ASSESSMENT OF THE PREVALENCE OF ABNORMAL HYSTEROSCOPIC FINDINGS IN INFERTILE WOMEN UNDERGOING ART

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

M.B.B. Ch Cairo University, 2010 Resident in El-Sheikh Zayed Al-Nahyan Hospital Cairo

Under supervision of

Dr. Ahmed Husseiny Salama Farag

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Hamed Abd El-Aziz Salama

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2018

Acknowledgement

I want to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Ahmed Husseiny Salama Farag,**Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his meticulous supervision, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Mohamed Hamed Abd El-Aziz Salama,** Lecturer of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University,
for his valuable guidance, encouragement and help.

Also, I would like to thank Professor, **Dr. Mohamed**Amer, Head of Early Cancer Detection Unit (ECDU), Ain
Shams Maternity University hospital, for allowing me to
review hysteroscopy reports of the past ten years. Special
thanks to all members of the Early Cancer Detection Unit
for their help.

I want to express my love and appreciation to my family and thank them for their continuous support and encouragement.

Contents

Subject Page No
List of Abbreviationsi
List of Tablesi
List of Figures <u>ii</u>
Introduction1
Aim of the Work6
Review of Literature
 Chapter (1): Mullerian duct anomalies (MDA)7 Chapter (2): Acquired uterine abnormalities 16
- Chapter (3): The effect of uterine anomalies on reproductive outcome & ART 24
- Chapter (4): Hysteroscopy 31
Patients ane Methods 42
Results 52
Discussion 65
Conclusion and Recommendations 73
Summary 75
References 78
Arabic Summary

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AFS	American Fertility Society
ART	Assissted reproductive techniques
CE	Chronic endometritis
CPR	Clinical pregnancy rate
CUA	Congenital uterine anomalies
ESHRE	European Society of Human Reproduction
	and Embryology
HM	Hysteroscopic morcellator
HOX	Homeobox protein
IUA	Intra uterine adhesions
LBR	Live Birth rate
MDAs	Mullerian duct anomalies
ОН	Office hysteroscopy
RIF	Recurrent implantation failure
RPL	Recurrent pregnancy loss

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
Table 1	The ESHRE/ESGE classification system of mullerian duct anomalies.	8
Table 2	The American Fertility Society classification of IUA (1989).	18
Table 3	Data collection form	50
Table 4	Demographic patient characteristics	54
Table 5	Number & percentage of complete and failed procedures	55
Table 6	Congenital cervical abnormalities	57
Table 7	Cervical canal abnormalities	57
Table 8	Acquired cervical anomalies	58
Table 9	Congenital uterine abnormalities	60
Table 10	The acquired uterine abnormalities	61
Table 11	Abnormal endometrial findings	62
Table 12	The prevalence of tubal ostia abnormalities	63
Table 13	Hysteroscopy complications	64
Table 14	The prevalence of insignificant findings	65

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 1	ESHRE/ESGE classification of uterine anomalies	9
Figure 2	Hysteroscopic appearance of uterine septum	10
Figure 3	Hysteroscopic appearance of intra uterine adhesions	16
Figure 4	Hysteroscopic appearance of submucous myoma	18
Figure 5	Hysteroscopic appearance of endometrial polyp	21
Figure 6	Hysteroscopic appearance of chronic endometritis	23
Figure 7	Hysteroscopic instruments	44
Figure 8	Normal hysteroscopic appearance	46
Figure 9	The hysteroscopy report	48
Figure 10	Pie chart of the percentage of normal and abnormal hysteroscopic findings	52
Figure 11	Pie chart of the prevalence of difference hysteroscopic abnormalities	53
Figure 12	Pie chart of the prevalence of complete and failed procedures	55

