



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Engineering

Department of Structural Engineering

BEHAVIOUR AND STRENGTH OF DIAPHRAGMS IN STEEL STRUCTURES

By

MOSTAFA YOSSEF ABDULLATIF YOSSEF

**A thesis submitted to Ain Shams University in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of**

Master of Science

In

Civil Engineering

(Structural Engineering)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Adel Salem

**Department of Structural Engineering
Ain Shams University**

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdel-Rahim Badawy

**Department of Structural Engineering
Ain Shams University**

Prof. Dr. Abdel Monem Sanad

**Department of Construction and Building
Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport**

2013



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

Abstract of the M.Sc. Thesis submitted by:

Mostafa Yossef Abdullatif Yossef

Title of Thesis:

Behavior and Strength of Diaphragms in Steel Structures

Supervisors: **Prof. Dr. Adel Salem**

Prof. Dr. Abdel Monem Sanad

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdel-Rahim Badawy

Registration date: 19/ 12/ 2010

Examination date: 9/7/2013

Abstract

In steel construction, it has been a common practice to provide a separate bracing system to resist horizontal loads due to wind loads, or earthquakes. However, roof panels are capable of resisting horizontal loads in addition to the strength of gravity loads if they are adequately interconnected to each other and to the supporting frame. The effective use of steel floor and roof panels can therefore eliminate separate bracing systems and result in a reduction of building costs. For the same reason, wall panels can provide not only enclosure surfaces, but they can also provide diaphragm action in their own planes. This research aims to define the structural contribution of single skin sheets with frames to resist lateral loads. Finite Element models (FEM) have been developed through different stages. For a specific arrangement of framed system, different forms of steel sheets are analyzed and the behavior under lateral load is examined. In each case, the efficiency of the steel sheet is quantified by comparing the horizontal displacement of the loading point

with the reference basic case of truss system. An exact model is created to predict the effect of the corrugated sheets with the contribution of purlins and columns. Full scale experimental models are performed to investigate the effectiveness of steel sheets in resisting lateral loads. The FEM models are validated by experimental results. The developed diaphragm action of sheets is compared against the existing formulas in the international codes. Parametric studies are carried out to identify the effect of sheet thickness and number of fasteners on the global stability of the structural system. Finally, this research project can lead to recommendations for the national code in order to make use of corrugated sheets in resisting lateral loads.

Keywords: Steel structures, single skin corrugated sheets, diaphragm action, finite element modeling, experimental program, membrane forces, lateral loads, sway of structures.

APPROVAL SHEET

Name of Author: Mostafa Yossef Abdullatif Yossef

Title of Thesis: “Behavior and Strength of Diaphragms in Steel Structures”

Degree: Master of Science in Civil Engineering
(Structural Engineering)

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name, Title & Affiliation:

(Signature)

Prof. Mohamed Ahmed Dabaon

Tanta University Vice President and Professor
of Steel Bridges

Tanta University

Prof. Ahmed Abdelsalam El-Serwi

Professor of steel structures
Structural Engineering Department,
Ain Shams University

Prof. Adel Helmy Salem

Professor of steel structures
Structural Engineering Department,
Ain Shams University

Prof. Abdel Monem Sanad

Head of Construction & Building Engineering
Department,

Arab Academy for Science and Technology

Date: Tuesday 9/7/2013

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of M. Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of structural Engineering, Ain Shams University from 2009 to 2013.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or for qualification at any other University or Institution.

Name : Mostafa Yossef Abdullatif Yossef

Signature :

Date : 9/ 7/ 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present work was conducted out at the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

It was completed under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Adel Salem, Ass. Prof. Dr. Abdel-Rahim Badawy, and Ass. Prof. Dr. Abdel Menam Sanad, whom the author has the pleasure of working under their supervision. The author expresses his sincere appreciation for their help, generous advice and guidance throughout the period of this research.

The author would like to thank his supervisors who have been very instrumental in enriching his thesis. Appreciably, he thanks them so much for accepting him to be their student and for providing him with the guiding hand of Great Spirit in carrying out this research.

