

EVALUATION OF THE USE OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES OF MAMMOPLASTY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF EARLY BREAST CANCER

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D.
Degree in *General Surgery*

By

Gihan Adly Al Sayed Abd El Moteleb
M.B., B.Ch., Alex.
Master of Surgery, Ain Shams University (2007)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Alaa Abd Allah Farrag
Professor of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - University of Ain Shams

Prof. Dr. Nabil Sayed Saber
Professor of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - University of Ain Shams

Prof. Dr. Nasser Ahmed Ghozlan
Professor of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - University of Alexandria

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2016**



وَأَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكَ
الْكِتَابَ وَالْحِكْمَةَ
وَعَلَّمَكَ مَا لَمْ تَكُنْ
تَعْلَمُ وَكَانَ فَضْلُ
اللَّهِ عَلَيْكَ عَظِيمًا

صدق الله العظيم

الآية (١١٣) سورة النساء



Acknowledgement

*First and foremost, I thank **God** for helping and guiding me in accomplishing this work.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Alaa Abd Allah Farrag**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - University of Ain Shams, firstly for giving me the honor to be his student and for his great support and stimulating views.*

*I would like **Prof. Dr. Nabil Sayed Saber**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - University of Ain Shams, , his active, persistent guidance and other whelming kindness have been of great help through this work.*

*Also I would like to extend my warmest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Nasser Ahmed Ghazlan**, Professor of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - University of Alexandria, his hard and faithful efforts have helped me to do this work.*

*Also I would like to thank my **Family** who stood behind me to finish this work and for their great support.*

✍ Eihan Adly Al Sayed Abd El Moteleb

List of Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	ii
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	6
<u>Review of Literature</u>	
• Anatomy	7
• Breast Conservative surgery	35
• Oncoplastic Breast Surgery.....	45
• Therapeutic Mammoplasty	56
Patient & methods.....	83
Results	115
Discussion	158
Summary	179
Conclusion.....	182
Recommendations	184
References	187
Arabic Summary	--

List of Abbreviations

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
BCS.....	Breast Conservative Surgery	
DCIS.....	Ductal Carcinoma In Situ	
OPS.....	Oncoplastic Breast Surgery	
IMF.....	Infra Mammary Fold	
NAC.....	Nipple Areola Complex.	
BIRADS.....	Breast Imaging Reporting And Data System	
BWM.....	Batwing Mammoplasty	
SLNB.....	Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy	
MRI.....	Magnetic Resonance Imaging	
TDAP	Thoracodorsal Artery Perforator flap	
FNAC.....	Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology	

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Segments of the breast.....	9
Table (2):	The studied group presented according to the personal data and clinical presentation.....	116
Table (3):	The studied group presented according to general and breast examination.	121
Table (4):	The studied group according to site of tumor, tumor size, skin affection and tumor grade.....	123
Table (5):	The studied group presented according to surgical technique, separate axillary incision, contra lateral procedure and skin take out.	127
Table (6):	The studied group presented according to oncological information	147
Table (7):	The studied group presented according to the incidence of complications.	151
Table (8):	The studied group presented according to shape score, NAC score, symmetry score and patient satisfaction score.	154

List of Figures

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (1):	Most plastic surgeons prefer to set the nipple exactly at the inframammary fold level	8
Fig. (2):	Widened areola after peri-areolar augmentation mastopexy	9
Fig. (3):	Segments of the female breast—lateral view.....	10
Fig. (4):	Segments of the female breast-antroposterior view.....	11
Fig. (5):	The fascia splits to form an anterior(superficial) and posterior(deep) lamellae to envelop the breast.....	12
Fig. (6):	The ligamentous suspension in anatomical dissection of the right breast seen from craniomedial.....	15
Fig. (7):	The horizontal fibrous septum seen from medially in anatomical dissection of left breast	18
Fig. (8):	Three main arterial routes supplying the breast:	24
Fig. (9):	Injection study on cadavers shows communicating branches of internal mammary and lateral thoracic vessels	24
Fig. (10):	Blood supply of the breast	25
Fig. (11):	Schematic drawing of breast and anterior and lateral cutaneous branches of fourth intercostal nerve innervating the nipple and areola.....	29
Fig. (12):	Routes of lymphatic drainage of the breast.....	34
Fig. (13):	Levels of axillary lymph nodes	34
Fig. (14):	Type I deformity after BCS	42
Fig. (15):	Type II deformity after BCS	43
Fig. (16):	Type III deformity after BCS	44
Fig. (17):	Arterial anatomy of the breast.	58
Fig. (18):	Innervation of the breast	58
Fig. (19):	Various pedicles for the nipple-areola complex.....	59
Fig. (20):	Selection of Oncoplastic Breast Surgery technique according to tumor location	66
Fig. (21):	Inverted-T mammoplasty for inferior quadrant tumours.	68
Fig. (22):	Inferior-pedicle mammoplasty for supra-areolar tumours.....	70
Fig. (23):	Vertical reduction.mammoplasty	71