Figure 13	Pie chart of the causes of failed procedures	55
Figure 14	Pie chart showing the prevalence of congenital cervical abnormalities	57
Figure 15	Pie chart of the prevalence of acquired cervical abnormalities	58
Figure 16	Pie chart of congenital uterine abnormalities	58
Figure 17	Pie chart of acquired uterine abnormalities	59
Figure 18	Pie chart of acquired uterine abnormalities	60
Figure 19	Pie chart of abnormal endometrial findings	61
Figure 20	Pie chart showing the prevalence of tubal ostia abnormalities	62
Figure 21	Pain as a complication of hysteroscopy	63

Abstract

Background: The endometrium plays an important role in implantation of good quality embryos in ART. Many studies have proved the negative impact of intra uterine pathologies on reproductive outcome whether spontaneous pregnancy or ART, because uterine anomalies may be associated with many obstetric complications such as miscarriage, preterm birth, premature rupture of membrane, malpresentation, postpartum bleeding and retained placenta. Aim of the Work: This study aims to assess the prevalence of abnormal hysteroscopic findings among infertile women undergoing ART at Ain Shams Maternity University Hospital over the period from January 2007 to January 2017. Aim of the Work: to assess the prevalence of abnormal hysteroscopic findings among infertile women undergoing ART at Ain Shams Maternity University Hospital over the period from January 2007 to January 2017. **Patients and Methods:** This descriptive retrospective study was carried out on infertile couples planned for ART who were sent to ECDU for hysteroscopy in Early Cancer Detection Unit (ECDU), Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital. Case reports From January 2007 to January 2017 were reviewed. **Results:** The most prevalent abnormality was endometrial polyp (18.4%) followed by uterine septum (6.9%). Conclusion: This study concluded that the most common uterine abnormality was endometrial polyp (18.4%), followed by uterine septum in 6.9% of patients. Also, thick endometrium was very common with a prevalence of 12.9%. Therefore, based on this high prevalence of abnormalities, this study is not in agreement of the opinion that all women should be offered hysteroscopy, even if they have normal vaginal ultrasound and hysterosalpingography, though this is supposed to increase the detection rate of minor abnormalities, which theoretically will improve the reproductive outcome. Still, further interventional randomised controlled trials (RCT) are needed to verify the positive impact of routine use of hysteroscopy prior to ART.

Key words: abnormal hysteroscopy, infertility, ART

Introduction

The mean prevalence of Mullerian duct anomalies was estimated to be about 7% in the general population (Saravelos *et al.*, 2008) and 13.3% in the infertile population (Jayaprakasan *et al.*, 2011).

The female genital tract is derived from paramesonephric duct (Mullerian) by the lateral fusion of the 2 paired mullerian ducts which unite to form the uterus and upper part of cervix. Septal absorption by apoptosis results in a single cavity (**Dreisler**, **2014**).

Normal development of the female genital tract involves a series of events: mullerian duct elongation, fusion, canalization, and septal resorption (Rachow and Arici, 2007), therefore, congenital uterine anomalies result from abnormal formation, fusion or resorption of the mullerian ducts during fetal life (Moore et al., 2008).

Because of the role of the wolffian (mesonephric) ducts in the development of the female reproductive tract and the renal development, the abnormalities originating from the mesonephric maldevelopment are associated with mullerian duct anomalies (Hannema and Hughes, 2007).

Renal anomalies are frequently associated with mullerian duct anomalies with a prevalence of (20-30%) such as pelvic kidney, renal agenesis, duplication of the collecting system and ectopic ureters, hypoplasia, malrotation (Lin *et al.*, 2002; Chandler *et al.*, 2009).

Uterine cavity and its innermost layer; the endometrium play an important role in implantation of the blastocyst (**Taylor**, **2008**).

Also, many experimental and clinical studies have emphasized the importance of uterus and intrauterine pathology for spontaneous and post-ART fertility (Gulliano et al., 2015), which makes evaluation of the uterine cavity a basic step in investigation of the infertile women (Collins and Crosignani, 1992).

