

معدل حدوث العيوب الخلقية فى الأطفال حديثى الولادة داخل وحدات حديثى الولادة بمستشفيات جامعة القاهرة

رسالة مقدمة من

الطبيبة/ رندا إبراهيم ناصف الدسوقي طه
توطئه للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى طب الأطفال

المشرفين

أ.د. سوسن عبد الهادى هلال
أستاذ طب الأطفال ورئيس وحدة الوراثة
كلية الطب – جامعة القاهرة

أ.د. ناهد فهمى هلال
أستاذ طب الأطفال
كلية الطب جامعة القاهرة

أ.د. آمال محمود محمد
أستاذ الوراثة البشرية
المركز القومى للبحوث

كلية الطب جامعة القاهرة

٢٠٠٧

INCIDENCE OF CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS AMONG NEONATES ADMITTED TO CAIRO UNIVERSITY NEONATAL UNITS

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D. degree in pediatrics

By

Randa Ibrahim Nassif El-Desouky Taha

M.B., B. Ch., M.Sc. Pediatrics

Supervisors

Dr. Sawsan Abdel Hady Hasan

Professor of Pediatrics & Genetics

Cairo University

Dr. Nahed Fahmy Helal

Professor of Pediatrics

Cairo University

Dr. Amal Mahmoud Mohamed

Professor of human genetics

National Research Center

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2007

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deepest appreciation as well as my profound gratitude and thanks to my eminent *Professor Doctor/ Sawsan Abdel-Hady*, professor of pediatrics and director of genetics unit, Cairo University, for her kind supervision, helpful advice and great encouragement. I hope I have been able to fulfill her aim of scientific accuracy.

I would like to thank *Professor Doctor/ Nahed Fahmy* Professor of neonatology Cairo University for her valuable help and criticism, she was generous with her time and effort.

I feel also greatly indebted to *Professor Doctor/ Amal Mahmoud* professor of human genetics and her colleague *Professor Doctor/ Alaa Kamel* for the great effort they spent to produce my genetic laboratory study in the best accurate way.

Words can not express my gratitude to *Professor Doctor/ Naser Abdel Aal* and his team for facilitating my work during the study period .

I wish heartily to thank my friend *Professor Doctor/ Soha Abdel-Daem* for her sincere assistance.

Last, but not the least, I give my deepest thanks to my family, my friends and colleagues for their help and forbearance while I was engaged in this work.

**To my family and
my patients**

Table of contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Morphogenesis and Dysmorphogenesis	4
Dysmorphogenesis	6
Etiological Factors of congenital Malformations	9
Clinical Types	28
Approach to the dysmorphic child	31
Genetic Counseling	36
Prenatal Diagnosis	38
Highlights on some congenital malformation disorders	42
Patients and Methods	61
Results	65
Discussion	130
Conclusion and summary	139
Recommendations	141
References	143

List of Tables

		page
Table (A):	Malformations resulting from specific aberrant developmental mechanisms	7
Table (B):	Provides framework for thinking about causes of birth defects.	9
Table (C):	Estimated incidence of causes of major congenital anomalies.	11
Table (D):	Agents acting on pregnant women that may adversely affect the structure or function of the fetus.	23
Table (E):	Important points in history taking.	31
Table (F):	Indications for genetic counseling.	37
Table(G):	Embryological Classification.	57
Table 1:	Descriptive data of the babies and their mothers.	70
Table 2:	Frequency distribution of different types of anomalies among the studied group.	75
Table 3:	Distribution of anomalies according to gender.	76
Table 4:	Distribution of anomalies according to gestational age at time of delivery.	78
Table 5:	Distribution of anomalies according to weight of the infant.	80
Table 6:	Distribution of anomalies according to presence or absence of consanguinity.	82
Table 7:	Outcome of Studied Cases.	84
Table 8:	Residence of Studied Cases.	85
Table 9:	Monthly distribution of studied babies.	87
Table 10:	Percentage of system affected in relation to months of birth.	90

		page
Table 11:	Data of babies with GIT anomalies (N= 238 cases: 61 % of total studied babies).	94
Table 11:	Data of babies with GIT anomalies (N= 238 cases: 61 % of total studied babies) continue.	95
Table 12:	Data of babies with urinary anomalies (N= 30 cases: 7.7 % of total studied babies).	96
Table 13 :	Data of babies with CVS anomalies (N= 114 cases: 29.2% of total studied babies).	97
Table 14:	Data of babies with musculoskeletal anomalies (N= 81 cases: 20.8 % of total studied babies).	98
Table 15:	Data of babies with respiratory anomalies (N= 10 cases: 2.6 % of total studied babies).	99
Table 16:	Data of babies with eye, face and nose anomalies (N= 9 cases : 2.3 % of total Studied Babies).	99
Table 17:	Data of babies with other congenital anomalies (N= 18 cases: 4.6 % of total studied babies).	100
Table 18:	Data of babies with cleft lip and palate anomalies (N= 9 cases: 2.3 % of total studied babies).	100
Table 19:	Data of babies with chromosomal anomalies (N= 15 cases: 3.8 % of total studied babies).	101
Table 20:	Data of babies with genital anomalies (N= 16 cases : 4.1 % of total studied babies).	101
Table 21:	Data of babies with neoplastic anomalies (N= 19 cases: 4.9 % of total Studied Babies).	102
Table 22:	Data of babies with CNS anomalies (N= 29 cases : 7.4 % of Total Studied Babies).	103