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (24):	Vertical mammoplasty	72
Fig. (25):	J-mammoplasty technique	73
Fig. (26):	Periareolar technique for tumours close to the areola.....	74
Fig. (27):	Lateral mammoplasty for tumors of the lateral quadrants.....	76
Fig. (28):	Omega mammoplasty.....	78
Fig. (29):	Medial mammoplasty technique with NAC repositioning	80
Fig: (30)	IMF mammoplasty	82
Fig. (31):	Marking the breast meridian.	87
Fig. (32):	Marking the new nipple position	88
Fig. (33):	Measuring the length of the inframammary fold	91
Fig. (34):	Marking of the medial limb	92
Fig. (35):	Wire keyhole pattern	92
Fig. (36):	The completed pattern.	93
Fig. (37):	Completed markings –the patient upright before the Operation.....	93
Fig. (38):	Inferior pedicle mammoplasty	95
Fig. (39):	Inferior-pedicle mammoplasty for supra-areolar tumors.	96
Fig. (40):	Volume displacement technique with reduction mammoplasty.....	95
Fig. (41):	Sperior pedicle therapeutic mammoplasty.....	98
Fig. (42):	Sperior pedicle therapeutic mammoplasty	99
Fig. (43):	Donut mammoplasty.....	100
Fig. (44):	Donut mammoplasty.....	101
Fig. (45):	Round block technique	102
Fig. (46):	Donut mastopexy lumpectomy	102
Fig. (47):	Batwing mammoplasty.....	104
Fig. (48):	The batwing mastopexy:.....	104
Fig. (49):	Batwing mammoplasty.....	105
Fig. (50):	Lateral mammoplasty.....	107
Fig. (51):	Racquet mammoplasty	107
Fig. (52):	Lateral mammoplasty	108
Fig. (53):	J mammoplasty.....	110

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (54):	Distribution of patients' obesity.....	117
Fig. (55):	Distribution of the side of the tumour.	118
Fig. (56):	Distribution of patients according to breast size	118
Fig. (57):	Degrees of ptosis.	119
Fig. (58):	Distribution of patients according to degree of ptosis.....	120
Fig. (59):	Distribution of patients according to site of the tumour.....	122
Fig. (60):	Distribution of patients according to surgical techniques	125
Fig. (61):	J mammoplasty.....	127
Fig. (62):	Technique of J shape mammoplasty.....	128
Fig. (63):	The technique of superior pedicle reduction mammoplasty.....	129
Fig. (64):	The technique of superior pedicle reduction mammoplasty.....	130
Fig. (65):	The technique of inferior pedicle reduction mammoplasty.....	131
Fig. (66):	Inverted T inferior pedicle mammoplasty	132
Fig. (67):	Inverted T inferior pedicle mammoplasty	132
Fig. (68):	Inverted T inferior pedicle mammoplasty	133
Fig. (69):	Inverted T inferior pedicle mammoplasty	133
Fig. (70):	Lateral mammoplasty	134
Fig. (71):	Lateral mammoplasty.....	135
Fig. (72):	Lateral mammoplasty.....	135
Fig. (73):	Lateral mammoplasty.....	136
Fig. (74):	Batwing mammoplasty	137
Fig. (75):	Batwing mammoplasty.....	138
Fig. (76):	Batwing mammoplasty	139
Fig. (77):	Round block technique	140
Fig. (78):	Round block technique.....	141
Fig: (79):	Round block technique	142
Fig: (80):	Round block technique	143
Fig. (81):	Dry specimen weight was recorded using a digital scale.	144
Fig. (82):	Specimen volume was recorded using fluid displacement.....	145
Fig: (83)	Round block technique complicated with hematoma	147

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (84):	A case of lateral mammoplasty complicated with seroma,	148
Fig. (85):	Minor skin dehiscence.....	148
Fig. (86):	Superficial wound infection	149
Fig. (87):	Superficial wound infection.	149
Fig. (88):	Radiotherapy effect.	150
Fig. (89):	Radiotherapy effect	150
Fig. (90):	Distribution of the incidence of complications	151
Fig. (91):	Distribution of shape score.....	155
Fig. (92):	Distribution of N A C score.	155
Fig. (93):	Distribution of symmetry score.....	156
Fig. (94):	Distribution of patient satisfaction score.....	156
Fig. (95):	Batwing mammoplasty with satisfying result	157
Fig. (96):	Lateral mammoplasty with very satisfying result.	157
Fig. (97):	Batwing mammoplasty with disappointing result.	157
Fig. (98):	J mammoplasty with satisfying results.....	157

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed non dermatological malignancy in women and ranks second only to lung in cancer-related deaths (*American Cancer Society, 2011*).

While the incidence has increased over the past decade, the mortality rate of breast cancer has gradually declined; this improved survival may stem from earlier detection as well as improved therapies (*Howlader et al., 2010*).

