fig. (6).DOC

fig.(27)treatment line.doc

table(17).doc

cover.doc

abstract.doc

acknoledgement.doc

Contents.doc

Ch.I-Introduction.doc

Ch.II-Literature review.doc

ch.III-Material & experimental methodology.doc

Ch.IV-Final Results and Discussion1.doc

Ch.V-General Conclusion&recommendations.doc

Refrences.doc

ESummary.doc

doc. المستخلص باللغة العربية

doc. الملخص باللغة العربية

Cover Arabic.doc

IMPROVEMENT OF WASTES QUALITY OF FERTILIZERS INDUSTRY

By
Marwa Abdel Fattah Abd Alla
B.Sc. Eng. (Civil), Benha University, ۲۰۰۱

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirement for the Master Degree in Environmental Science

Department of Environmental Engineering Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams University

APPROVAL SHEET

IMPROVEMENT OF WASTES QUALITY OF FERTILIZERS INDUSTRY

By
Marwa Abdel Fattah Abd Alla
B.Sc. Eng. (Civil), Benha University, ۲۰۰۱

This Thesis Towards a Master Degree in Environmental Science Has Been Approved by:

Γ	Name Signature
,_	Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mohamed Ibrahim Refaat
	Professor of sanitary engineering, Public works department,
	Faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University
۲_	Prof. Dr. Mostafa Ibrahim Mostafa
	Professor of Inorganic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty
	of Science, Benha University
٣	Dr. Taha Abdul Azeem M. A.Razek
	Assistant professor, Basic science department, Institute of
	Environmental Studies and Researches, Ain Shams University.
٤_	Dr. Enas Sayed Ahmed Wahb
	Assistant professor of sanitary engineering, Public works
	department, Faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University

IMPROVEMENT OF WASTES QUALITY OF FERTILIZERS INDUSTRY

By
Marwa Abdel Fattah Abd Alla
B.Sc. Eng. (Civil), Benha University,

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master Degree in Environmental Science

Department of Environmental Engineering Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams University

Under The Supervision of:

1- Prof. Dr. Ashraf Mohamed Ibrahim Refaat

Professor of sanitary engineering, Public works department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

7- Prof. Dr. Mostafa Ibrahim Mostafa

Professor of Inorganic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Benha University

~- Dr. Mohamed Nasr Farid

Assistant professor, Fulbright Alumnus, Environmental Engineering Department, Institute of Environmental Studies and Researches, Ain Shams University

Abstract

Nitrogenous fertilizers industry is one of the most harmful sources of pollutants for water resources. The main pollutants of this industry are nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia. This study aims to reduce the concentrations of these three pollutants to reduce their effects on environment. Treatment by slag (industrial waste), natural clay from Aswan (Hebba) and \!\" mixture of slag - charcoal were carried out.

Treatment by ':' mixture of slag – charcoal shows high percent removal more than treatment by slag only, while Hebba shows comparable removal percent with slag – charcoal mixture.

Treatment by using ':' mixture of slag – charcoal reduce concentration of nitrate (NO τ^-) from °9,7.% mg/l to Y7,VY mg/l, nitrite (NO τ^-) from Y1,YY mg/l to Y1,T9 mg/l and ammonia (NO ε^+) from Y57,Y mg/l to Y7,YY mg/l, while treatment by using natural clay (Hebba) reduce concentration of nitrate (NO τ^-) from °9,7.% mg/l to YV,A9 mg/l, nitrite (NO τ^-) from Y1,YY mg/l to YY,A9 mg/l, nitrite (NO τ^-) from Y1,YY mg/l.

Natural aeration was applied to reduce ammonia concentration in samples due to its volatile nature. It was applied on samples treated by Hebba (as a case study). Natural aeration reduces concentration of ammonia from ٦٥,٢٢ mg/l to ٩,0٤ mg/l.

Concentrations of nitrate, nitrite and ammonia in treated industrial wastewater are compatible with Egyptian Environmental Laws for discharging into non dulcet water and suitable for using in livestock and poultry, agriculture (crops tolerate high saline water like barely, cotton, date palm and wheat) and for industrial use.

