

# **Evaluation of stone die accuracy using different impression materials and techniques under dry and moist conditions**

## **Thesis**

*Submitted to faculty of dentistry,  
Crown and Bridge department,  
Ain Shams University,  
In partial fulfillment of the requirement for master degree in fixed  
prosthodontics.*

**BY**

**Dalia Magdi Mohamed Mahfouz Amin Helal**

*B.D.S. (2005)  
Demonstrator in crown and bridge department  
Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University  
(2011)**

## **Supervisors**

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Jihan Farouk Mohamed Younis**

*Assistant Professor of crown and bridge,  
Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University.*

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Adel El-Demellawy** ☺

*Assistant professor of crown and bridge,  
Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University*

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ  
قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا  
إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم  
(٣٢) سورة البقرة آية

*Dedication*

# *Dedication*

*To those*

*who gave me so much*

*love,*

*care and support,  
million thanks won't be enough*

*Acknowledgement*

## *Acknowledgement*

*First of all, I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to **Dr. Jihan Farouk**, Associate Professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. It was a great honor to work under her meticulous supervision and her valuable guidance.*

*My deepest thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Adel El-Demellawy**, Associate Professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his remarkable help, valuable advice, constant support and encouragement during the course of this research.*

*Deep thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**, Associate professor of Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of dentistry, Ain shams University, for her valuable motherly advice, her constant guidance and unforgettable support and encouragement.*

*My special appreciation goes to **Dr. Tarek Salah El Din Morsi**, Associate professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his help and time spent on the work of this study and for his scientific guidance.*

*Special Thanks to **Dr. Amr Al Etriby**, Lecturer of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his wise opinions and helpful suggestions throughout this research.*

*I would like also to express my gratitude to my colleagues **Dr. Fatma Adel**, **Dr.***

*Soha Osama and Dr. Andy Gabra for their unforgettable help and support.*

*Last but not least, I would like to thank all Staff Members of Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for their constant care, support, encouragement and assistance.*

## *List of contents*

| <i>Title</i>                        |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Page No.</i>                     |            |
| <b>* List of Tables.....</b>        | <b>i</b>   |
| <b>* List of Figures.....</b>       | <b>ii</b>  |
| <b>* Introduction.....</b>          | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>* Review of Literature.....</b>  | <b>3</b>   |
| <b>* Aim of the Study.....</b>      | <b>45</b>  |
| <b>* Materials and Methods.....</b> | <b>46</b>  |
| <b>* Results.....</b>               | <b>80</b>  |
| <b>* Discussion.....</b>            | <b>111</b> |
| <b>* Summary.....</b>               | <b>125</b> |
| <b>* Conclusion.....</b>            | <b>126</b> |
| <b>* Recommendations.....</b>       | <b>127</b> |
| <b>* References.....</b>            | <b>128</b> |
| <b>* Arabic summary</b>             |            |

## *List of Tables*

| <i>Table No.</i> | <i>Title</i>                                                                                                                          | <i>Page</i> |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                | The elastomeric impression materials                                                                                                  | 46          |
| 2                | The grouping of the dimensional accuracy samples                                                                                      | 58          |
| 3                | The grouping of the detail reproduction samples                                                                                       | 58          |
| 4                | The means, standard deviations and discrepancy values of VPS                                                                          | 80          |
| 5                | Dimensional accuracy of VPS impression material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions                         | 81          |
| 6                | Multifactorial Analysis of variance ANOVA comparing variables affecting dimensional changes of VPS impression material                | 82          |
| 7                | The means, standard deviations and discrepancy values of Vinyl siloxanether                                                           | 85          |
| 8                | Dimensional accuracy of Vinyl siloxanether impression material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions          | 86          |
| 9                | Multifactorial analysis of variance ANOVA comparing variables affecting dimensional changes of Vinyl siloxanether impression material | 87          |
| 10               | The means, standard deviations and discrepancy values of Polyether                                                                    | 90          |
| 11               | Dimensional accuracy of Polyether impression material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions                   | 91          |
| 12               | Multifactorial analysis of variance ANOVA comparing variables affecting dimensional changes of Polyether impression material          | 92          |
| 13               | Total dimensional discrepancy of different impression materials                                                                       | 95          |
| 14               | Total dimensional discrepancy of different impression techniques                                                                      | 96          |

|    |                                                                                                                                                              |     |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 15 | Total dimensional discrepancy under dry and moist conditions                                                                                                 | 97  |
| 16 | The percentage of satisfactory and unsatisfactory impressions according to criteria based on ADA specification 19 for acceptable surface detail reproduction | 99  |
| 17 | The percentage of satisfactory and unsatisfactory impressions assessed with additional smooth surface evaluation                                             | 100 |
| 18 | Detail reproduction of different elastomeric impression material                                                                                             | 101 |
| 19 | Surface smoothness score of different elastomeric impression materials                                                                                       | 102 |
| 20 | Detail reproduction of different impression techniques                                                                                                       | 107 |
| 21 | Surface smoothness score of different impression techniques                                                                                                  | 108 |
| 22 | Detail reproduction of different impression materials under dry and moist conditions                                                                         | 109 |
| 23 | Surface smoothness score of different impression materials under dry and moist conditions                                                                    | 110 |

