

# Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Structural Engineering Department

# STRENGTH OF CONCRETE FILLED HOLLOW STEEL SECTION CONNECTIONS

A Thesis Submitted for Fulfillment of the Degree of Master of Science In Structural Engineering

Prepared by:

#### Eng. Mohamed Adel Mohamed ELHabbal

Bsc. Civil Engineering (Structures)
Y. Y- Ain Shams University

Supervised by:

#### Dr. Hassan Ahmed Osman

Professor of Steel Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Emam Abd-ElMoteleb Soliman

Professor of Steel Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Ayman Hussein Khalil

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo, Y...9

# **EXAMINER COMMITE**

Name, Title & Affiliation	
Signature	
۱- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Daboan	<b></b>
Professor of steel structures	
Faculty of Engineering – Tanta University	
۲- Prof. Dr. Adel Helmi Salem	
Professor of steel structures	
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams Univer	rsity
۳- Prof. Dr. Hassan A. Osman	
Professor of steel structures	
Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams Univer	rsity

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

When I started master studies, I thought that master studies were the results of a single person's effort. Now I realized that a master thesis is the result of a group of people working together sharing ideas, providing experience and knowledge.

I would like to thank my supervisors Prof.Dr. Hassan Osman, Prof.Dr. Emam Soliman and Prof.Dr. Ayman Hussein. They are excellent examples of university professors who really care for their students. I have certainly learned a lot, academically, professionally and most important personally. Their encouraging remarks and positive attitude have always given me confidence and enthusiasm to continue. So thank you deeply for your efforts.

I really would like to show my gratitude and sincere feelings to my parents who were and always are the reason of my success during my life. They are always beside me, encouraging me and exert much effort to support me. Thanks to my wife who encourages me in the final stages of my research.

I also would like to thank all the professors and staff in Structural engineering Department - Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University each one of them has contributed -in one way or another- in building my knowledge and background.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This research is concerned with the failure behavior of Hollow Steel Section Connections (HSS) and Grouted HSS connections by comparing the ultimate capacity of hollow and grouted joints for different types of connections (T-joints and KK-Joints) and different cases of loading.

In this study, Experimental Investigation on Hollow and Grouted T-joints subjected to pure vertical load is performed to investigate the ultimate capacity of each case and compare the output of the two cases, and then non-linear finite element verification is applied afterwards using ANSYS program.

Hollow and grouted T-joints subjected to vertical and horizontal loading are investigated using ANSYS program, different ratios between Vertical and Horizontal loads to study the failure modes and compare between the ultimate capacities of the hollow and grouted joints.

Afterwards, a parametric study is performed on a true scale Typical KK-joint utilized in offshore platforms, in order to investigate the behavior of hollow and grouted KK-joints and study the grout effect on the geometry parameters that usually governs the design of HSS joints.

Considering grout of joints as a modern technique of strengthening HSS joints, Comparing grouting with conventional methods of strengthening was necessary to relate this research to practical applications in the industry, so a comparison is set between grouting technique and internally ring stiffened joints and canned joints.

#### Key words:

Hollow Steel Sections, HSS, Grouted, Offshore Platforms, T-joints, KK-joints.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

