content	vi
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	x
List of Symbols and Abbreviations.....	xi
Abstract.....	xiv
Chapter one	1
Introduction	1
1.1. Statement of the Problem	1
1.2. Traditional Solution	1
1.3. Proposed Solution.....	1
1.4. Objectives of the Study.....	2
1.5. Methodology	3
1.6. Thesis Outline	4
Chapter Two	5
Literature Review.....	5
2.1. Introduction	5
2.2. River purification	6
2.3. Soil Treatment	15
2.4. Preliminary Studies in Egypt	17
Chapter Three	32
Model Selection	32
3.1. River (Surface) Purification Model Selection.....	32
3.2. Model Governing Equations	35
3.3. Effect of Control Structures: Oxygen	38
3.4. Soil Purification Model Selection	41
Chapter Four	43
Case Study.....	43
4.1. Introduction	43
4.2. Current Conditions.....	44
4.3. Study Area.....	45

4.4. Wastewater Treatment Plant Data.....	46
4.5. Climate Data	47
4.6. Soil data	49
Chapter Five	50
Results and Interpretations	50
5.1. Introduction	50
5.2. River Purification Results	50
5.3. Soil Purification Results	60
5.4. Pond Results	67
Chapter Six.....	69
Conclusions and Recommendations.....	69
6.1. Summary	69
6.2. The Final Results for Case Study	69
6.3. Conclusions	69
6.4. Recommendations.....	70
References	71
Appendix.....	72

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Schematic for proposed solution.....	3
Figure 1.2 The proposed methodology of conducting the study research	4
Figure 2.1: Oxygen Sag Curve	7
Figure 2.2: DO comparison between sampling stations and Streeter-Phelps model	8
Figure 2.3: DO comparison between sampling stations and adjusted Cheliff model	9
Figure 2.4: DO variation and pollution sources along Tajan River	12
Figure 2.5: Waterwork Wiesbaden-Schierst Treatment Plant Schematic)	16
Figure: 3.1 WASP program working schematic	33
Figure 3.2: Simple schematic for finite difference solution involved in Qual2k	36
Figure 3.3: DO depletion and release through the processes involved in the model	36
Figure 3.4: Internal reaeration rate calculation (Covar 1976).....	38
Figure 3.5: Water flowing over a river control structure	39
Figure 4.1: Study area plan showing the proposed channel path	45
Figure 4.2: CLIMWAT stations used to get the climate data for the current study.....	47
Figure 4.3: Maximum temperature in December created from the 12 climate stations.....	48
Figure 4.4: Wind speed map created from the 12 climate stations considered	48
Figure 5.1: Modeling components schematic	50
Figure 5.2: Effect of segment size on model results.....	51
Figure 5.3: Effect of air temperature on removal efficiency	52
Figure 5.4: Effect of wind speed on removal efficiency	53
Figure 5.5: Effect of initial BOD concentration of removal efficiency	54
Figure 5.6: Effect of initial DO concentration of removal efficiency	54
Figure 5.7: Effect of decay coefficient on removal efficiency.....	55
Figure 5.8: Effect of water temperature on removal efficiency	56
Figure 5.9: Effect of travel time on removal efficiency	57
Figure 5.10: Effect of steps height on removal efficiency	57
Figure 5.11: Removal efficiency variation with months and parameters	59
Figure 5.12: Cross-section of the Hydrus 2D model used to analyze SAT	61
Figure 5.13: Concentration of BOD with time for different attachment-detachment rates.....	62
Figure 5.14: Variation of sorbed concentration with time for different attachment-detachment rates	63

Figure 5.15: Variation of BOD concentration with depth for different values of initial BOD.....	64
Figure 5.16: Variation of sorbed concentration with depth for different values of initial BOD.....	64
Figure 5.17: Variation of BOD concentration with time for different values of initial BOD.....	65
Figure 5.19: Variation of BOD concentration with depth for different scenarios	67
Figure 5.18: Pond design curve relating pond area to channel area and soil hydraulic conductivity K	68

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison between samples taken from Niagara Whirlpool	10
Table 2.2: Tajan River reaches specifications	11
Table 2.3: Calibrated coefficients for each reach along Tajan River	11
Table 2.4: Effect of step height on the DO concentration in wastewater	13
Table 2.5: Different areas selected for artificial recharge	19
Table 2.6: Different wastewater classes and their characteristics	20
Table 2.7: Different SAT methods and their specifications	21
Table 2.8: Survival time of different pathogens at 20-30 °C	23
Table 2.9: Code for the disposal of the treated wastewater	24
Table 2.10: Proposed EMP for reuse of treated wastewater projects	25
Table 2.11: Wastewater discharge (m ³ /day) in different cities in Egypt in 2000	26
Table 2.12: Proposed crops and trees to cultivate with reused wastewater	27
Table 2.13: Code limits for the treated wastewater to be used in agriculture (mg/L)	27
Table 2.14: Code for treated wastewater classes to be used in irrigation	28
Table 2.15: Guidelines for wastewater reuse according to the crop	29
Table 3.1: Comparison between different Surface purification models	34
Table 3.2: Coefficient values for water quality	39
Table 3.2: Coefficient values for dam type	39
Table 4.1 6 October WWTP expected design parameters.....	43
Table 4.2: The 6 th of October City WWTP effluent limits	44
Table 4.3: The 6 th of October City WWTP effluent characteristics	46
Table 4.4: Climate data summary for the open channel	49
Table 5.1: Summary for final BOD and removal efficiency (%) for different scenarios.....	58

