# Evaluation of Bone Mineral Density and Body Composition in 11-12 years Old Egyptian Males

### Chesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree

In Pediatrics

# By

#### **Shaimaa Mohammed Abdel Monem Ali**

M. B., B. CH., Ain Shams University (2005)

# Under supervision of

# Prof. Dr. Heba Hassan Elsedfy

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

## Ass. Prof. Dr. Rasha Tarif Hamza

Assistant professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2013



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First of all, thanks to **ALLAH** whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work.

It is a great honour to me to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Heba Hassan El Sedfy** Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her valuable help, precious advice, continuous encouragement and constructive guidance that were the most driving forces in the initiation and progress of this work.

I wish to express my unlimited gratitude to **Dr. Rasha Tarif**Hamza Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain
Shams University, for her patience, assistance and very helpful
advice and guidance during the progress of this work. In fact, few
words never suffice to do justice in thanking her for her
extraordinary contribution of time, effort and valuable experience.

My special thanks to all my patients and their parents who agreed to share in this study. I'm thankful to them for their effort, time and cooperation.



Shaimaa Mohammed



- To my father and my mother
- To my husband and my son
- To my sisters and my family

I dedicate this work

Shaimaa Mohammed



# List of contents

# **List of Contents**

Page No.	Title
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables	IV
List of Figures	VI
Introduction & Aim of the work	1
Review of Literature	
Normal Bone Anatomy and Physiology	4
Bone Minerals	24
Osteoporosis	43
Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA)	)55
Subjects and Methods	71
Results	79
Discussion	97
Summary	108
Conclusions	111
Recommendations	112
References	113
Appendix	i-viii
Arabic summary	

#### List of Abbreviations

**aBMD** Areal bone mineral density

**AI** Adequate intake

ALP Alkaline PhosphataseATP Adenosine triphosphate

**BA** bone area

BMC Bone mineral contentBMD Bone mineral density

**BMI** body mass index

**BMP** Bone morphogenetic proteins

Ca<sup>2+</sup> Calcium

cAMP Cyclic adenosine monophosphateCGRP calcitonin gene-related peptide

**CT** Calcitonin

CV% Coefficient of variationDRI Dietary reference intake

DXA Dual energy X-ray absorptiometryDXR Digital X-ray RadiogrammetryFDA Food and drug adminstration

**FFM** Fat free mass

FFQ Food Frequency QuestionnaireFGF-23 Fibroblast growth factor 23

**FN** Femoral neck

**FNB** Food and nutrition board

GC GlucocorticoidsGH Growth hormone

**GHD** Growth hormone deficiency

#### List of Abbreviations

IGF Insulin growth factorIGFBPs IGF-binding proteinsIhh Indian hedgehog

**IL** interleukin

IOM Institute of MedicineIR Interquartile range

**ISCD** International Society of Clinical Densitometry

**LBM** Lean body mass

**LRP5** Low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 5

**LS** Lumbar spine

MRI Magnetic resonance imagingNAS National Academy of Sciences

OI Osteogenesis imperfecta

OPG OsteoprotegrinPBF percent body fatPBM peak bone massPDEXA perpheral DEXA

**PDGF** Platelet derived growth factor

**P**<sub>i</sub> inorganic phosphorus

**PQCT** Peripheral quantitative computed tomography

PTH Parathyroid hormonePTHrp PTH-related peptider

**QCT** Quantitative computed tomography

**QUS** Quantitative ultra sound

**RA** Radiographic absorptiometry

**RANK** Receptor activation of nuclear factor kappa

RANKL Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B

Ligand

**RDA** Recommended dietary allowance

#### List of Abbreviations

**ROI**<sub>S</sub> Regions of interest

**SB** Subtotal body

**SD** Standard deviation

**SDS** Standard deviation score

**SPECT** Single photon emission computed tomography

**SXA** Single-energy x-ray absorptiometry

TBF total body fatTFM Total fat mass

**TGF-B** Transforming growth factor beta

**TNF** Tumor necrosis factor

**TRP** Transient receptor potential

UL Tolerable upper levelUVB Utraviolet B radiation

**vBMD** volumetric bone mineral density

**VDR** Vitamin D receptor

**VEGF** vascular endothelial growth factor

**VFA** Vertebral fracture assessment

**WB** Whole body

WHO World health organization

# List of Tables

# List of tables

Table No	Title	Page
Table (1)	Effects of GH on bone	17
Table (2)	Growth Factors	20
Table (3)	Dietary Recommended Allowances	27
	(RDAs) for Calcium	
Table (4)	Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (ULs) for	27
	Calcium	
Table (5)	Serum phosphorus reference range	28
	during childhood	
Table (6)	Regulation of serum calcium	38
Table (7)	Regulation of PTH Release	40
Table (8)	Factors involved in the regulation of	41
	Ca2+ and bone metabolism	
Table (9)	WHO criteria for classification of	49
	patients with BMD measured by DXA	
<b>Table (10)</b>	Imaging options used for osteoporosis	51
	diagnosis, screening, or fracture	
	assessment	
<b>Table</b> (11)	Common terms seen in a DXA report	57
<b>Table (12)</b>	Common pitfalls in DXA interpretation	69
<b>Table (13)</b>	Age, dietary calcium intake, sun	79
	exposure, and physical activity among	
	studied subjects	
<b>Table (14)</b>	Socioeconomic factors and score of	79
	studied subjects	
<b>Table (15)</b>	Anthropometric measurements of	79
	studied subjects	