١,٠	Introduction
	\ General\
	Yes Objective and Scope
	۱٫۳ Structure of the Thesis ۳
۲,٠	Literature Review
	Y, Y General
	Y,Y Design Concept of HSS to HSS Joints
	۲, ۲, 1 Joint Design for Welded Tubular Steel Structures
	۲, ۲, ۲ Design Requirements and Procedures Based on AISC code of
	practice [Ref. <sup>7</sup> ]
	r, r, r Design of HSS Joints according to ISO specifications [Ref. ο] rr
	r, r, ε Design of HSS Joints according to API RP - γA (WSD) [Ref. ] r.
	r, r, o Design of HSS Joints according to Canadian (CAN)
	Specifications [Ref. 7]
	۲٫۳ Usage of Hollow Steel Sections in Steel Structures ٤٢
	r,r,1 General £1
	۲, ۳, ۲ Offshore Structures Application:
	۲.٤ Strengthening of HSS Connections ٤٦
	$\gamma, \varepsilon, \gamma$ Strengthening for offshore steel platforms $\varepsilon$
	γ, ε, γ Traditional Strengthen Methods for HSS Joints:
	Y, o Concrete-Filled HSS as Structural Members
	7,0,1 Composite structures
	r,o,r Composite columns
	۲٫٥٫۴ Behavior of Concrete, Steel and their Interaction
	Υ, ο, ε Concept of Behavior Of HSS Filled With Concrete:
	۲٫٦ Pilot Investigation [Ref.٨] ٩٦
	7.7.1 Specification of Test Parameters

	7,7,7 Experimental Procedures
	۲,7,7 Commentary on Pilot Investigation
	Υ, V Summary
٣,٠	Strength of Grouted HSS T-Joint
	T, I General
	T-Joints Subjected to Normal force in the brace member
	r, r, r Experimental Study
	r, r, r Experimental Results
	", ", " Strength enhancement after grouting
	r, r, ε Finite Element Analyses
	", r, o Finite Element Results
	r, γ, γ Comparison between Experimental and FEA Results
	۳, ۳ T-Joints Subjected to HZ and VL Loading۱٤٦
	",", 1 Introduction to ANSYS 1.,
	","," HSS T-joint subjected to HZ and VL Loading
	", ", " Grouted T-joint subjected to HZ & VL Loading 107
	r, r, ε Analytical Investigation Results
	۳٫٤ Summary١٦٣
٤,٠	Strength of Grouted Multi-Planar KK-Joints
	٤,١ Introduction ١٦٤
	۶٫۲ Joints description۱٦٦
	£, Y, 1 Investigated parameters
	£, Y, Y Geometry
	۶٫۳ Modeling Verification using ANSYS۱٦٨
	E, F, 1 Considerations during Verification Process
	$\xi$ , $\Gamma$ , $\Gamma$ ANSYS modeling and results
	$\xi, r, r$ Comparison between COSMOS and ANSYS results
	Traditional Stiffening methods for HSS KK-Joints۱۷۶

	۶,0 Strength of Grouted KK-Joints ۱۷0
	ξ,ο, ) General
	$\xi, \varphi, \Upsilon$ ANSYS Analyses for Grouted Multi-Planar KK-joints
	£,7 Summary
٥,٠	Summary and Results Assessment
	o, I HSS / Grouted T-joints subjected to Pure Normal Force in
	Brace Member
	e, 1, 1 Experimental Analyses Results
	و, ۱, ۲ Finite Element Analyses Results
	o, Y HSS / Grouted T-joints subjected to VL & HZ Loading YAA
	۰٫۳ HSS / Grouted Multi-Planar KK Joints ۱۹۰
٦,٠	Conclusions
REF	FRENCES 197

## **List of Tables**

Table Y-1 Coefficient Qu for various joint design classification YV
Table ۲-۲ — Values for Qq (') [Ref.']
Table Y-W —Values for Qu (') [Ref. '] "Y
Table ۲-٤ Specimen parameters
Table ۳-۱ T-joints sizes and dimensions
Table ۳-۲ Steel Properties
Table ۳-۳ Experimental Investigation Results of Hollow and Grouted T-
joints
Table ۳-٤ Experimental and Finite analyses comparison for T-joints ١٤٢
Table ۲-۰ Loading Cases
Table ٣-٦ ANSYS Output for T- ٦in-MN-H for different loading cases ١٥٥
Table ٣-٧ Loading cases for (T- ٦in-MN-G)
Table ۲-۸ ANSYS Output for T- ٦in-MN-G for different loading cases ١٦٢
Table ۳-۹ Comparison between Hollow and Grouted ٦-inch T-joints
subjected to VL and HZ loading
Table ٤-١ KK-Joints Geometry
Table ٤-٢ Comparison between COSMOS [Ref. ١٦] and ANSYS results . ١٧٤
Table ٤-٣ Failure loads for Investigated Specimens
Table ٤-٤ Failure load for Grouted KK-Joints
Table ٤-٥ Comparison between Hollow and Grouted KK-joints
Table o-1 Experimental results assessment
Table o-Y Finite element analyses results assessment for T-joints (N-only)
147
Table o-r Experimental and Finite element analyses comparison for T-joints
(N-only)
Table o-£ Toughness Calculation Investigated Joints
Table o-o Comparison between Hollow and Grouted T-joints results (M/N)
Table o-1 Yield Capacity of brace members subject to M/N