List of Figures

Page		
16	Structural rearrangements in chromosomes.	Fig (A):
33	An approach to the dysmorphic infant or child with a single anomaly.	Fig (B):
71	Showing the percent of cases with multiple anomalies	Fig. (1):
71	Gestational age of the studied babies	Fig. (2):
72	Birth weight of the studied babies	Fig. (3):
72	Mode of delivery of the studied babies	Fig. (4):
73	Maternal medical history of the studied babies	Fig. (5):
73	Mothers with positive obstetric history	Fig. (6):
74	Consanguinity of the studied babies	Fig. (7):
75	Frequency distribution of different types of anomalies among the studied group	Fig. (8):
77	Distribution of anomalies according to gender	Fig. (9):
79	Distribution of anomalies according to gestational age at time of delivery	Fig. (10):
81	Distribution of anomalies according to weight of the studied babies	Fig. (11):
83	Distribution of anomalies according to presence or absence of consanguinity	Fig. (12):
83	Postnatal age at presentation of the studied babies	Fig. (13):
84	Outcome of the studied babies	Fig. (14):
86	Residence of studied babies	Fig. (15):
88	Seasonal distribution of the studied babies	Fig. (16):
89	Parity of the studied babies	Fig. (17):
89	Maternal age of the studies patients	Fig. (18):
91	Monthly distribution of GIT anomalies cases (N=238 babies, 61% from all cases)	Fig. (19):
91	Monthly distribution of circulatory anomalies cases (N= 114 babies, 29.2% from all cases)	Fig. (20):
91	Monthly distribution of CNS anomalies cases (N=19 babies, 7.4% from all cases)	Fig. (21):
91	Monthly distribution of urinary system anomalies cases (N = 30 babies, 7.7% from all cases)	Fig. (22):
92	Monthly distribution of respiratory system anomalies cases (N= 10 babies, 2.6% from all	Fig. (23):

	cases)	
92	Monthly distribution of eye, face and nose anomalies cases (N= 9 babies, 2.3% from all cases)	Fig. (24):
92	Monthly distribution of genital system anomalies cases (N = 16 babies, 4.1% from all cases)	Fig. (25):
92	Monthly distribution of cleft lip & Palate anomalies cases (N = 9 babies, 2.3% from all cases)	Fig. (26):
93	Monthly distribution of chromosomal anomalies cases (N=15 babies, 3.8% from all cases)	Fig. (27):
93	Monthly distribution of other congenital anomalies cases (N=18 babies, 4.6% from all cases)	Fig. (28):
93	Monthly distribution of congenital neoplasm anomalies cases (N = 19 babies, 4.9% from all cases)	Fig. (29):
93	Monthly distribution of musculoskeletal anomalies cases (N=81 babies, 20.8 % from all cases).	Fig. (30):
104	Holoprosencephaly with microphthalmia and midline defects.	Fig. (31):
104	C.T Brain of the same case.	Fig. (32):
105	Holoprosencephaly with preauricular skin tags	Fig. (33):
106	Microcephaly with meningoencephalocele	Fig. (34):
106	Spina bifida with lipoma and hair tuft	Fig. (35):
107	Anterior encephalocele	Fig. (36):
108	Male set of Thoracoomphalopagus	Fig. (37):
109	Male set of craniopagus	Fig. (38):
110	Female set of Thoracoomphalopagus	Fig. (39):
111	Pygomelia with ectopia vesica	Fig. (40):
112	Amniotic Band disruption	Fig. (41):
113	Exomphlos Major	Fig. (42):
114	Male with cloacal extrophy	Fig. (43):
114	Female with cloacal extrophy	Fig. (44):
115	Ectopia vesica	Fig. (45):
115	Pelviperianal anomaly	Fig. (46):
116	Male Sirenomelia	Fig. (47):