The author would like to thank Zamil Steel Egypt and all its staff especially Eng. Khalid Saad and Eng. Ashraf Younis for their great contribution in his thesis, and sponsoring the experimental work through supplying the materials, manufacturing, and transporting the model.

He would also like to thank the Material laboratory staff in the Arab Academy for their valuable helps during the testing period, and supporting him with valuable experimental tools. A debt of gratitude is to all people who in one way or another contributed idea directly or indirectly. The author gratefully thank all of them collectively, and Eng. Hassan Ahmed in person.

Last, he would like to express his deep feelings towards each member of his family to whom he owes every success in his life. His cordial thanks spread out to his mother and father for their love, support and guidance throughout his life and for inculcating in him the passion for knowledge.

Mostafa Yossef
July, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|--------------|
| AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY | II |
| FACULTY OF ENGINEERING | II |
| ABSTRACT | II |
| APPROVAL SHEET | VI |
| STATEMENT | VII |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | VIII |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | IX |
| LIST OF FIGURES | XIV |
| NOTATIONS AND SYMBOLS | XXIII |
| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 General | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives of this Research | 3 |
| 1.3 Organization of the Present Work | 4 |
| CHAPTER 2 | 5 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 General | 5 |

Behavior and Strength of Diaphragm in Steel Structures

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2.2 Principles of Diaphragm Action | 5 |
| 2.3 Suitable Cladding for Shear Diaphragms | 6 |
| 2.4 Suitable Connections for Shear Diaphragms | 7 |
| 2.5 Previous Work | 7 |
| 2.6 Basic Shear Panel | 9 |
| 2.7 Components of Individual Panel | 9 |
| 2.8 Type of Diaphragms | 10 |
| 2.9 Determination of Flexibility and Strength of the Individual Shear Panel | 10 |
| 2.10 Fastener Characteristics | 10 |
| 2.11 Expression for Diaphragm Strength Using Simple Equilibrium | 11 |
| 2.11.1 Expression for Seam Failure | 12 |
| 2.11.2 Expression for Shear Connector Fasteners Failure | 13 |
| 2.11.3 Expression for Failure in the Sheet to Perpendicular Member Fasteners in the Direction Parallel to the Span of the Sheeting | 13 |
| 2.11.4 Expression for Failure in Purlin – Rafter Connection | 14 |
| 2.11.5 Failure due to Overall Shear Buckling | 14 |
| 2.11.6 Expression for Failure in the Sheet to Perpendicular Member Fastener in the Direction Perpendicular to the Span of the Sheeting | 15 |
| 2.11.7 Failure of the Edge Member in Compression or Combined Compression and Bending | 16 |
| 2.12 Expression for Diaphragm Flexibility using Simple Equilibrium | 17 |
| 2.12.1 Flexibility due to Profile Distortion of Sheeting | 17 |
| 2.12.2 Flexibility due to Shear Strain in Sheet | 18 |
| 2.12.3 Flexibility due to Movement of Sheet to Perpendicular Member Fasteners | 18 |
| 2.12.4 Flexibility due to Slip in Sheet to Sheet Fasteners (Seam Fasteners) | 19 |
| 2.12.5 Flexibility due to Slip in Sheet to Parallel Member Fasteners | 20 |
| 2.12.6 Flexibility due to Movement of Purlin to Rafter Connection | 20 |
| 2.12.7 Flexibility due to Axial Strain in Edge Member | 21 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| CHAPTER 3 | 36 |
| APPROACHES FOR FRAME STABILITY | 36 |
| 3.1 General | 36 |