Office hysteroscopy (OH) is increasingly recommended by many studies as a routine procedure in the infertility work up (Oliveira et al., 2003; Doldi et al., 2005; Lorusso et al., 2008) because office hysteroscopy has the advantages of faster ambulation, enhanced cost effectiveness and reduced anesthesiological risks (Bettocchi et al., 2003) and minimal morbidity (Marana et al., 2001).

Also, correction of most of these abnormalities and restoration of a normal uterine cavity is possible during OH with reported good outcome, similar to that achieved in patients with a normal hysteroscopy (Grimbizis et al., 2001; Oliveira et al., 2003; Ozgur et al., 2007).

Despite the great advances in the assisted reproduction technologies, the delivery rate per oocyte retrieval was only 25% for ICSI and 27.41% for IVF (Hochchild *et al.*, 2017).

The prevalence of minor unsuspected intra uterine pathology was reported to be as high as 20-40% (**Koskas** *et al.*, **2010**).

There is evidence that performing hysteroscopy before IVF can increase the chance of pregnancy in women who had one or more failed IVF cycles (El-Toukhy *et al.*, 2008; Bosteels *et al.*, 2010).

This can be explained by its ability to treat uterine abnormalities and therefore restoring the normality of the uterine cavity (**Taylor 2008, Sugihara** *et al.*, **2010**).

In addition to this, many studies have postulated many benefits that extend beyond treatment of intrauterine abnormalities such as: First, irrigation of the cavity with saline since it mechanically removes harmful anti-adhesive glycoprotein molecules on the endometrial surface involved in endometrial receptivity [i.e. cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), mucin-1 (MUC-1) and integrin aVb3] (Takahashi *et al.*, 2000).

Secondly, the hysteroscopic diagnostic act itself may allow easier embryo transfer through studying the course and morphology of the cervical canal (El Mazny *et al.*, 2011).

Also, many authors have condluded that mechanical manipulation of the endometrium may enhance receptivity by modulating the expression of gene encoding factors required for implantation, as glycodelin *A* (**Mirkin** *et al.*, **2005**) laminin alpha-4, integrin alpha-6 and matrix metalloproteinase-1 (**Almog** *et al.*, **2010**).

On the other hand, many studies showed that there was no difference in the live birth rate (LBR) and clinical pregnancy rate (CPR) (Yu et al., 2012; El-Toukhy et al., 2014).

Also, many studies did not recommend hysteroscopy as a routine before ART, claiming that the significance of treating unsuspected intra uterine pathologies are not yet fully proven (ESHRE, 2000; RCOG 2004).

Dntroduction

In addition, The routine role of hysteroscopy has been questioned due to its invasiveness, and that it can not evaluate the external contour of the uterine cavity, which means that it will not be very well reliable in diagnosis and differentiation between septate and bicornaute uterus (Bieber and Derian, 2008).

Aim of the Work

This study aims to assess the prevalence of abnormal hysteroscopic findings among infertile women undergoing ART at Ain Shams Maternity University Hospital over the period from January 2007 to January 2017.

Chapter (1)

Mullerian Duct Anomalies (MDA)

Fusion of the mullerian ducts normally occurs between the 6th and 11th weeks of gestation to form the uterus, fallopian tubes, cervix, and proximal two-thirds of the vagina (**Troiano** *et al.*, 2004).

Any disruption of the mullerian duct development during embryogenesis can result in a broad and complex spectrum of congenital abnormalities termed mullerian duct anomalies (MDAs).

Clinical presentations of mullerian anomalies include: cyclic or non cyclic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea and endometriosis which may contribute to infertility (Lin et al., 2002; Fedele et al., 2006).

The ovaries and distal third of the vagina originate from the primitive yolk sac and the sinovaginal bud, respectively. Therefore MDAs are not associated with anomalies of external genitalia (Behr et al., 2012).

Also, gonadal development is a separate process, thus women with mullerian duct anomalies commonly have