Acknowledgement

Firstly, I would like to express my gratitude to ALLAH who guided and aided me to do this thesis and I would like to express my special thanks to my parients, my husband, my sweet kids and my sister for their endless support and patience.

I wish to express my gratitude to those who generously helped me to carry out this study with their knoledge, valuable advice and kind encouragement.

Grateful thanks to dr. Ashraf Refaat, dr. Mohamed Nasr and dr. Mostafa Shahin for their endless support and continous encouragement.

Deep thanks to dr. Taha A. Azzem and dr. Enas wahb for their revising and correcting the manuscript

Special mention must be made for dr. magda Ebied and dr. Hisham Alqassas for their supporting to end administrative affairs.

Finally this work is bestowed to those whom I mentioned and to every one support me to finish this work.

Contents

	Page
Abstract	
Chapter I: Introduction	١
1,1. Study Problem	1
1,7. Aim of the work	۲
۱, ۳. Waste characteristics	٤
۱٫٤. Work plan	٧
),°. Methodology	٧
1,7. out come	٨
Chapter II: Literature Review	٩
Y, 1. Introduction	٩
۲,۲. Origin and Characteristics of Fertilizer Industry Wastes	١٤
۲,۲,۱. Raw materials and other input substances	١٤
Y,Y,Y. Production units of El-Nasr Company for fertilizer at	10
Suez	
۲٫۳.Methods of Removal of Nitrogenous Fertilizers Wastes	۲ ٤
۲,۳,1. Ion exchange method	۲ ٤
۲,۳,۲. Utilization of polypropylene Hollow Fiber Membrane	70
۲,۳,۳.Using Natural Zeolite	۲٦
۲,۳,٤.Using biological treatment	۲٧

۲,۳,0.Precipitation Method	٣9
۲,۳,٦.Using Chlorination followed by Activated Carbon	٣.
۲,۳,۷.Using Different Kinds of Clay	٣1
۲,۳,۸.Constructed Wetlands	47
Chapter III: MATERIALS AND	٣٢
EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY	
۳,۱. Introduction	44
۳,۲. Sample collection and preservation	٣٤
۳,۳. Chemicals used for analysis of water samples	40
۳٫٤. Wastewater analysis	40
۳٫٤,۱. Determination of total dissolved solid (TDS)	٣٥
۳,٤,۲. Determination of chemical oxygen demand (COD)	77
۳,٤,۳. Determination of oil and grease	٣٦
۳,٤,٤. Determination of major cations and trace metals	٣٦
۳,٤,٥. Determination of Total Hardness	٣٦
۳,٤,٦. Determination of major anions	٣٧
۳٫٤,۷. Determination of Ammonia, Nitrate and Nitrite	٣٧
۳٫۰. Treatment methodology	٣٨
Chapter IV: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	٤١
٤,١. Wastewater Characterization	٤٢
٤,١,١. pH	٤٢
٤, ١, ٢. Electrical Conductivity (EC)	٤٢

٤,١,٣. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	20
٤,١,٤. Hardness	٤٦
٤,١,٥ Major Cations	٤٦
٤,١,٦ Major anions	٤٨
٤,١,٧ Ammonia (NHs+)	٤٨
٤,١,٨ Nitrate and Nitrite	٤٩
٤,١,٩. Organic Matter	01
٤,٢. Removal of NOr-, NOr- and NH: from wastewater	٥٢
samples	
£, Y, \ \ . Optimum conditions for removal of NO _r	٥٤
£,Y,Y. Optimum conditions for removal of NO _Y	٥٨
٤,٢,٣. Optimum conditions for removal of NH٤+	٦٢
٤٫٣. Evaluation of treated industrial wastewater	٦٩
Chapter V: General Conclusion and	٧٨
Recommendations	
o, 1. Conclusion	٨٠
o, Y. Proposal of treatment	٨٠
o, r. Economical cost	٨١
o, \xi. Recommendations	٨٢
o,o. Further work:	٨٢
References	٨٣
English summary	
Arabic summary	