| 3.2 Basic Structural System | 36 |
| 3.3 Truss Solution | 37 |
| 3.4 Frame Solution | 37 |
| 3.5 Diaphragm Solutions | 38 |
| 3.5.1 Steel plate | 38 |
| 3.5.2 Corrugated Steel Sheet | 41 |
| 3.5.2.1 Linear Elastic Model | 41 |
| 3.5.2.2 Plastic Non-linear Model | 44 |
| CHAPTER 4 | 63 |
| EXPERIMENTAL WORK | 63 |
| 4.1 General | 63 |
| 4.2 Experimental Setup | 63 |
| 4.3 Experimental Tests | 65 |
| 4.3.1 Simple Panel Tests | 65 |
| 4.3.1.1 Fasteners Arrangement | 66 |
| 4.3.1.2 Sheet Thickness | 68 |
| 4.3.1.3 Purlin Cross Section | 68 |
| 4.3.2 Continuous Panel Tests | 70 |
| 4.3.2.1 Fasteners Arrangement | 71 |
| 4.3.2.2 Sheet Thickness | 72 |
| 4.3.2.3 Purlin Cross Section | 72 |
| 4.3.3 Sandwich Panel | 73 |
| 4.4 Conclusion | 74 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 5 | 106 |
| PARAMETRIC STUDY AND FINITE ELEMENT MODELING | 106 |
| 5.1 General | 106 |
| 5.2 Finite Element Modeling | 106 |
| 5.2.1 Geometry | 107 |
| 5.2.2 Elements | 109 |
| 5.2.3 Material | 109 |
| 5.2.4 Model Process | 109 |
| 5.2.5 Boundary Conditions | 110 |
| 5.2.6 Loads | 111 |
| 5.3 Validation of FE Model: | 111 |
| 5.4 Calculation of Flexibilities | 112 |
| 5.4.1 Calculations of Flexibilities According to Davies Factors | 112 |
| 5.4.2 Calculations of Flexibilities According to Modified Factors | 116 |
| 5.5 Simple Panel Results | 117 |
| 5.5.1 Fasteners Arrangement | 117 |
| 5.5.2 Sheet Thickness | 119 |
| 5.5.3 Purlin Cross Section | 119 |
| 5.6 Continuous Panel Tests | 121 |
| 5.6.1 Fasteners Arrangement Effect | 121 |
| 5.6.2 Sheet Thickness Effect | 122 |
| 5.6.3 Purlin Cross Section | 122 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 6 | 143 |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 143 |
| 6.1 Summary | 143 |
| 6.2 Conclusions | 144 |
| 6.2.1 Frame Stability Approaches | 144 |
| 6.2.2 Experimental Work | 146 |
| 6.2.3 Finite Element Validation | 147 |
| 6.3 Analytical Results | 148 |
| 6.4 Recommendations | 148 |
| 6.5 Recommended Future Studies | 149 |
| REFERENCES | 150 |
| APPENDIX A | 155 |
| PUBLISHED PAPER IN INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF DESIGN, FABRICATION AND ECONOMY OF METAL STRUCTURES | 155 |
| APPENDIX B | 162 |
| ABAQUS INPUT FILES | 162 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure (2.1) Stressed Skin Action in Pitched Roof Building (Davies ⁴⁸) | 28 |
| Figure (2.2) Stressed Skin action in Flat Roof Building (Davies ⁴⁸) | 28 |
| Figure (2.3) Sheeting Bolted Connection (SDI ⁵⁵) | 29 |
| Figure (2.4) Decking Bolted Connection (SDI ⁵⁵) | 29 |
| Figure (2.5) Bolted Diaphragm Connected Each Trough (SDI ⁵⁵) | 29 |
| Figure (2.6) Bolted Diaphragm Connected at Alternate Troughs (SDI ⁵⁵) | 29 |
| Figure (2.7) Components of Individual Panels (Davies ⁴⁸) | 30 |
| Figure (2.8) Sheet Connected to Four Sides (ElSerwi ⁵⁰) | 30 |
| Figure (2.9) Sheet Connected to Two Sides Only Through Purlins (ElSerwi ⁵⁰) | 31 |
| Figure (2.10) Load Deflection Curve of Individual Panel (Davies ⁴⁸) | 31 |
| Figure (2.11) Failure in the Sheet to Perpendicular Member Fasteners Near the Rafters in the Direction Parallel to the Span of the Sheeting | 32 |
| Figure (2.12) Failure in the Sheet to Perpendicular Member (Purlin) Fastener in the Direction Perpendicular to the Span of the Sheeting | 32 |
| Figure (2.13) Typical Seam Failure with Failure in Perpendicular Fastener (Davies ⁴⁸) | 33 |
| Figure (2.14) Typical Shear Connector Fastener Failure (Davies ⁴⁸) | 33 |
| Figure (2.15) Shear Buckling of the Corrugated Sheet | 34 |
