

# **Effect of Maternal Body Mass Index on labor progress in nulliparous women**

*Thesis*

Submitted for fulfillment of Master Degree in  
Obstetrics and Gynecology

*By*

**Ahmed EL-Sayed Ahmed**

M.B., B.Ch. (December 2004)

Faculty of medicine, Cairo University

Resident of Obstetrics & Gynecology

AL-Mokatam hospital (Health Insurance Organization)

*Supervised By*

**Prof. Gamal Abd EL-Samei**

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology

Cairo University

**Prof. Amal Shohayeb**

Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology

Cairo University

**Dr. Amir Arabi**

Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynecology

Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

**2014**



قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ  
لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا  
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا  
إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ  
الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

الآية (٣٢) سورة البقرة



*First and foremost, all praise is due to **Allah**.*

*I would like to express my deep gratitude and sincere appreciation*

*to **Prof. Gamal Abd El-Samei***

*Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine,  
Cairo University, for his supervision of this work, keen interest  
and faithful advice, valuable remarks in revising and correcting  
this work*

*Special thanks for **Prof. Amal Shohayeb**,*

*Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cairo University, for  
dedicating so much of her precious time and efforts and for honest  
and constant guidance to complete this work*

*Really, I wish to express my sincere feeling and appreciation*

*to **Dr. Amir Arabi**, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty  
of Medicine, Cairo University, for his dedication and valuable  
contribution in the preparation of this work*

***Ahmed El-sayed Ahmed***

# *Dedication*

*To my parents, My wife*

*Hoping that this work may be of any  
Compensation for all the sacrifices, efforts, care  
and love given to me by them.*

# **Abstract**

## **Objective:**

To compare labor pattern by body mass index (BMI) in nulliparous women and that may help optimize labor management and ultimately impact the cesarean delivery rate.

## **Study Design:**

Six hundred (600) pregnant nulliparous women during labor with a singleton term cephalic gestation were assigned in one of the following 3 groups based on their body mass index (BMI) and each group contained 200 women. Repeated-measures analysis constructed average labor curves by BMI categories for those that reached 10cm. The median duration of labor by each centimeter of cervical dilation was computed for normal range group, underweight and obese women and used as a measurement of labor progression.

## **Results:**

- For nulliparas, Labor progressed more slowly with increasing admission BMI. These effects were significant for the active phase of labor (i.e. 4 to 10 cm cervical dilatation) and overall were more pronounced for nulliparas as BMI increases. The mean traverse times to progress from 4 cm to 10 cm was (4.09) hours for BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> compared to (5.36) hours for BMI 18.5-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and (6.08) hours for BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.
- The mean rate of cervical dilatation was (1.53 cm/hr) for BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> compared to (1.23 cm/hr) for BMI 18.5-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and (1.08 cm/hr) for BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

## **Conclusion:**

Labor proceeds more slowly as BMI increases suggesting that labor management be tailored to allow for these differences.

**Keywords:** Body mass index, Labor curves, Obesity, Underweight, Pregnancy

# CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>ii-iii</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-4</b>
<b>AIM OF THE WORK</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>6-104</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: Maternal Obesity and Obstetric Complications.</b>	<b>6-43</b>
○ Defining Obesity.....	6
○ Prevalence of Obesity.....	9
○ Maternal Obesity.....	12
○ Effects of Obesity Pre-Pregnancy .....	13
○ The Metabolic Syndrome.....	14
○ Complications directly related to Body Mass Index.....	15
○ Obesity and Fertility.....	16
○ Excessive Maternal Gestational Weight Gain (GWG).....	18
○ Pregnancy Outcomes of Maternal Obesity.....	21-40
<b>A. Maternal Consequences of Maternal Obesity.....</b>	<b>22-37</b>
<b>(1) Maternal Outcomes during Pregnancy .....</b>	<b>22</b>
• Gestational Hypertension.....	23
• Preeclampsia.....	24
• Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.....	26
• Thromboembolic Complications.....	28
• Breathing or Respiratory Complications.....	29
• Infections.....	30
• Congenital Malformations.....	31
• Miscarriage.....	32
• Placental Vascular Disease.....	33
• Obesity and Preterm Delivery.....	33
• Obesity and Backache.....	33
<b>(2) Maternal Outcomes during Labor .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>(3) Maternal Outcomes for the Mother in the Postpartum Period.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>B. Fetal, Neonatal and Infant Outcomes of Maternal Obesity.....</b>	<b>38-40</b>
○ Prevention and Intervention for Maternal Obesity.....	41
○ Management of Obesity during Pregnancy.....	42

