

# Investigation of Charged Particles Induced Nuclear Reactions on Some Medium Weight Nuclei

Thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Physics (Nuclear Physics)

BY:

Shimaa Abd El-Wahed Abu Zeid Hassan B. Sc. in Physics, 2005

Supervised by

#### Prof. Dr. Usama Seddik Abd El Ghafar

Prof. of Nuclear and Particle Physics Experimental Nuclear Physics Department (Cyclotron Project), Nuclear Research Center Atomic Energy Authority. Egypt

#### Dr. Magda Mohamed El Sayed Abd El Wahab

Ass. Prof. of Nuclear Physics Physics Department Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Mogahed Ebrahim Ali Al-Abyad

Lecturer of Nuclear Physics Experimental Nuclear Physics Department (Cyclotron Project), Nuclear Research Center Atomic Energy Authority. Egypt

(2011)



### **Approval Sheet**

## Investigation of Charged Particles Induced Nuclear Reactions on Some Medium Weight Nuclei

#### Name of candidate

Shimaa Abd El-Wahed Abu Zeid Hassan

Supervised by

**Signature** 

Prof. Dr. Usama Seddik Abd El Ghafar

Dr. Magda Mohamed El Sayed Abd El Wahab

Dr. Mogahed Ebrahim Ali Al-Abyad

Approval Stamp

Date of Approval

/ / 2011

/ / 2011

Approval of Faculty Council

Approval of University Council

/ / 2011

/ / 2011

### 

ٱلرَّحْمَانُ ۞ عَلَّمَ ٱلۡقُرۡءَانَ ۞

خَلَقَ ٱلْإِنسَانَ ﴿ عَلَّمَهُ ٱلْبَيَانَ ﴿

﴿ سُورة الرَّحمَنُ ﴾

### ABSTRACT

The atomic age is associated not only with electric power plants and nuclear weapons, but also with the applications of radioactive isotopes in medicine, agriculture, industry and science. Studies of excitation functions of charged particles induced reactions play an important role in many practical applications in medicine, industry. Also charged particle induced nuclear reactions on metals are of considerable significance for evaluating their potential use as monitor reactions for determining the energy and intensity of the bombarding beam especially in small cyclotrons, and for optimization of the beam parameters in the Thin Layer Activation technique (TLA) used for wear, corrosion or erosion measurements. Knowledge of the various excitation functions especially near the reaction thresholds helps in the optimization of the production process at small cyclotrons.

Iron is one of the most important elements in radiation field since this element is one of the main accelerator components especially in the beam lines. So studying deuteron irradiation of a natural iron target is useful for shielding designs, radioactivity estimation, and for the production of <sup>55,57</sup>Co which are important isotopes in industry, medicine and nuclear research fields.

The excitation functions were measured for nuclear reactions induced by deuterons on natural iron in the energy range from threshold energy up to 10 MeV leading to the production of  $^{52g,54}$ Mn and  $^{55,56,57,58g}$ Co radionuclides. Full excitation function curve for  $^{nat}$ Fe(d,x) $^{57}$ Co was obtained in the used energy range, and it reaches its maximum ( $\sigma \sim 270$  mb) at deuteron energy  $E_d \sim 6.3$  MeV.

The measured data were compared with previous measured data and also with the results of theoretical calculations using the default parameters of codes ALICE-IPPE, EMPIRE-3.0 & TALYS.

Theoretical calculations using ALICE-IPPE did not give any results for the production cross sections of <sup>55,56,57,58g</sup>Co radionuclides, there was disagreement with EMPIRE-3.0 calculations but with TALYS there was partial agreement in most reactions.

The coulomb barriers  $B_c$  for the iron target were calculated and the excitation curves were discussed taking in consideration the deuteron breakup and pre-equilibrium emission processes.

The integral yield of  $^{55}$ Co,  $^{56}$ Co,  $^{57}$ Co &  $^{58g}$ Co were calculated as a function of deuteron energy. The average values of integral yields were estimated in the energy range  $E_d=6$ - 10 MeV and they were 1.1 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah for  $^{55}$ Co, 0.0042 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah for  $^{56}$ Co, 0.1 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah for  $^{57}$ Co and 0.0092 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah for  $^{58g}$ Co. Also the radionuclidic impurity levels were discussed.

