



A PARAMETRIC STUDY OF THE SHOT PEENING PROCESS

By

Bassam Mohamed Imam Said

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In
Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

A PARAMETRIC STUDY OF THE SHOT PEENING PROCESS

By
Bassam Mohamed Imam Said

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Sherbiny

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdrabou

.....
Professor of
Mechanical Design and Production
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of
Mechanical Design and Production
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

A PARAMETRIC STUDY OF THE SHOT PEENING PROCESS

By
Bassam Mohamed Imam Said

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud G. El-Sherbiny

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud M. Abdrabou

Thesis Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mokhtar O. Abbas

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Waheed Yousry

- Professor Al- Minya University

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer: Bassam Mohamed Imam Said
Date of Birth: 21/8/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Bassam.imam@gmail.com
Phone: 00201112558921
Address: Giza / Egypt
Registration Date: 1/10/2013
Awarding Date:/....../2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Mechanical Design and Production Engineering
Supervisors:



Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Gharib El-Sherbiny
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Abdrabou

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Waheed Yousry	(External Examiner)
Professor Al- Minya University	
Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abbas	(Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Sherbiny	(Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdrabou	(Thesis Advisor)

Title of Thesis:

A Parametric Study of the Shot Peening Process

Key Words:

Shot Peening, FEA, Process planning, Steel AISI 4340, Parametric Study, Residual Stress

Summary:

Shot peening is a cold working process widely used to extend the fatigue life of metallic components that undergo cyclic loading conditions such as in aerospace and automobile industries. Shot peening is one of the most interesting surface treatments as this treatment is controlled by variables that lay under a stochastic and probabilistic nature, attempts are made increasingly to control these variables to represent a controlled environment for the shot peening process. The repeatability and effectiveness of this treatment depends greatly on the process parameters, such as almen intensity and surface coverage. Although great attention is directed to the shot peening finite element modeling (FEM) existing FEM studies are invested in a single shot impact with limited investigation on a collective multiple shot results. In addition, a systematic study to support the selection of the process parameters for general purpose is lacking.

This thesis will provide a detailed study based on experimental setup and finite element models on how different process parameters affect the peening results and this leads to define the limits of beneficial shot peening through the following objectives. The First objective is to analyze the coverage progression of peening indentation through a novel approach using image processing. The second objective is to develop parametric studies to examine the effects of the variation in the process parameters such as shot size, velocity and impact angle. The third objective is to use a novel interpretation algorithm based on the super position principle in assessing the overall induced residual stresses. The fourth objective is a selection procedure designed to decrease the number of experiments required to select the shot peening parameters, the proposed procedure is used to present a novel peening diagram that relates the almen intensity directly to the residual stress.

Acknowledgments

I would first like to thank my advisors Prof. El-Sherbiny and Prof. Abdrabou of faculty of engineering at Cairo University. My professors gave me unconditional support through this research and consistently provided help and advice and steered me when I needed the most. Under their support, I have exceeded my expectations and excelled in this research and in practical life.

I would also like to thank Dr. Chahinaz Abdurrahman of faculty of engineering at Cairo University for her passionate support and her valuable comments.

I would also like to thank Mr. Juchen Fuhr of Curtiss Wright Metal Improvement Company for his invaluable support in providing shot peening services. Without his support, the experimental setup could not have been successfully conducted.

Finally, I must express my profound gratitude to my parents for providing me with consistent support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

Thank you.

Bassam Said

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to all scholars of Cairo University, my family, and my friends....

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
NOMENCLATURE AND ABBREVIATION.....	IX
ABSTRACT.....	XI
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	2
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. SHOT PEENING MECHANICS	3
2.2. STRESS GENERATION MECHANISM	3
2.3. CLASSIFICATION OF PROCESS PARAMETERS.....	5
2.3.1. Process parameters domain of influence	5
2.4. PROCESS CONTROL METHODS	6
2.4.1. Almen Intensity	6
2.4.2. Coverage Control.....	8
2.4.3. Achieving Full Coverage.....	8
2.5. FINITE ELEMENT MODELS OF SHOT PEENING PROCESS.....	9
2.5.1. Existing Models.....	9
2.6. LITERATURE REVIEW SUMMARY	13
CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	15
3.1. OBJECTIVE 1: COVERAGE ANALYSIS	15
3.2. OBJECTIVE 2: PARAMETRIC STUDY ON THE PROCESS PARAMETERS	15
3.3. OBJECTIVE 3: PROCESS RESULTS INTERPRETATION METHOD	15
3.4. OBJECTIVE 4: PROCESS PARAMETERS SELECTION PROCEDURE	16
CHAPTER 4 : COVERAGE ANALYSIS.....	17
4.1. THE APPLICATION AVRAMI EQUATION	17
4.2. IMAGE PROCESSING IN COVERAGE PROGRESSION ANALYSIS.....	18
4.2.1. Algorithm verification against Avrami equation.....	19
4.3. EFFECT OF VARYING THE SHOT SIZE.....	20
CHAPTER 5 : FINITE ELEMENT MODELS.....	23
5.1. GENERAL MODEL IDEALIZATION STRATEGY.....	23
5.1.1. Explicit solver method.....	23
5.1.2. Dynamic analysis modeling.....	23
5.2. FE MODEL PARTS	25
5.3. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.....	27
5.3.1. Target material mechanical properties	27
5.3.2. Shot Mechanical Properties.....	27
5.4. CONTACT PROPERTIES	27
5.5. ASSEMBLY	28
5.6. STEP AND TIME INCREMENT.....	28