List of Tables

Tables	Page
Table (1): Sample locations of the wastewater	٣٤
Table (): Pollutants in water samples	٤٤
Table (*): Classification of water according to EC and TDS	٤٥
Table (4): Hardness Classification of water	٤٦
Table (°): percent removal of NO ₇ from wastewater samples	٥٣
Table (\(\frac{1}{2}\)): percent removal of NO _{\(\frac{1}{2}\)} from wastewater samples	٥٣
Table (Y): percent removal of NH: from wastewater samples	٥٤
Table (^): percent removal of NO ₇ -, NO ₇ - and NH ₂ + from	٥٤
wastewater samples using Hebba	
Table (4): results of bench scale experiment for the removal	00
of $NO_{\tau^{-}}$ from wastewater samples $N^{\gamma} - N^{\circ}$	
Table (\(\cdot \cdot \)): results of bench scale experiment for the removal	٥٩
of NO^{-1} from wastewater samples $N^{1} - N^{0}$	
Table (11): results of bench scale experiment for the removal	٦٣
of NH_{ϵ}^+ from wastewater samples $N^{\gamma} - N^{\circ}$	
Table (\ \ '): results of bench scale experiment for the removal	٦٦
of NH ₆ ⁺ by natural aeration	
Table () "): results of bench scale experiment for the removal of	٦٧
NH_{ϵ}^+ from wastewater samples $N^{\gamma} - N^{\circ}$ by	
natural aeration	
Table (\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	٧.
Table (10): Guide to the use of saline waters for livestock and	٧١

poultry

	-	•								
Table () 'Salt salinity tolerance levels for different crops						77				
Table	(۱۷):	Water	quality	reg	uirements	for	indu	ıstry	and	٧٦
	pro	cesses								
Table	(۱۸):	Stand	ards a	and	specificat	ion	of	Egyp	otian	Y Y
	env	ironmen	ıtal law	s for	dischargi	ng in	ito n	on di	ulcet	
	wa	ter								

List of Figures

Figures	Page
Fig. (1): Waste minimization	11
Fig. (*): Waste minimization in fertilizers industry	١٣
Fig. (*): Block Diagram for Sulphuric Acid production unit	١٧
Fig. (4): Block Diagram for Ammonia production unit	١٨
Fig. (*): Block Diagram for Nitric Acid production unit	۲١
Fig. (1): Block Diagram for Ammonium Sulphate production unit	77
Fig. (Y): Block Diagram for Ammonium Nitrate production unit.	7 4
Fig. (^): Distribution of ammonia ion among	٤٩
Fig. (4): Distribution of nitrate	٥,
Fig. (\'): Distribution of nitrite	٥,
Fig.(\\): Mesh size effect on% NOr removal using \gamma\gm gm slag	٥٦
Fig.($\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	٥٦
slag+•, ⁷ gm charcoal	
Fig.(\\"): Time effect on% NO _{\(\tilde{\tiilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tii}	٥٧

Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Mesh size effect on% NOx ² removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Mesh size effect on% NOx ² removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NOx ² removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NOx ² removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NOx ² removal using Hebba Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Mesh size effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Mesh size effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using \frac{1}{3}\text{gm slag} Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using Hebba Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using natural aeration Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Time effect on% NHz ⁴ removal using natural aeration Fig.(\frac{1}{3}): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(\\frac{1}{2}): Time effect on% NOr\(^2\) removal using \(^1\)rem slag+\(^1\)rem	٥٧
Fig.(17): Mesh size effect on% NO ₇ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(17): Mesh size effect on% NO ₇ removal using ·, 7gm slag+·, 7gm charcoal Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NO ₇ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NO ₇ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NO ₇ removal using ·, 7gm slag+·, 7gm charcoal Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NO ₇ removal using Hebba Fig.(1A): Mesh size effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Mesh size effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using ·, 7gm slag+·, 7gm charcoal Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using Hebba Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using natural aeration Fig.(1A): Time effect on% NH ₂ removal using natural aeration Fig.(1A): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	harcoal	
Fig.(\foralleq'): Mesh size effect on% NOv- removal using \foralleq'\text{gm} \text{slag} + \foralleq'\text{gm} \text{charge} \text{gm} \text{charge} \text{gm} \text{charge} \text{gm} \text{slag} + \foralleq'\text{gm} \text{slag} \text{sign} sign	ig.(1°): Time effect on% NO ⁻ removal using Hebba	٥٨
slag+·, \(^{\frac{1}{3}}\): Time effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Mesh size effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Mesh size effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Mesh size effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Mesh size effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Time effect on\(^{\frac{1}{3}}\). Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(١٦): Mesh size effect on% NO _Y removal using ', 'gm slag	٦.
Fig.(\\^): Time effect on\% NO\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag Fig.(\\^\\^\\^): Time effect on\% NO\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag+\(\gamma^\tau\) gm charcoal Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Mesh size effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Mesh size effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag+\(\gamma^\tau\) gm charcoal Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Time effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Time effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using \(\gamma^\tau\) gm slag+\(\gamma^\tau\) gm charcoal Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Time effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using Hebba Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Time effect on\% NH\(\gamma^\tau\) removal using natural aeration Fig.(\(\gamma^\tau\)): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(۱۷): Mesh size effect on% NO _Y removal using ', gm	٦.
Fig.(\forall^4): Time effect on% NOver removal using \cdot, \forall gm slag+\cdot, \gamma gm charcoal Fig.(\forall^4): Time effect on% NOver removal using Hebba Fig.(\forall^4): Mesh size effect on% NH\(\frac{1}{2}\) removal using \cdot, \gamma gm slag Fig.(\forall^4): Mesh size effect on% NH\(\frac{1}{2}\) removal using \cdot, \gamma gm slag+\cdot, \gamma gm charcoal Fig.(\forall^4): Time effect on% NH\(\frac{1}{2}\) removal using \cdot, \gamma gm slag+\cdot, \gamma gm charcoal Fig.(\forall^4): Time effect on% NH\(\frac{1}{2}\) removal using Hebba Fig.(\forall^4): Time effect on% NH\(\frac{1}{2}\) removal using natural aeration Fig.(\forall^4): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	lag+•, [↑] gm charcoal	
slag+•, \(\gamma\) gm charcoal Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Time effect on% NO\(\gamma\) removal using Hebba Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Mesh size effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using •, \(\gamma\) gm slag Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Mesh size effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using •, \(\gamma\) gm slag+•, \(\gamma\) gm charcoal Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Time effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using •, \(\gamma\) gm slag Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Time effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using Hebba Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Time effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using hebba Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Time effect on% NH\(\gamma\) removal using natural aeration Fig.(\(\gamma\)): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(۱۸): Time effect on% NO ₇ removal using ', 'gm slag	٦١
Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Time effect on% NO $^{-}$ removal using Hebba Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Mesh size effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm slag Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Mesh size effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm slag+ $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm charcoal Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Time effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm slag Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Time effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm slag+ $^{\bullet}$, $^{\Upsilon}$ gm charcoal Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Time effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using Hebba Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Time effect on% NH $^{\pm}$ removal using natural aeration Fig.($^{\Upsilon}$): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(14): Time effect on% NO ₁ removal using .,7gm	٦١
Fig.(**): Mesh size effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using ·, *gm slag Fig.(**): Mesh size effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using ·, *gm slag+·, *gm charcoal Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using ·, *gm slag Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using ·, *gm slag+·, *gm charcoal Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using Hebba Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using hebba Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH _ε ⁺ removal using natural aeration Fig.(**): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	lag+•, [↑] gm charcoal	
Fig.(**): Mesh size effect on% NH ₂ + removal using •,*gm slag+•,*gm charcoal Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using •,*gm slag Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using •,*gm slag+•,*gm charcoal Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using Hebba Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using natural aeration Fig.(**): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.('\'): Time effect on% NO _Y removal using Hebba	٦٢
slag+ ', 'gm charcoal Fig.(''): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} removal using ', 'gm slag Fig.('\(\xi\)): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} removal using ', 'gm slag+ ', 'gm charcoal Fig.('\(\xi\)): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} removal using Hebba Fig.('\(\xi\)): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} removal using natural aeration Fig.('\(\xi\)): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.([*]): Mesh size effect on% NH ₂ ⁺ removal using •, [*] gm slag	٦٣
Fig.(ΥΥ): Time effect on% NHε ⁺ removal using ·, Ygm slag Fig.(Υ): Time effect on% NHε ⁺ removal using ·, Ygm slag+·, Ygm charcoal Fig.(Υ): Time effect on% NHε ⁺ removal using Hebba Fig.(Υ): Time effect on% NHε ⁺ removal using natural aeration Fig.(Υ): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(۲۲): Mesh size effect on% NH ₂ + removal using ·, 7gm	٦٤
Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH:* removal using *,*gm slag+*,*gm charcoal Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH:* removal using Hebba Fig.(**): Time effect on% NH:* removal using natural aeration Fig.(**): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ag+•, ^Y gm charcoal	
slag+', 'gm charcoal Fig.(''): Time effect on% NH _ε + removal using Hebba Fig.(''): Time effect on% NH _ε + removal using natural aeration Fig.(''): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(۲۳): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using ·, 7gm slag	٦٤
Fig.(Υ°): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} ⁺ removal using Hebba Fig.(Υ°): Time effect on% NH _{\(\xi\)} ⁺ removal using natural aeration Fig.(Υ°): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(Y:): Time effect on% NH: removal using ., Ygm	70
Fig.(۲۲): Time effect on% NH: removal using natural aeration Fig.(۲۲): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ag+•, ^Y gm charcoal	
Fig.(YV): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	ig.(Yo): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using Hebba	٦٥
	ig.(۲۲): Time effect on% NH ₂ + removal using natural aeration	٦٧
Fig.(YA): Suggested treatment line	ig.(YV): Schematic diagram for studied Treatment line	٦٨
	ig.(Y^): Suggested treatment line	٨١