The integral yield of  $^{52g}$ Mn and  $^{54}$ Mn were measured. Both have nearly the same values in the used energy region, the average values of integral yields were estimated in the energy range Ed = 6- 10 MeV and for  $^{52g}$ Mn it was 0.00972 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah and for  $^{54}$ Mn it was 0.00798 MBq/ $\mu$ Ah

### Contents

Title		Page
Acknowledgement		i
Abstract		iii
Contents		v
List of Fig	ures	viii
List of Tables		X
Summary		
Summary		xi
СНАР	TER 1: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATU	U <b>RE</b>
	<u>REVIEW</u>	
1.1. Rad	ionuclides	1
1.1.1)	Radionuclide Generators	2
1.1.2)	The Production of Radionuclides by Nuclear	3
	Reactors	
1.1.3)	The Production Radionuclides by Particle	4
	Accelerators	
1.1.3.1)	The Cyclotron	5
1.2. Nucl	lear Reactions	7
1.2.1)	Types of Nuclear Reactions	9
1.2.1.1)	Reactions Based on the Reaction Mechanisms	9
1.2.1.2)	Reactions Based on the Mass of the Projectile	13
1.2.2)	Conservation Laws	13
1.3. Reaction Kinematics		15
1.4. The	Cross-Section, Excitation Functions and	16
Yield		
1.4.1)	Reaction Cross Section	17

1.4	4.2)	Production Cross Section	19
1.4	4.3)	Production Yield	22
1.5.	Inte	ractions of Charged Particles	25
1.5	5.1)	Mechanism of Interactions Induced by Charged	25
		Particles	
1.3	5.2)	Stopping Power	26
1.3	5.3)	Range of Particles	28
1.6.	Inte	ractions of Deuteron with Atomic Nucleus	29
<b>1.7.</b>	Dete	ectors and Detection Systems	31
1.	7.1)	Semiconductor Detectors	32
1.8.	Lite	rature Review	34
1.9.	Aim	and Scope	37
		<u>TECHNIQUES</u>	
		<u>TECHNIQUES</u>	
2.1.	Tar	gets and their Processing	39
2.2.	Sta	cked-Foil Technique	40
2.3.	The	e MGC20 Cyclotron	43
2.4.	The	e Irradiation Parameters	<b>49</b>
2.5.	Det	ermination of the Beam Intensity	49
<i>2.6.</i>	Bas	ic Radiation Detection System	<b>50</b>
2.0	6.1)	Hyper Pure Germanium γ-Spectrometer	<b>50</b>
2.0	6.2)	Energy Resolution	54
2.0	6.3)	The Detector Efficiency	55
2.7.	Cal	culations of Experimental Cross Section	60
	and	l Yield	
2.	7.1)		60
2.	7.2)	Isomeric Cross Sections	60
2.	7.3)	Yield Calculations	61

<u> </u>	TELL (O) THE CERTIFICATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO	11110110
3.1. AL	ICE-IPPE	63
3.2. TA	LYS	66
3.3. EM	PIRE-3.0	72
<u>C</u>	HAPTER (4): RESULTS AND DISCUS	<u>SSION</u>
4.1. Mo	nitoring of Deuteron Beam	79
4.2. Exc	itation Functions	80
4.2.1)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>52g</sup> Mn Cross Section	87
4.2.2)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>54</sup> Mn Cross Section	88
4.2.3)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>55</sup> Co Cross Section	89
4.2.4)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>56</sup> Co Cross Section	91
4.2.5)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>57</sup> Co Cross Section	92
4.2.6)	<sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>58g</sup> Co Cross Section	94
4.3. Yiel	ld Calculations	96
Conclusio	ons	99
References		101
Published Arabic Su	1 1	