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

A_c	Cross sectional area (L^2)
a_v	Air content
a_w	Factor representing the quality of waste water
b_k	Empirical coefficient
BOD	Biological oxygen demand (mg/l)
b_w	Factor representing type of weir
c	Concentration (ML^{-3})
C_d	Downstream oxygen level (mg/l)
C_u	Upstream oxygen level (mg/l)
C_{∞}	Saturation water concentration (mg/l)
CLIMWAT	Climatic database to be used in calculation of crop water requirements, irrigation supply and irrigation scheduling for various crops for a range of climatological stations worldwide.
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
c_r	Concentration of the sink term [ML^{-3}]
C_s	BOD concentration (mg/l)
D	Deficit in the dissolved oxygen (mg/l)
D_{ij}^g	Diffusion coefficient tensor [L^2T^{-1}] for the gas phase
DO	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)
D_o	Initial deficit (mg/l)
D_{ij}^w	Dispersion coefficient tensor [L^2T^{-1}] for the liquid phase
E	Longitudinal dispersion (L^2T^{-1}),
EIA	Environmental impact assessment
EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
f	Self-purification factor
FC	Fecal Coliform
F_{oxc}	Rate at which the decay process decreases when oxygen is almost depleted
g	Solute concentrations in gaseous
H	Water depth (m)
h	Pressure head (m)
HCWW	Holding Company for Water and Wastewater

H_d	Height of step (m)
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Centers River Analysis System program
Hydrus2D	Analysis of water flow and solute transport in variably saturated porous media model
K	Hydraulic conductivity (LT^{-1})
Ka	Reaeration rate (T^{-1})
K^A_{ij}	Components of anisotropy tensor while K is the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
k_{dcs}	Decay rate (T^{-1})
K_s	Partitioning coefficient
$k_{s,k}$	Empirical coefficient [L^3M^{-1}]
L_o	Ultimate BOD (mg/l)
m	Empirical coefficient
MWRI	Egyptian Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation
n	Empirical coefficient
o	Oxygen concentration [mg O ₂ /l]
pH	Numeric scale used to specify the acidity or basicity of an aqueous solution
$Q_{ab,i}$	Abstraction flow at reach i (l/d)
Q_i	Flow at reach i (l/d)
q_i	Volumetric flux density [LT^{-1}]
Qual2E	Modelling package in the evaluation of a water quality
Qual2k	One-dimensional river and stream water quality model
r	Oxygen deficit ratio
S	Sources and sinks (mg/l/d)
s	Solute concentrations in solid
SAT	Soil aquifer treatment
SS	Suspended Solids
t	Time
T	Water temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_a	Air Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
U	Water velocity (m/s)
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
V_i	Volume of reach i (l)
WASP	Water Quality Analysis and Simulation Program
W_i	External loading of the constituent to reach i (mg/d)
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment plant

x	Distance (L)
γ_g	Zero-order rate constants for the gas [$\text{ML}^{-3}\text{T}^{-1}$]
γ_s	Zero-order rate constants for the solid [T^{-1}]
γ_w	Zero-order rate constants for the liquid [$\text{ML}^{-3}\text{T}^{-1}$]
η_k	Empirical coefficient [L^3M^{-1}]
μ_g	First-order rate constants for solutes in the gaseous
μ_s	First-order rate constants for solutes in the solid
μ_w	First-order rate constants for solutes in the liquid
ρ	Soil bulk density [ML^{-3}]
θ	Coefficient depending of temperature variation
	Volumetric water content
q_v	
η	Maximum sorption capacity

Abstract

Water resources in Egypt are limited and population is rapidly increasing. Thus, the gap between supply and demand increases from year to year and as a result water quality is deteriorating. The problem is exacerbated by the development projects at the upper Nile countries with the potential to reduce the already stressed water resources. Seeking alternative resources to compensate for the potential shortage and to help filling the increasing gap between supply and demand; one has to consider the potential of using treated wastewater that is available in large quantities in Egypt.

According to USAID report 2010, wastewater discharge in Egypt amounts to about 9 Mm³/day and only half of this amount was being treated by year 2000. The government is facing a double fold problem of 1) needing to treat the remaining wastewater discharge, and 2) devising a safe mechanism of disposing off the treated wastewater that has a low quality that precludes using it for useful purposes. Therefore, there is a dire need to solve both problems and it would be advantageous to also enable the use of the treated wastewater in a way that contributes to narrowing the gap between supply and demand.

This study uses computer modeling to assess the performance of a system of natural purification of treated wastewater effluent composed of open channel purification, bank infiltration, and soil aquifer treatment. Although the assessment relied on some site-specific data pertaining to 6th October wastewater treatment plant, the assessment is generic in nature and results can readily be generalized to other cases. The modeling of the open channel system is carried out using Qual2k with different wastewater effluent properties, different channel properties and different climatic properties to assess the dependence of the system performance on different regions with different properties. The assessment of soil aquifer treatment (through subsurface filtration) is conducted using Hydrus2D applied to the most critical section.

Based on the simulations and different scenarios, the performance of the open channel purification system is mainly a function of the travel time. The removal efficiency is found to be about 50-70% for travel time less than 5 days. Also using weirs or drops enhances the reaeration and decreases the wastewater velocity in the channel yielding better purification. For soil aquifer treatment, the concentration of the contaminant is totally removed in the first layer of the soil, which differs from one soil type to another but generally ranges between 5 and 10 m.

This system can be used for recharging groundwater aquifers by such purified wastewater, and the stored water can subsequently be used by pumping wells in different agricultural purposes. The results of this study are promising and more elaborated work can be built on these results for the objective of reaching a practical system with multiple benefits.