<b>CHAPTER II: Maternal underweight and Obstetric Complications.</b>	<b>44-51</b>
○ Definition of Underweight.....	44
○ Causes of Underweight in Pregnancy.....	45
○ Significance of Maternal Underweight.....	46
○ Maternal Underweight and adverse effects on Pregnancy and Labor.....	47
○ Treatment of Maternal Underweight.....	51
<b>CHAPTER III: Maternal weight and Labor Progress.</b>	<b>52-104</b>
○ Characteristics of Normal Labor.....	52
○ Labor Terms.....	57
○ Normal Labor Progress.....	58
○ Variables affecting Labor duration.....	73
○ Abnormal Labor Patterns.....	76
○ Effect of Obesity on the three classic determinants of Labor Progress.....	92
○ Increased Maternal Leptin with Obesity and its Effect on Labor Progress.....	95
○ Maternal Obesity and the risk of Labor Induction.....	96
○ Maternal Obesity and the risk of Operative Vaginal Delivery.....	98
○ Maternal Obesity and the risk of Cesarean Delivery.....	99
○ Maternal Obesity and Vaginal Birth after Cesarean Section (VBAC).....	104
<b>PATIENTS AND METHODS</b>	<b>105-110</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>111-121</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>122-130</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>131-134</b>
<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>135-139</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>140-156</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	<b>157-161</b>

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	The International Classification of adult underweight, overweight and obesity according to BMI .....	7
<b>Table (2):</b>	New Guidelines for Gestational Weight Gain.....	17
<b>Table (3):</b>	Diagnostic criteria for abnormal labor patterns.....	79
<b>Table (4):</b>	Dysfunctional labor patterns.....	80
<b>Table (5):</b>	Abnormal Labor Patterns, Diagnostic Criteria and Treatment.	83
<b>Table (6):</b>	Baseline Characteristics of Term, Nulliparous Women “According to Their Admission BMI” .....	111
<b>Table (7):</b>	Mode of delivery and fetal birth weight of Term, Nulliparous Women “According to Their Admission BMI”.....	114
<b>Table (8):</b>	Intrapartum Characteristics of Term, Nulliparous Women “According to Their Admission BMI”.....	117



# List of Figures

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Figure (1):</b>	BMI categories are displayed and defined in the following graph.	8
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Projected prevalence of overweight, Egypt, males and females aged 30 years or more,2005 and 2015.....	9
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Increased prevalence of overweight in males and females Egypt, 2008.....	10
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Flow sheet for following labor progress (Partograph).....	54
<b>Figure (5):</b>	An idealized labor pattern. The normal patterns of cervical dilation .....	59
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Friedman labor curve in nulliparous.....	60
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Variable Chart summarizes complex pattern of relationship among variables involved in studies on labor duration.....	74
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Cervicograph for evaluating the active phase of labor.....	86
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Calculating Montevideo units.....	87
<b>Figure (10):</b>	The three study groups as regard BMI categories.....	108
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Mean Maternal age (years) in different groups.....	112
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Mean Maternal height (Meters) in different groups .....	113
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Mode of delivery in different groups .....	115
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Diagram of Mode of delivery between the three groups.....	115
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Mean fetal weight (Kilograms) in different groups.....	116
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Mean duration of active phase of labor (hours) in different groups.....	118

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Mean duration of 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage of labor (hours) in different groups..	<b>119</b>
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Mean duration of labor (hours) in different groups.....	<b>119</b>
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Mean cervical dilatation rate (cm / hr) in different groups.....	<b>120</b>

## List of Abbreviations

<b>BMI</b>	Body Mass Index
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organization
<b>NCD</b>	Non communicable Diseases
<b>PCOS</b>	Polycystic ovary syndrome
<b>SHBG</b>	Sex hormone-binding globulin
<b>GWG</b>	Gestational Weight Gain
<b>IOM</b>	Institute Of Medicine
<b>LGA</b>	Large-for- gestational-age
<b>PIH</b>	Pregnancy-induced hypertension
<b>GDM</b>	Gestational diabetes mellitus
<b>CEMACH</b>	Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health
<b>NTDs</b>	Neural tube defects
<b>IVF</b>	In Vitro Fertilization
<b>NCEP</b>	National Cholesterol Education Program
<b>IUGR</b>	Intra uterine growth restriction
<b>AGA</b>	Average- for- gestational-age
<b>PROM</b>	Premature rupture of the membranes
<b>SGA</b>	Small- for- gestational-age
<b>ACOG</b>	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
<b>GLUT</b>	Glucose transporter
<b>EDD</b>	Estimated Date of Delivery
<b>SD</b>	Standard Deviation
<b>MN</b>	Mid Noon
<b>OA position</b>	Occeptito-Anterior position
<b>AML</b>	Active management of labor
<b>IOL</b>	Induction Of Labor
<b>CPD</b>	Cephalopelvic disproportion
<b>CD</b>	Cesarean delivery
<b>CS</b>	Cesarean section
<b>VBAC</b>	Vaginal birth after cesarean section
<b>US</b>	Ultrasound
<b>WT</b>	Weight
<b>HT</b>	Height
<b>Kg</b>	Kilogram
<b>M</b>	Meter
<b>Cm</b>	Centimeter



---

# Introduction

---

## ***Introduction***

Labor at term may best be regarded physiologically as an event initiated by the removal of the inhibitory effects of pregnancy on the myometrium rather than as an active process governed by uterine stimulants (**Norwitz et al., 1999**).