## *List of Figures*

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i>                                                                                                              | <i>Page</i> |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                 | Imprint II Garant Monophase impression material                                                                           | 47          |
| 2                 | Imprint II Garant Light Impression material                                                                               | 48          |
| 3                 | The caulking gun of Viva-dent Ivoclar with the cartridge and the mixing tip attached to it                                | 48          |
| 4                 | Identium Medium impression material                                                                                       | 49          |
| 5                 | The Penta mix machine                                                                                                     | 50          |
| 6                 | Identium Light impression material                                                                                        | 50          |
| 7                 | Impregum Penta impression material                                                                                        | 51          |
| 8                 | Permadyne impression material                                                                                             | 52          |
| 9                 | The plastic elastomeric syringe used with Permadyne impression material                                                   | 52          |
| 10                | Diagram of the master die for dimensional accuracy testing (lateral and top view)                                         | 53          |
| 11                | The two standardized stainless steel master dies (I and II) for dimensional accuracy testing                              | 54          |
| 12                | Diagram of the master disc for detail reproduction testing (lateral and top view)                                         | 55          |
| 13                | The two stainless steel master discs (I' and II') for detail reproduction testing                                         | 55          |
| 14                | The custom made perforated brass mold for dimensional accuracy testing                                                    | 56          |
| 15                | The custom made perforated brass mold for detail reproduction testing                                                     | 56          |
| 16                | The injection of medium bodied consistency into the custom made perforated brass tray                                     | 59          |
| 17                | The mixing of Permadyne base and catalyst on paper pad by metal spatula in circular strokes                               | 60          |
| 18                | Loaded elastomeric impression syringe with Permadyne impression material                                                  | 60          |
| 19                | The injection of the light bodied material to the lined areas of die no. I for dimensional accuracy testing               | 61          |
| 20                | Finger pressure to allow seating of the tray on the die                                                                   | 61          |
| 21                | The die and tray for dimensional accuracy testing held with a C- shaped clamp                                             | 62          |
| 22                | The application of the medium bodied material into the custom made perforated brass tray for dimensional accuracy testing | 63          |
| 23                | The application of finger pressure to allow accurate seating                                                              | 64          |
| 24                | The die with the applied impression material and the tray                                                                 | 65          |

|    |                                                                                                                                               |    |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
|    | transferred into water bath                                                                                                                   |    |
| 25 | The final impression for dimensional accuracy testing                                                                                         | 65 |
| 26 | A stone die for dimensional accuracy testing                                                                                                  | 66 |
| 27 | The final impression for detail reproduction testing                                                                                          | 67 |
| 28 | The Cerec- 3 machine                                                                                                                          | 68 |
| 29 | The Cerec- 3 infra red camera                                                                                                                 | 68 |
| 30 | The die for dimensional accuracy testing (I) and the disc for detail reproduction testing (I') with evenly applied powder for optical imaging | 68 |
| 31 | The optical impression of the die I for dimensional accuracy testing                                                                          | 70 |
| 32 | A 3D optical impression of the die for dimensional accuracy testing from top view                                                             | 71 |
| 33 | A 3D optical impression of the die for dimensional accuracy testing from axial view                                                           | 71 |
| 34 | A 3D optical impression of the disc for detail reproduction testing                                                                           | 71 |
| 35 | Diagram showing the die for dimensional accuracy testing from top view ( the red dots represent the 4 points measured on the finish line)     | 72 |
| 36 | A photo captured by the stereomicroscope of the stone die for dimensional accuracy testing from top view                                      | 73 |
| 37 | A photo captured by the stereomicroscope of the stone die for dimensional accuracy testing from axial view                                    | 73 |
| 38 | The 2 pins, the measuring line and the table showing the readings (measuring the distance between points X and Y)                             | 74 |
| 39 | The 2 pins, the measuring line and the table showing the readings( measuring the height)                                                      | 74 |
| 40 | The 2 pins, the measuring line and the table showing the readings (measuring the thickness of the finish line)                                | 75 |
| 41 | A detail reproduction testing impression with complete continuity of the 3 lines (satisfactory)                                               | 75 |
| 42 | A detail reproduction testing impression with faint reproduction of line 3 ( unsatisfactory)                                                  | 76 |
| 43 | A detail reproduction testing impression with smooth, shiny and void free surface (satisfactory)                                              | 77 |
| 44 | A detail reproduction testing impression with multiple voids ( unsatisfactory)                                                                | 77 |
| 45 | An optical impression for detail reproduction testing with complete continuity of the 3 lines (satisfactory)                                  | 78 |
| 46 | An optical impression for the detail reproduction testing with interrupted lines 1 and 3 (unsatisfactory)                                     | 78 |
| 47 | Histogram of discrepancy mean values for VPS impression                                                                                       | 81 |