Table ∘-Y Comparison between Hollow and Grouted KK-	ioints results ۱۹۱
Table o-A Comparison between failure load of Grouted a	nd Single Stiffened
KK-joints	197
Table º-٩ Comparison between failure load of Grouted a	nd Double
Stiffened KK-joints	197
Table o-1. Comparison between failure load of Grouted	and CAN Stiffened
KK-joints	۱۹۳

## **List of Figures**

Figure 7-1 Joint classification [Ref.1]
Figure ۲-۲ Chord wall plastification failure mode
Figure ۲-۳ Punching shear rupture failure mode
Figure ۲-٤ Chord Shear Yielding
Figure ۲-° Local buckling failure mode
Figure ۲-٦ Weld ruptures failure mode
Figure ۲-۷ Joint Geometry Parameters [Ref.1]
Figure ۲-۸ Welding Connections Details for HSS [Ref.1]
Figure ۲-۹ Detail of Simple Joint
Figure ۲-۱ · Millennium tower Vienna 57
Figure ۲-۱۱ Truss Bridge using HSS
Figure ۲-۱۲ Offshore Platforms (Topside-Jacket) 50
Figure ۲-۱۳ Extra Required Equipments on Platforms ٤٧
Figure ۲-۱٤ Existing Platform in Nigeria
Figure ۲-۱0 Severe Environmental Loading Conditions 59
Figure ۲-۱٦ Rig approaches a Platform in the North Sea ٤٩
Figure ۲-۱۷ Existing platform exposed to fire accident
Figure ۲-۱۸ Damaged Platform due to Ship Collision
Figure ۲-۱۹ Pass through Guest plate
Figure ۲-۲・External Ring Stiffeners
Figure ۲-۲۱ Internally Ring Stiffener
Figure ۲-۲۲ Internal Ring Stiffened Connection
Figure ۲-۲۳ Test Rig [Ref. ۱・]
Figure ۲-۲٤ Typical Welded Tubular Joints [Ref. ۱・]
Figure ۲-۲° Stiffened Connections after Loading [Ref. ۱・]
Figure ۲-۲٦ Comparison of Deformations of Joints at Midspan Deflections
of Stiffened and Unstiffened Joints [Ref. )
Figure ۲-۲۷ Grouted Platform
Figure Y-YA Canability of Jacket members grouting