Labor is a clinical diagnosis characterized by regular, painful uterine contractions that increase in frequency and intensity and associated with progressive cervical effacement or dilatation. More specifically, it is associated with a change in the myometrial contractility pattern from irregular “contractures” (long-lasting, low-frequency activity) to regular “contractions” (high-intensity, high-frequency activity). In normal labor at term, there seems to be a time-dependent relationship between these elements: the biochemical connective tissue changes in the cervix usually precede uterine contractions, which, in turn, precede cervical dilatation (**Norwitz et al., 1999**).

All approaches to the systematic assessment of labor are grounded in the concept that it is possible to evaluate the progress of labor by analyzing the relationships among cervical dilatation, fetal descent, and elapsed hours in labor. The relationship between cervical dilation and the time elapsed in labor is described by a sigmoid-shaped curve (**Friedman, 1954**).

The characteristics of the labor curve do not differ among ethnic or racial groups, but there are significant differences between the labor curves of nulliparous and multiparous women (**Duignan et al., 1975**).

In classic studies, Friedman determined the average duration for each stage of labor in both nulliparous and multiparous women and calculated the maximum duration of each stage, defined as two standard deviations from the mean.

By comparing a parturient's rate of cervical dilatation with the normal profile described by Friedman, it is possible to detect abnormal labor patterns and identify pregnancies at risk for adverse events. This task can be facilitated by the use of a partogram. In this way, abnormal labor patterns can be identified easily and appropriate measures taken **(Studd et al., 1973)**.

At the beginning of the second stage of labor the mother usually feels a desire to bear down with each contraction. This abdominal pressure, together with the force of the uterine contractions, expels the fetus. The second stage generally takes from 30 minutes to 3 hours in primigravid women and from 5–30 minutes in multigravid women. The median duration is 50 minutes in a primipara and 20 minutes in a multipara. These times may vary depending on the pushing efforts of the mother, the quality of the uterine contractions, and the type of analgesia **(Carol et al., 2003)**.

Several factors may influence maternal pushing performance that occurs during the second stage of labor, including body mass index(BMI), fetal weight, myometrial thickness, maternal position, and oxytocin augmentation (but not parity) **(Buhimschi et al., 2002)**.

Obesity has become epidemic all around the world. the prevalence and degree of obesity have increased rapidly over the last several decades **(sukalich et al., 2006)**. In the United States, the rates have more than doubled in the past 25 years from 15% in 1980 to 32.9% in 2004. Moreover, nearly one third of women of reproductive age are obese, and approximately 6% are extremely obese **(Ogden et al., 2006)**.

Obesity has also created reproductive adversity. Many obesity-related illnesses complicate pregnancies. Moreover, maternal obesity is associated with excess fetal growth, which increases the likelihood of cesarean section and of birth injury, as well as potential life-long health risks (**McMillen et al., 2008**). Studies show that obese pregnant women are at increased risk for gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, eclampsia, cesarean section, fetal macrosomia, instrumental delivery, fetal distress, antepartum stillbirth and early neonatal death (**Cedergren et al., 2004**).

Obese women have been found to have longer labors, are more likely to have inadequate contraction patterns during the first stage of labor, and are more likely to receive oxytocin for labor induction and augmentation (**Vahratian et al., 2004**).

Many studies have demonstrated a strong relationship between maternal obesity and the risk of cesarean delivery (**Ducarme et al., 2007**). The reasons for this high cesarean rate are, however, not entirely clear. It relates in part to the association of obesity with diabetes and hypertension, which themselves predispose to the need for cesarean (**Perlow et al., 1992**). In addition, the high prevalence of fetal macrosomia among obese women probably leads to more cesareans for cephalopelvic disproportion (**Kliegman et al., 1985**). Another study found that women whose BMI was more than 30 were six times more likely to have a cesarean section for cephalopelvic disproportion or failure to progress. These factors do not, however, explain completely the high obesity-related cesarean rate noted in most studies (**Young et al., 2002**).

High cesarean rates have been found in otherwise low-risk obese women (**Kaiser et al., 2001**), and multivariate analyses support obesity as an independent risk factor associated with cesarean delivery (**Roman et al., 2008**). A possible