| Figure (2.16) Profile Distortion through One Trough and Two Troughs (Davies ⁴⁸) | 34 |
| Figure (2.17) Typical Profile Distortion During Testing | 34 |
| Figure (2.18) Distortion of Corrugation in Plan (Davies ⁴⁸) | 35 |
| Figure (3.1) Basic System; Columns and Edge Beam with Flexible Connection | 46 |
| Figure (3.2) Model Setup with Diagonal Members and Hinged Connections | 46 |
| Figure (3.3) Forces and Reaction of the Truss System | 47 |
| Figure (3.4) Closed Frame with Shown Displacement | 47 |
| Figure (3.5) Normal Force Diagram in Frame | 48 |
| Figure (3.6) Bending Moment Diagram in Frame | 48 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure (3.7) Depth of Lipped Channel vs. Displacement of Closed Frame | 49 |
| Figure (3.8) Inertia of the Column vs. Displacement | 49 |
| Figure (3.9) Un-Deformed Diaphragm Plate with Surrounded Beams | 50 |
| Figure (3.10) Ultimate Stress Tresca Criteria | 50 |
| Figure (3.11) Principal Maximum Stress (tension) | 51 |
| Figure (3.12) Principal Minimum Stress (compression) | 51 |
| Figure (3.13) Effect of Plate Thickness on Horizontal Displacement | 52 |
| Figure (3.14) Plate Average Stress vs. Thickness on Tresca Stress | 52 |
| Figure (3.15) Displacement vs. Number of Fasteners per Panel | 53 |
| Figure (3.16) Avg. Tresca Stress vs. Number of Fasteners per Panel | 53 |
| Figure (3.17) Corrugated sheet Profile “B” | 54 |
| Figure (3.18) Un-Deformed Corrugated Sheet Diaphragm with Surrounded Beams | 54 |
| Figure (3.19) Deformed Shape of Panel Provided with 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and Two fasteners with Stress S11 Criteria | 55 |
| Figure (3.20) Deformed Shape of Panel Provided with 0.1 mm Sheet Thick and Six Fasteners with Stress S11 Criteria | 55 |
| Figure (3.21) Deformed Shape of panel provided with 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and Six Fasteners with Stress S11 Criteria | 56 |
| Figure (3.22) Deformed Shape of 1 mm Sheet Thick and Six Fasteners with Stress S11 Criteria | 56 |
| Figure (3.23) Displacement vs. Sheet Thickness | 57 |
| Figure (3.24) Sheet Average Tresca Stress vs. Thickness | 57 |
| Figure (3.25) Two Fasteners Arrangement | 58 |
| Figure (3.26) Three Fasteners Arrangement | 58 |
| Figure (3.27) Six Fasteners Arrangement | 58 |
| Figure (3.28) Nine Fasteners Arrangement | 58 |
| Figure (3.29) Deformed Shape of Panel Provided with 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and Two Fasteners with Tresca Criteria | 59 |
| Figure (3.30) Deformed Shape of Panel Provided with 0.5 mm and Three Fasteners with Tresca Criteria | 59 |
| Figure (3.31) Deformed Shape of Panel Provided with 0.5 mm and Six Fasteners with Tresca Criteria | 60 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure (3.32) Deformed shape of thickness 0.5 mm and nine fasteners with Tresca criteria | 60 |
| Figure (3.33) Displacement vs. Number of Fasteners | 61 |
| Figure (3.34) Stress vs. Number of Fasteners | 61 |
| Figure (3.35) Load Displacement with Different Thicknesses and two Fasteners | 62 |
| Figure (3.36) Load Displacement of Panel Provided with 0.5 Sheet Thick and Different Fasteners | 62 |
| | 78 |
| Figure (4.1) Schematic Diagram for Experimental Work Program | 78 |
| Figure (4.2) Schematic Isometric of the Test Setup | 79 |
| Figure (4.3) Test Setup | 79 |
| Figure (4.4) Wheels Supporting the Beams | 80 |
| Figure (4.5) Hydraulic Jack and Load Cell | 80 |
| Figure (4.6) LVDTs Positioning | 81 |
| Figure (4.7) Data Acquisition Connected to the Computer | 81 |
| Figure (4.8) Corrugated Sheet Profile “S” | 81 |