Figure ۲-۲۹ various types of composite columns [Ref. ۱۱]
Figure $^{\gamma-\gamma}$ · Stress-strain relations for concrete of different strength classes
[Ref.'']
Figure ۲-۳1 Schematic stress-strain relation for a concrete cylinder in
uniaxial compression [Ref.\\]
Figure ۲-۳۲ Mechanism of failure [Ref. ۱۱]
Figure ۲-۳۳ Crack Initiation [Ref. ۱۱]
Figure ۲-۳٤ Schematic stress-strain relations for a concrete cylinder in
triaxial compression [Ref.\\]
Figure ۲-۳° Force transfer between aggregates in concrete, Bridging forces
balanced by
Figure ۲-۳٦ Mohr-Coulomb Failure criterion
Figure ۲-۳۷ Effect of confinement on shear failure plane angle [Ref. ۱۱] ۷۷
Figure ۲-۳۸ Post Peak Behavior [Ref. ۱۱]
Figure ۲-۳۹ Definitions of material properties of the steel [Ref. ۱۱] ^٤
Figure ۲-٤٠ von Misses initial yield curve under principal stress and plane
stress conditions [Ref.\\]
Figure ۲-٤١ Idealized shear transfer mechanisms in the steel-concrete
interface [Ref.\\]
Figure ۲-٤٢ Stress condition in the steel tube and the concrete core [Ref. \\]
٩٤
Figure ۲-٤٣ Specimen Preparation [Ref.^]
Figure ۲-٤٤ Weld Repair [Ref.^]
Figure ۲-٤0 Introducing Grout to Connection [Ref.^]
Figure ۲-٤٦ Experimental Test Rig for Grouted Joint [Ref.^]
Figure ۲-٤٧ Strain Gauges Layout [Ref.^]
Figure ۳-۱ HSS T- joints before testing
Figure ۳-۲ Specimens Welding Details [Ref.٦]١١٢
Figure *-* Grouted Specimen using CEOTREX grout
Figure ۳-٤ Test Rig Preparation
Figure ۳-° Strain Gauges Configuration for ٤-inchch HSS-Joints

Figure ٣-٦ Strain Gauges Configuration for ٦-inchch HSS-Joints ١١٥
Figure $^{\tau-v}$ Strain Gauges Configuration for $^{\xi}$ -inchch Grouted-Joints $^{v}$
Figure ٣-٨ Strain Gauges Configuration for ٦-inchch Grouted-Joints ۱۱۷
Figure ۳-۹ Load Vs Displacement (T- ٤in-N-H)
Figure ٣-١٠ Chord Shear Failure (T- ٤in-N-H)
Figure ٣-١١ Load Vs Displacement (T- ٤in-N-G)
Figure ۲-۱۲ Yielding of Brace Member (T- ٤in-N-G)
Figure ٣-١٣ Load Vs Displacement (T- ٦in-N-H)
Figure ٣-١٤ Chord Shear Failure (T- ٦in-N-H)
Figure ٣-١° Load Vs Displacement (T- ٦in-N-G)
Figure ٣-١٦ Yielding of Brace Member (T- ٦in-N-G)
Figure ۲-۱۷ Load-Displacement Curves for T-٤in-N-H/G ۱۲٤
Figure ٣-١٨ Load-Displacement Curves for T-٦in-N-H/G ١٢٤
Figure ٣-١٩ Stress Strain Curve for Steel Material [Ref.١٥]
Figure ۲-۲ • Stress Strain Curve for Grout Material [Ref. ۱ o] ۱۲۸
Figure ۲-۲۱ HSS T-joints subjected to pure Normal (ANSYS model) [Ref. ۱0]
inguise in joining subjected to pairs included (in the company) times of
14.
17.
Figure ۳-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS
Figure ۳-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. ۱۰]
Figure ٣-٢٢ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. ١٠]
Figure ٣-٢٢ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. ١٠]
Figure ۳-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. ۱°]
Figure "-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref.1°]
Figure "-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref.¹º]
Figure "-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref.¹º]
Figure ۲-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. 1°]
Figure "-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. \operation]
Figure "-۲۲ Grouted T-joints subjected to axial compression (ANSYS model) [Ref. 1°]