|    |                                                                                                                                                                        |    |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
|    | material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions                                                                                                 |    |
| 48 | Box plots of discrepancy mean values for VPS impression material made with different techniques under dry and moist conditions (a= X-Y length, b= FL, c= Height)       | 83 |
| 49 | Stone die resulted from VPS impression with single step technique under dry condition (top view)                                                                       | 84 |
| 50 | Stone die resulted from VPS impression with two steps technique under dry condition (top view)                                                                         | 84 |
| 51 | Stone die resulted from VPS impression with single step technique under moist condition (top view)                                                                     | 84 |
| 52 | Stone die resulted from VPS impression with two steps technique under moist condition (top view)                                                                       | 85 |
| 53 | Histogram of discrepancy mean values for Vinyl siloxanether impression material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions                          | 86 |
| 54 | Box plots of discrepancy mean values for VPS impression material made with different techniques under dry and moist conditions (a= X-Y length, b= FL, c= Height)       | 88 |
| 55 | Stone die resulted from Vinyl siloxanether impression with single step technique under dry condition (top view)                                                        | 89 |
| 56 | Stone die resulted from Vinyl siloxanether impression with two steps technique under dry condition (top view)                                                          | 89 |
| 57 | Stone die resulted from Vinyl siloxanether impression with single step technique under moist condition (top view)                                                      | 89 |
| 58 | Stone die resulted from Vinyl siloxanether impression with two steps technique under moist condition (top view)                                                        | 90 |
| 59 | Histogram of discrepancy mean values for Polyether impression material with two impression techniques under dry and moist conditions                                   | 91 |
| 60 | Box plots of discrepancy mean values for Polyether impression material made with different techniques under dry and moist conditions (a= X-Y length, b= FL, c= Height) | 93 |
| 61 | Stone die resulted from Polyether impression with single step technique under dry condition (top view)                                                                 | 94 |
| 62 | Stone die resulted from Polyether impression with two steps technique under dry condition (top view)                                                                   | 94 |
| 63 | Stone die resulted from Polyether impression with single step technique under moist condition (top view)                                                               | 94 |
| 64 | Stone die resulted from Polyether impression with two steps technique under moist condition (top view)                                                                 | 95 |
| 65 | Histogram of total discrepancy mean values for different                                                                                                               | 96 |

|    |                                                                                                               |     |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|    | impression materials                                                                                          |     |
| 66 | Histogram of total discrepancy mean values for different impression techniques                                | 97  |
| 67 | Histogram of total discrepancy mean values under dry and moist conditions                                     | 98  |
| 68 | Histogram of lines detail reproduction scores of different elastomeric impression materials                   | 101 |
| 69 | Histogram of surface smoothness scores of different elastomeric impression materials                          | 102 |
| 70 | VPS impression with single step technique under dry condition                                                 | 103 |
| 71 | VPS impression with two steps technique under dry condition                                                   | 103 |
| 72 | VPS impression with single step technique under moist condition                                               | 103 |
| 73 | VPS impression with two steps technique under moist condition                                                 | 104 |
| 74 | Vinyl siloxanether impression with single step technique under dry condition                                  | 104 |
| 75 | Vinyl siloxanether impression with two steps technique under dry condition                                    | 104 |
| 76 | Vinyl siloxanether impression with single step technique under moist condition                                | 105 |
| 77 | Vinyl siloxanether impression with two steps technique under moist condition                                  | 105 |
| 78 | Polyether impression with single step technique under dry condition                                           | 105 |
| 79 | Polyether impression with two steps technique under dry condition                                             | 106 |
| 80 | Polyether impression with single step technique under moist condition                                         | 106 |
| 81 | Polyether impression with two steps technique under moist condition                                           | 106 |
| 82 | Histogram of line detail reproduction scores of different impression techniques                               | 117 |
| 83 | Histogram of smoothness of surface scores of different impression techniques                                  | 108 |
| 84 | Histogram of line detail reproduction scores of different impression materials under dry and moist conditions | 109 |
| 85 | Histogram of smoothness of surface scores of different impression materials under dry and moist conditions    | 110 |



# **Evaluation of stone die accuracy using different impression materials and techniques under dry and moist conditions**

## **Thesis**

*Submitted to faculty of dentistry,  
Crown and Bridge department,  
Ain Shams University,  
In partial fulfillment of the requirement for master degree in fixed  
prosthodontics.*

**BY**

**Dalia Magdi Mohamed Mahfouz Amin Helal**

*B.D.S. (2005)  
Demonstrator in crown and bridge department  
Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of dentistry,  
Ain Shams University  
(2011)**