| Figure (4.9) Panel with Two Fasteners | 82 |
| Figure (4.10) Panel with Three Fasteners | 82 |
| Figure (4.11) Panel with Five Fasteners | 83 |
| Figure (4.12) Warping and Flatting of the Sheet | 83 |
| Figure (4.13) Twisting in the Two Beams | 84 |
| Figure (4.14) Angle Welded to the Outer Frame | 84 |
| Figure (4.15) Lubricant between the Angle and the Beam | 85 |
| Figure (4.16) Deflected Shape of Panel with Two Fasteners | 85 |
| Figure (4.17) Deflected Shape of Panel with Three Fasteners | 86 |
| Figure (4.18) Deflected Shape of Panel with Five Fasteners | 86 |
| Figure (4.19) Tearing of the Sheet Around Fastener | 87 |
| Figure (4.20) Failure in Sheet | 87 |
| Figure (4.21) Load Displacement Curve of Simple Panel Tests Provided with Different Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick & C120 Purlins | 88 |
| Figure (4.22) Load Displacement Curves of Simple Panel Tests Provided with 3 Fasteners, Different Thicknesses and C120 Purlins | 88 |
| Figure (4.23) Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 3 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and C120 Purlin Cross Section | 89 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure (4.24) Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 3 Fasteners, 0.6mm Sheet Thick, and C120 Purlin Cross Section | 89 |
| Figure (4.25) High Twisting Subjected C120 purlins and no failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 3 Fasteners, 0.7mm Sheet Thick | 90 |
| Figure (4.26) Twisting of the C120 Purlin from the Other Side in Simple Panel Test Provided with 3 Fasteners, 0.7mm Sheet Thick | 90 |
| Figure (4.27) Load Displacement Curves of Simple Panel Tests Provided with Different Fasteners, 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and C200 Purlins | 91 |
| Figure (4.28) Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 2 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and C200 Purlins | 91 |
| Figure (4.29) Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 5 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and High Torque Subjected to C200 Purlins | 92 |
| Figure (4.30) Load Displacement Curves of Simple Panel Tests Provided with Different Fasteners, 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and Z200 Purlins | 92 |
| Figure (4.31) Local Deformation of Sheet at Fastener in Simple Panel Test Provided with 2 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and Z200 Purlins | 93 |
| Figure (4.32) Max Displacement without Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 2 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and Z200 Purlins | 93 |
| Figure (4.33) No Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 5 Fasteners, 0.5mm Sheet Thick, and Sever Twisting in Z200 Purlins | 94 |
| Figure (4.34) Failure in Simple Panel Test Provided with 3 Fasteners, Z200 Purlins and Local Defromation around the Fasteners of the 0.5mm Sheet Thick. | 94 |
| Figure (4.35) Load Displacement Curves of Simple Panel Tests Provided with 5 Fasteners, 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and Different Purlins | 95 |
| Figure (4.36) Sheet Widen the C120 Purlin from Loading Side | 95 |
| Figure (4.37) C120 Purlin Twisting from Both Sides | 96 |
| Figure (4.38) C200 Purlin Subjected to Torque | 96 |
| Figure (4.39) Z200 Purlin Subjected to Torque | 97 |
| Figure (4.40) Continuous panel test setup | 97 |
| Figure (4.41) End Beam Angle | 98 |
| Figure (4.42) Load Displacement Curves of Continuous Panel Tests Provided with Different Fasteners, 0.5 mm Sheet Thick and C120 Purlins | 98 |