116	Epidermolysis Bullosa	Fig. (48):
117	Beckwith Wiedemann	Fig. (49):
117	VATER Association	Fig. (50):
118	Arthrogryposis	Fig. (51):
118	Absent Tibia	Fig. (52):
119	Waardenburg syndrome with HSD	Fig. (53):
119	Ehler Danlos	Fig. (54):
120	Emberional fibrosarcoma	Fig. (55):
120	C.T. Lower limb of the same case	Fig. (56):
121	Huge Hemangioma	Fig. (57):
121	C.T. abdomen of the same case	Fig. (58):
122	Sacroccygeal teratoma	Fig. (59):
122	Sacroccygeal teratoma	Fig. (60):
123	Cervical cystic hygroma	Fig. (61):
123	Right foot cystic hygroma	Fig. (62):
124	Chromosome 9 pericentric inversion (normal variant)	Fig. (63):
125	Dysmorphic neonate	Fig. (64):
126	Trisomy 13 (Patau syndrome)	Fig. (65):
127	Aphalia with chromosome 5 pericentric inversion	Fig. (66):
128	Miller Diecker syndrome	Fig. (67):
128	C.T. brain of the same case with Lyssencephaly	Fig. (68):
129	Dwon syndrome	Fig. (69):

List of Abbreviations

A.V. Canal	Atrioventricular canal
AFP	Alpha fetoprotein
ASD	Atreal septal defect
B. of ledd	Bands of ledd
CDH	Congenital diaphragmatic hernia
CHARGE	Coloboma of the eye, Heart, Atresia Choana anomalies, retardation of mental and somatic development microphillus, Ear anomalies or deafness.
CHPS	Congenital hypertrophic pyloric stenosis
CNS	Central nervous system
Confluent P.A.	Confluent pulmonary artery
CVS	Cardiovascular system
DNA	Deoxyriboneuclic acid
duod. atresia	Duodenal atresia
dup	Duplication
EB	Epidermolysis bullosa
FISH	Florescence in situ hybridization
H.I. anus	High imperforate anus
H.S.D.	Hürschsprung disease
HLA	Human leucocytic antigen
HPE	Holoprosencephaly
i(xq)	Isochromosome for Xq
IGUR	Intrauterine growth retardation
ILS	Isolate lyssencephaly sequence
Jeuj. atresia	Jeujenal atresia
L.I. anus	Low imperforate anus
LBW	Low birth weight

Malposition of G.V.	Malposition of great vessels
MIHV	Middle interhemispheric variant
MLPA	Multiple ligation probe Amplification
NTDs	Neural tube defect
p	Short arm of chromosome
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDA	Patent ductus arteriosus
PFO	Patent foramen oval
PKU	Phenylketonuria
q	Long arm of chromosome
SHH	Sonic hedge hog
TOF	Tracheoesophageal Fistula
TR	Tricuspid regurge
VACTERL	Vater+Renal anomalies + cardiac
VACTERL-H	Vacterl-Hydrocephalus
VATER	Vertebral defect, Anal atresia, Tracheoesophagial fistula with esophageal atresia, Radial displasia
VSD	Ventricular septal defect

Introduction

A normal birth is always regarded as a logical, natural event. But for any reason, a deviation occurs, the malformation is received with a sense of fear and horror, but at the same time as an evincing example of the power of God (**Mazzola et al., 1990**).

Birth defects, congenital malformations, and congenital anomalies are all encompassing terms currently used to describe developmental defects present at birth (L. Congenitus, born with). Birth defects may be structural, functional, metabolic, behavioral or hereditary (**Moore and Persaud, 1993**).

An endless list of giants, dwarfs, conjoined twins, Cyclops and anencephalic creatures fill the literature of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Numerous reports on semihuman beings were invented as the result of bestiality and sexual perversions. Mothers of deformed children were considered witches and burnt at the stake for having an affair with the devil (**Schenk, 1609; Licetus, 1634; Schot 1667 and Van Meekeren, 1682**).

The concept that malformed children were to be taken as a warning was very popular not only in ancient civilizations but also later, in the period from the Middle Ages to the eighteenth century. It is, however, very interesting to note that this idea is still deeply rooted in some populations of Africa and Latin America (**Mazzola, et al., 1990**).

The population risk for medically significant birth defects is approximately 3% of all live-born infants. However, not all birth defects are detected at birth, for example, some forms of kidney disorders, congenital heart disease, and mental retardation are diagnosed later in life.

So by adulthood the percent will rise to 7% of the population (**Elias, et al., 2005**). Congenital anomalies cause 10% of all neonatal deaths (**Cassidy and Whiteman, 1996**).

Egypt's rapidly growing population has an estimated rate of natural increase of 2.9% (**Courbage and Khlal, 1993**). This population is highly inbred. There are no significant intercultural, multiethnic, and interreligious marriages, and only recently has migration been common among Egyptians.

Fetal death and adverse outcome of pregnancy are quite high among Egyptian's. Six percent of all still born and 16% of all pregnancies end in miscarriages. Miscarriages increase to 21% if there is a history of birth defect in a previous pregnancy. In a study of birth defects among Egyptian, it was found that 11% of birth defects were attributed to single-gene defects, 31% were chromosomal in origin, 54% were due to multifactorial etiology, and 4% were environmental. A history of using teratogenic substances was found in 17% of cases of birth defects compared to 3% among the general population (**Hashem, 1980**).