CHAPTER (3): NUCLEAR MODEL CALCULATIONS

### **List of Figures**

Figure number	Caption	Page number
	T. 1	
<b>(1-1)</b>	Technetium-99m generator.	3
<b>(1-2)</b>	Operating principle of cyclotron.	6
<b>(1-3)</b>	Direct reaction mechanisms.	11
<b>(1-4)</b>	General trend of dE/dx as a function of particle energy (E).	28
(2.1)	Schematic arrangement of target and monitor foils	42
(2-1)	for irradiation with a charged particle beam.	72
<b>(2-2)</b>	MGC-20 cyclotron in Inshas, Egypt.	46
(2-3)	The cyclotron frequency range needed for specified	47
(2.4)	particles acceleration.  The extraction system and the does of the evalutran	48
(2-4)	The extraction system and the dees of the cyclotron.	
(2-5)	Diagram of the basic radiation detection system.	51
<b>(2-6)</b>	The basic components of $\gamma$ - rays detection system	51
( <b>3.5</b> )	used in measurements.	50
(2-7)	Cross section of a HPGe detector with liquid nitrogen cryostat.	52
<b>(2-8)</b>	Definition of detector resolution.	54
(2-9)	The efficiency curves of the detector for different	59
(= ))	source to detector distances and various photon energies of <sup>152</sup> Eu, <sup>133</sup> Ba.	<b>Q</b> 2
(3-1)	Nuclear models in TALYS.	68
(3-2)	Flow-chart of the EMPIRE system.	73
(3-3)	Flow-chart of the EMPIRE physics core.	<b>76</b>
(4-1)	Portion of a gamma-ray spectrum of one of the	<b>79</b>
( /	titanium foils irradiated with deuterons.	
(4-2)	Monitor reaction <sup>nat</sup> Ti(d,x) <sup>48</sup> V in comparison with IAEA recommended curve	80

(4-3 (a,	Gamma-ray spectrum of one of the iron samples	83-84
b, c, d))	irradiated with deuterons	
<b>(4-4)</b>	Experimental excitation function of <sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>52g</sup> Mn	87
, ,	reaction in comparison with other measured data as	
	well as with nuclear models' calculations	
<b>(4-5)</b>	Experimental excitation function of <sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>54</sup> Mn	89
	reaction in comparison with other measured data as	
	well as with nuclear models' calculations	
<b>(4-6)</b>	Experimental excitation function of $^{\text{nat}}\text{Fe}(d,x)^{55}\text{Co}$	90
	reaction in comparison with other measured data as	
	well as with nuclear models' calculations	
<b>(4-7)</b>	Excitation function of <sup>54</sup> Fe(d,n) <sup>55</sup> Co reaction	91
	normalized from natFe in comparison with other	
	measured data.	
<b>(4-8)</b>	Experimental excitation function of $^{nat}Fe(d,x)^{56}Co$	92
	reaction in comparison with other measured data	
	and IAEA recommended data as well as with	
(4.0)	nuclear models' calculations.	0.4
<b>(4-9)</b>	Experimental excitation function of <sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>57</sup> Co	93
	reaction in comparison with other measured data as	
(4.40)	well as with nuclear models' calculations.	0.4
<b>(4-10)</b>	Experimental excitation function of <sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>58g</sup> Co	94
	reaction in comparison with other measured data as	
(4 11)	well as with nuclear models' calculations.	05
(4-11)	Experimental excitation function of <sup>nat</sup> Fe(d,x) <sup>52g, 54</sup> Mn, <sup>55, 56, 57, 58g</sup> Co reactions.	95
(4.12)	Calculated integral yields of <sup>55,56,57,58g</sup> Co from <sup>nat</sup> Fe	06
(4-12)	target plotted as a function of deuteron energy.	96
	target protted as a function of dediction energy.	
(4-13)	Calculated integral yields of <sup>52g,54</sup> Mn from <sup>nat</sup> Fe	98
()	target plotted as a function of deuteron energy.	- <del>-</del>

### **List of Tables**

Table number	Caption	Page number
(2-1)	Most characteristic parameters of the MGC-20	44
	cyclotron.	
<b>(4-1)</b>	Sources of uncertainties and their magnitudes	<b>78</b>
(4-2)	Isotopic composition of natural iron, Q-values and thresholds for deuteron induced reactions.	81
(4-3)	Decay data for the measured produced radioisotopes.	82
(4-4)	The calculated results of coulomb barrier $B_c$ for $^{\text{nat}}$ Fe target	85
(4-5)	Cross section data for measured reaction products	86
(4-6)	Calculated integral yield of <sup>57</sup> Co	97

### Acknowledgement

Infinite thanks are to Allah, the beneficent, the merciful. Who supported me in all the steps of my life and enabled me to complete this work.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Usama Seddik**, Professor of Nuclear and Particle Physics and supervisor of the Cyclotron Project in Inshas, for his kind supervision and for his many suggestions and constant support during this study.