A multidisciplinary approach is now standard of care, involving a coordinated effort with the surgeon working in concert with the medical and radiation oncologist to achieve the best possible outcome for each individual. Improvements in both the quality and quantity of life for victims of breast cancer can be attributed to the advances made in each of these disciplines. As with all cancers, earlier stage disease is more readily manageable than after significant advancement. It is these early-stage cancers in which the most significant improvements in the operative management has occurred (*Rostas et al., 2012*).

Surgical resection was the first effective treatment for breast cancer and remains the most important treatment modality for curative intent. Refinements in operative techniques along with the use of adjuvant radiotherapy and advanced chemotherapeutic agents have facilitated increasingly focused

breast cancer operations. Thus, surgical management of breast cancer has shifted from Extensive and highly morbid procedures, to the modern concept obtaining the best possible cosmetic result in tandem with the appropriate oncological resection (*Rostas et al., 2012*).

Breast conservative surgery (BCS) has become the standard for early stage breast cancer and is increasingly used in ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) (*Jakesz et al., 2003 and Doridot et al., 2004*).

Its main objective is to provide a treatment as effective as mastectomy, but with the added benefit of a preserved breast. However, it is sometimes difficult to achieve good cosmetic results, especially with large, ill-defined or poorly situated tumors (*Clough et al., 2003*).

A surgical dilemma in BCT arises, on the one hand the surgeon needs a wider excision to provide clear margins and better local control of disease, but on the other hand the surgeon wants to spare as much tissue as possible for defect closure and to make the resulting aesthetic outcome as favorable as possible (*Hamdi et al., 2007 and Dillon et al., 2006*).

Oncoplastic surgery is a rather new concept; it combines breast conservative treatment with plastic surgery techniques for a better cosmetic outcome. It allows wider excision of the tumor and at the same time the preservation of symmetry by immediate

reconstruction. It has both oncological and psychological benefits. Four features define oncoplastic surgery: appropriate surgery to extirpate cancer, partial reconstruction to correct wide excision defects, immediate reconstruction with the full range of available techniques, and correction of asymmetry relative to the contralateral breast (**Baildam, 2002 and Rew, 2003**).

Additionally, in some circumstances oncoplastic techniques may allow a more radical tumor excision, which potentially reduces margin involvement. The capacity to remove a wider margin may be significant in certain groups of patients such as those with ductal carcinoma in situ and larger tumors that would usually be treated by radical surgery (**Asgeirsson et al., 2005**).

Several important basic points are crucial to obtain a pleasing outcome; the right technique to resect the cancer with immediate reconstruction using the appropriate technique, and the management of contralateral breast. All of these require careful planning with respect to the undermining and placement of the incisions (**Munhoz et al., 2007**).

Despite this appropriate management, the esthetic outcome depends on various factors, including the size and location of the lesion and the size of the original breast (**Rostas and Dyess, 2012**).

Additionally there is common agreement that the surgical deformities are best treated immediately following tumor resection. This is because it is more complicated to correct soft tissue deformities after radiotherapy (*Clough et al., 2003*).

To date, there is no consensus concerning the best procedure for conservative breast surgery. The main advantages of the technique should include reproducibility and low interference with the oncologic treatment. Probably, these goals are not achievable by any single procedure and each technique has its advantages and limitations (*Clough et al., 1999*).

A range of methods of parenchyma displacement techniques have been described, simple reshaping is performed by widely undermining nearby skin and the breast gland off the chest wall. The breast defect is then closed in full thickness (*Slavin and Halperin, 2004*).

Although satisfactory results may be achieved with the above mentioned techniques, reduction mammoplasty may lead to better results in patients with macromastia (*Munhoz et al., 1999*).

According to breast volume, presence of ptosis, tumor size and location, we can choose the appropriate reduction technique for each case (*Munhoz et al., 2007*).

There has been no consensus regarding the best mammaplasty technique. Each presents particular advantage for their indication, tumor location limitations, vascular pedicle, additional skin and glandular resections due to compromised margins, and resultant scar (*Munhoz et al., 1999*).

The basic requirement for safety in breast conservative surgery is the adequacy of resection and the ability to achieve negative margins. We need to verify whether modern oncoplastic techniques can fulfill this requirement of safety while immediate reconstruction of defects can improve esthetical outcomes as well (*Newman et al., 2001*).

Concerns about the increased rate of complications after oncoplastic procedures are raised on the account of Glandular necrosis which is the most challenging complication. Aggressive undermining of both the skin envelope and gland from the pectoralis muscle can lead to glandular necrosis if the breast is fatty. Areas of fat necrosis can become infected and cause wound dehiscence resulting in postoperative treatment delay. Longer scars, increased procedure time, efforts and costs are also related. The need for a specialized plastic surgeon and training and finally the need to reconstruct the other breast are potential issues that need to be declared (*Clough et al., 2003*).