# List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page
<b>Table (16)</b>	Laboratory bone parameters of studied	80
	subjects	
<b>Table (17)</b>	DXA bone parameters and body	80
	composition of studied subjects	
<b>Table (18)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	81
	and age	
<b>Table (19)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	83
	and calcium intake	
<b>Table (20)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	83
	and sunlight exposure	
<b>Table (21)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	84
	and socioeconomic factors	
<b>Table (22)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	86
	& El-Bohy socioeconomic scoring	
<b>Table (23)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	88
	and weight SDS	
<b>Table (24)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	90
	and height SDS	
<b>Table (25)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	
	& each of BMI and its SDS	91
<b>Table (26)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	93
	and laboratory bone parameters	
<b>Table (27)</b>	Correlations between DXA parameters	96
	and physical activity	

# List of figures

Figure No	Title	Page
Figure (1)	Anterior skeletal anatomy	4
Figure (2)	Structure of long bone	7
Figure (3)	Compact and spongy (cancellous) bone	8
Figure (4)	Woven bone matrix showing collagen	10
	fibrils	
Figure (5)	Endochondral ossification	14
Figure (6)	Peak Bone Mass Schematic	21
	representation in relative units of normal	
	skeletal development. Bone mass	
	continues to increase until the fourth	
	decade of life	
Figure (7)	Synthesis and Metabolism of Vitamin D	31
	and its role in the Regulation of Calcium,	
	Phosphorus, and Bone Metabolism	
Figure (8)	Calcium regulation in the human body	33
Figure (9)	Calcium homeostasis. Effect of low (A)	35
	vs. high (B) dietary calcium on calcium	
	homeostasis.	
Figure (10)	Vitamin D and PTH action on bone cells.	37
	1, 25(OH)2D and PTH stimulation of the	
	mobilization of calcium from the	
	skeleton through interactions with their	
	respective receptors on osteoblasts,	
	which induce expression of the receptor	
	activator of nuclear factor-B (RANK)	
	ligand (RANKL).	

# List of Figures

Figure No	Title	Page
Figure (11)	Negative feedback regulation of PTH	39
	release.	
Figure (12)	Vertebral compression fractures in the	46
	lumbar spine of a child with idiopathic	
	juvenile osteoporosis	
Figure (13)	A scanner used to measure bone density	56
	with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry	
Figure (14)	Correct positioning and analysis of the	66
	L1-L4 spine and the proximal femur	
Figure (15)	DXA images showing regions of interest	67
Figure (16)	Correlation between age and whole body	82
	BMD.	
Figure (17)	Correlation between age and subtotal	82
	body BMD.	
Figure (18)	Correlation between house size and	85
	whole body z score.	
Figure (19)	Correlation between house size and	85
	femoral neck BMD.	
Figure (20)	Correlation between El Bohy score and	87
	whole body z score.	
Figure (21)	Correlation between El Bohy score and	87
	lumbar spine BMD.	
Figure (22)	Correlation between El Bohy score and	
	femoral neck BMD.	88
Figure (23)	Correlation between weight SDS and	89
	lean body mass.	
Figure (24)	Correlation between height SDS and	91
	whole body BMC.	

# List of Figures

Figure No	Title	Page
Figure (25)	Correlation between body mass index	92
	and whole body fat.	
<b>Figure (26)</b>	Correlation between body mass index	93
	SDS and whole body fat.	
Figure (27)	Correlation between serum calcium and	94
	whole body BMD.	
Figure (28)	Correlation between serum phosphorus	95
	and whole body BMC.	
Figure (29)	Correlation between serum alkaline	95
	phosphatase and lean body mass.	

#### **Introduction**

Bone densitometry is a widely used and universally accepted tool for the assessment of bone mass in adults. In the last two decades, however, interest in bone densitometry in children has increased. This can be explained firstly by the introduction of more effective treatment regimens aimed at increasing and maintaining bone density in a variety of diseases influencing bone development and or growth and secondly, by the fact that several reports have indicated the importance of peak bone mass in relation to future development of osteoporosis (Van Rijn et al., 2006).

There are 2 main reasons for measuring bone mineral content (BMC) in children: to quantify the deficits in bone mineral associated with the various disorders that cause osteopenia in children and to improve our understanding of the childhood antecedents of osteoporosis, a condition that happens to manifest itself in elderly subjects. Available data suggest that the genetic susceptibility to osteoporosis may be detectable in early childhood (*Gilsanz and Wren*, 2007).

Measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) by dual – energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is viewed widely as the preferred method for clinical use in children because of its speed, precision, safety, and wide spread availability. The radiation exposure is comparable to that received during a round trip transcontinental airplane flight (*Bachrach*, 2005).

DXA is an attractive option for clinical use that gives estimates of bone mineral mass, fat free mass (FFM), which is approximately equivalent to lean body mass (LBM), and total fat mass (TFM). DXA exploits the fact that the energy dependency of the strength of interaction between X-rays and bone mineral differs from that for soft tissue. At low energies, bone dominates the attenuation process while, at higher

#### Introduction and Aim of the Work.

energies, X-rays interact to about the same extent with bone and soft tissue (Sala et al., 2006).

The 3 main limitations of DXA measurement in children are: (1) the current lack of a standardized pediatric normative database, (2) the lack of a meaningful clinical outcome measure related to DXA values in children, and (3) inaccuracies resulting from growth -related variations in bone and body size and composition (*Gilsanz and Wren*, 2007).