List of Pictures

Pictures	Page
Pic. (1): Perkin-Elemer λ_{r_B} double beam spectrophotometer	٣٨

Pic. (*): Shaker £.

Chapter I — Introduction

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

1,1. Study Problem

Egypt has been facing increasing problem of pollution affecting its water resources. Major water bodies in Egypt are receiving loads of domestic, industrial and agriculture pollution loads due to the inadequate and insufficient treatment facilities. This deterioration in Egypt's water quality threats the beneficial use of water.

In addition, pollutants have their bad effects on human being and environment according to their chemical and physical properties.

The industrial wastes represent the most harmful source of pollutants for water. The progress of industrial and technical development increases the amount of polluted wastes. The pollutants may be liquids, solids and gases, which reach to the ground or surface water, mostly without treatment. Pollution of water sources is harmful for the health of human being.

There are no boundaries between different environmental surroundings (solid, liquid, gas). Therefore any pollution to one of them is easily transferred to the other.

Pollution of environmental surroundings results from suspended solids and inorganic dissolved matters, bacteria, algae, radioactive materials, acids, bases and salts.

All the above pollutants come from one of the following sources:

- 1. Domestic wastes
- Y. Pesticides

١

Chapter I — Introduction

- ۳. Fertilizers
- ٤. Industrial wastes
- o. Oil leakages and his slips and pipelines.
- 7. Tourist activities e.g. water crimes at rivers.

1,7. Aim of the work

The present study aims to reduce concentrations of nitrate (NO\(^\text{r}\)-), nitrite (NO\(^\text{r}\)-) and ammonia (NH\(^\text{t}\)+) in industrial wastewater of nitrogenous fertilizers factory by using slag, one to one mixture of slag plus charcoal and natural clay from Aswan commonly known by Hebba. Then, natural aeration is applied to reduce concentration of ammonia due to its nature volatile. The concentrations of nitrate, nitrite and ammonia in treated industrial wastewater must be compatible with Egyptian Environmental Laws for discharging into non-dulcet water because the studied factory drains its wastewater to non-dulcet water.