Figure ٣-٣٥ (T- ٦in-N-G) End Conditions [Ref.١٥]
Figure ٣-٣٦ (T- ٦in-N-G) Stress Distribution [Ref. ١٥]
Figure ٣-٣٧ Load Vs Displacement (T- ٦in-N-G) [Ref.١٥] ١٤١
Figure ٣-٣٨ Deformed Shape (T- ٦in-N-G) [Ref. ١٥]١٤٢
Figure ۳-۳۹ Comparison of Load Vs Displacement curves for investigated
٤in T-joints ١٤٤
Figure ۲-٤ · Comparison of Load Vs Displacement curves for investigated
٦in T-joints ١٤٤
Figure ٣-٤١ Specimens Layout
Figure ٣-٤٢ Loading and end conditions
Figure $^{r-\xi r}$ Lines of Symmetry and end conditions using ANSYS $^{1\cdot,\cdot}$ $^{1\circ r}$
Figure ٣-٤٤ Loading on T-joint
Figure ٣-٤° Typical Stress Distribution for (T- ٦in-MN-H)
Figure ٣-٤٦ Typical Deformed Shape of (T- ٦in-MN-H)
Figure ٣-٤٧ Modeling of (T- ٦in-MN-G) using ANSYS ۱۰,۰
Figure ٣-٤٨ Modeling of (T- ٦in-MN-G) using ANSYS ۱۰,۰
Figure ٣-٤٩ End Restraints for (T- ٦in-MN-G)
Figure ٣-٥٠ loading condition for (T- ٦in-MN-G)
Figure ٣-٥١ Deformed shape for (T- ٦in-MN-G-٠)
Figure ٣-٥٢ Stress Distribution for (T- ٦in-MN-G-٠)
Figure ٣-٥٢ Typical Deformed shape for (T- ٦in-MN-G)
Figure ٣-٥٤ Typical Stress Distribution for (T- ٦in-MN-G)
Figure ٤-١ Typical Offshore Platform and KK-joint
Figure ٤-٢ CAN detail
Figure ٤-٣ Single Internal Stiffener
Figure ٤-٤ Double Internal Ring Stiffener
Figure ٤-٥ Stress-Strain curve for steel material in ANSYS ۱٠,٠
Figure ٤-٦ End Condition Description for KK-joints
Figure ٤-٧ Loading on Multi-Planar KK-joints (isometric)
Figure ٤-٨ Loading on Multi-Planar KK-joints (elevation)
Figure ٤-٩ Deformed shape for Hollow KK-joints

Figure ٤-١٠ Stress Distribution for Hollow KK-joint
Figure ٤-١١ Grouted chord of KK-joint modeling
Figure ٤-١٢ Applied restraints and loading on Grouted KK-joints (isometric)
Figure ٤-١٣ Applied restraints and loading on Grouted KK-joints (side view)
Figure ٤-١٤ Typical Stress Distribution for Grouted KK-Joint ١٧٩
Figure ٤-١٥ Typical Deformed shape for Grouted KK-Joint
Figure o-1 Comparison between Experimental & FEA results (Hollow ticnh
T-joints)
Figure o-Y Comparison between Experimental & FEA results (Grouted
icnh T-joints)۱۸۰
Figure o-T Comparison between Experimental & FEA results (Hollow licnh
T-joints)
Figure °-½ Comparison between Experimental & FEA results (Grouted
licnh T-joints)
Figure o-o Load Vs Displacement for ٤-inch Hollow and Grouted joints ۱۸۷
Figure o-7 Load Vs Displacement for 7-inch Hollow and Grouted joints ۱۸۷

## **Notations**

d	Outside diameter of brace
D	Outside diameter of chord at brace intersection
е	Joint eccentricity
F <sub>y</sub>	Characteristic yield stress of chord member
g	Gap between braces for K and YT joints
Ka	Relative length factor
М	Moment load
$M_{ci},M_{co}$	Permissible in-plane and out-of-plane moment strengths
$M_{di},M_{do}$	Design acting in-plane and out-of-plane moment loads
$M_i,\ M_o$	In-plane and out-of-plane moments
$M_{ki},M_{ko}$	Characteristic in-plane and out-of-plane moment strengths
P, P <sub>I</sub> , P <sub>7</sub> , P <sub>7</sub>	Axial load
P <sub>c</sub>	Permissible axial strength
$P_d$	Design acting axial load
$P_k$	Characteristic axial strength
$Q_{f}$	Chord load factor
$Q_g$	Coefficient for K joints