I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Dr**. **Magda Abd El Wahab**, for her kindness, honest guidance and for supervising the manuscript, and continuous helpful discussions leading always towards more perfection and achievement of this work.

Special, great and deep thanks are to my supervisor **Dr. Mogahed Al-Abyad** for his continious guidance, encouragement and generous assistance and trustful help through the experimentation and all steps of this work. He supported me with his scientific guidance and with his social kindness and care from my first day to me in the cyclotron project.

I would like to express my great thanks to **Prof. Dr. Samia**Abd El-Malak Professor of Nuclear Physics at Physics

Department, Women's College. Ain Shams University for her social kindness and care, guidance and continuous encouragement and advices in teaching work and research and in reviewing the manuscript.

I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Dr**. **Zeinb Yousif**, for her kindness and continuous encouragement and advices.

My great thanks to **Prof. Dr. Amira Dakrori**, head of the Physics Department, and to all staff members of the Department, Women's College. Ain Shams University for their kindness and fruitful cooperation.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my friends and colleagues especially the cyclotron operation group at the cyclotron project, AEA for their assistance and encouragement.

My special and unlimited thanks are to my family, especially my father, for their moral support and patience.

Shimaa Abu Zeid

### Chapter (1)

### INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### 1.1. RADIONUCLIDES

Radionuclides are often referred to by chemists and biologists as radioactive isotopes or radioisotopes, and they play an important part in the technologies that provide us with food, water, and good health. Radionuclides may occur naturally, but they can also be artificially produced. More than 1,500 radionuclides are known today. Some occur in nature, but most are produced artificially. However, only 10% are important and are applied in practice. The main factor that decides the importance and application of radionuclides is their half-life. The majority of the important radionuclides applicable in science, technology, and industry have a sufficiently long half-life (months, years, tens of years, and even more) allowing long-term application, especially in form of so-called closed radio-emitters. Short-lived radionuclides used in nuclear medicine can be applied in radionuclide diagnosis and therapy in the form of open radio These called radiopharmaceuticals are administered to the organism directly (in most cases intravenously or orally).

Apart from stable chemical elements, very low concentrations of radioactive elements occur naturally in the environment. We can divide these natural radionuclides into three categories according to their origin and formation: primordial

radionuclides, secondary radionuclides, and cosmogenic radionuclides. For the demands of present science and technology, industry, and health services, these few radionuclides of natural origin are far from sufficient. Therefore we must produce radionuclides artificially.

Artificial radionuclides can be produced by nuclear reactors, by particle accelerators, or by radionuclide generators [Michael and Leo, 2007].

The most common methods of producing radioisotopes are by adding or removing a proton or a neutron during the nuclear reaction. In practice, the effect of adding a thermal neutron or removing a proton can be achieved through reactions available via a reactor (e.g.  $(n,x \gamma)$  or (n,xp), where x = 1, 2,...) - giving rise to a family of radioisotopes, which are described as neutron-rich isotopes. On the other hand, the effects of adding a proton or removing a neutron, leading to the proton-rich isotopes, are usually produced with an energetic proton accelerator, such as a linac or a cyclotron (e.g. (p,xn), where x = 1, 2,...) [Daniela, 2002].

### 1.1.1) Radionuclide Generators

Generators are units that contain a radioactive "parent" nuclide with a relatively long half-life that decays to a short-lived "daughter" nuclide. The most commonly used generator is the technetium-99m (<sup>99m</sup>Tc) generator (Figure 1-1), which consists of a heavily shielded column with molybdenum-99 (<sup>99</sup>Mo; parent) bound to the alumina of the column. The <sup>99m</sup>Tc (daughter) is "milked" (eluted) by drawing sterile saline through the column into the vacuum vial. The parent <sup>99</sup>Mo (small grey circles) remains on the column, but the daughter <sup>99m</sup>Tc (white circles) is washed away in the saline [Thormod and David, 2005].