5.7.	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS.....	28
5.8.	LOADING CONDITIONS	29
5.9.	COMPONENTS MESH	29
5.9.1.	Convergence analysis	30
5.10.	MULTIPLE SHOT MODEL	31
5.10.1.	Random impact location generator code	31
CHAPTER 6 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND FEM VALIDATION		33
6.1.	PREFACE	33
6.2.	TENSILE TEST SAMPLES PREPARATION	33
6.3.	TENSILE TEST RESULTS	34
6.3.1.	Stress strain diagrams	34
6.3.2.	Material Properties	35
6.4.	SHOT PEENING SETUP	36
6.4.1.	Preparation.....	36
6.4.2.	Peening parameters.....	37
6.4.3.	Peening Results	37
6.5.	RESIDUAL STRESS DETECTION	38
6.5.1.	General	38
6.5.2.	Incremental hole drilling method	38
6.5.3.	Test Objectives	38
6.5.4.	Surface preparation.....	38
6.5.5.	Strain gauge selection and installation	40
6.5.6.	Test Equipment Setup.....	41
6.5.7.	Analysis of Results	43
6.5.8.	Testing Summary.....	45
6.6.	FEM MODEL VALIDATION.....	46
6.6.1.	FEM Experimental Validation.....	46
6.6.2.	FEM Numerical Validation	48
6.6.3.	FEM validation Summary	50
CHAPTER 7 : PARAMETRIC STUDY		51
7.1.	PREFACE	51
7.2.	PARAMETRIC STUDIES STRATEGY	51
7.3.	MATERIAL PROPERTIES USED IN THE PARAMETRIC STUDIES	51
7.4.	PARAMETRIC STUDIES RESULTS EXTRACTION PROCEDURE.....	53
7.5.	VARIATION IN SHOT SIZE	54
7.6.	VARIATION IN SHOT VELOCITY	56
7.7.	CHANGE IN SURFACE ROUGHNESS.....	59
7.8.	VARIATION IN IMPACT ANGLE	62
7.9.	REPEATED SHOTS IN SMALL DOMAIN STUDY	64
CHAPTER 8 PROCEDURE OF PARAMETERS SELECTION.....		69
8.1.	PREFACE	69
8.2.	PROCESS PARAMETERS SELECTION FLOWCHART	69
8.3.	EVALUATION OF SINGLE SHOT MODEL	70
8.4.	MULTIPLE SHOT MODEL RESULTS INTERPRETATION.....	70
8.5.	MULTIPLE SHOT ANALYSIS	72
8.6.	EVALUATION OF THE MULTIPLE SHOT MODEL RESULTS.....	72
8.7.	SELECTED PROCESS PARAMETERS AND ALMEN INTENSITY	74
8.8.	PEENING DIAGRAM.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

8.8.1. Carpet plot of Peening Diagram	74
CHAPTER 9 DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	76
9.1. PARAMETRIC STUDIES DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	77
9.1.1. The effect of shot size.....	77
9.1.2. The effect of shot velocity	77
9.1.3. The effect of peening angle	77
9.1.4. The effect of repetitive impact.....	78
9.1.5. The effect of surface roughness.....	78
9.2. MULTIPLE SHOT ANALYSIS	78
9.2.1. Residual stress evaluation procedure.....	78
REFERENCES.....	79
APPENDIX A: TEST RESULTS	81
APPENDIX B: SINGLE SHOT FEM CODE	89
APPENDIX C: PARAMETRIC STUDY CODE	91
APPENDIX D: RANDOM LOCATION GENERATOR CODE	92
APPENDIX E: PEENED SAMPLES IMAGES.....	93

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Standard Almen strips dimensions. [6].....	6
Table 4.1 Combinations for the coverage development analysis	20
Table 4.2 Effect of shot size on overlapping area results summary	21
Table 5.1 Convergence analysis	30
Table 6.1 Summary of tensile test samples dimensions.....	33
Table 6.2 Summary of Material Properties	35
Table 6.3 Summary of Peening Experiment Parameters	37
Table 7.1 Johnson and Cook model constants.....	52
Table 7.2 Variation in shot size parameters combinations.....	54
Table 7.3 Variation in shot velocity parameters combinations	56
Table 7.4 summary of the dent depth relative to the shot velocity.....	57
Table 7.5 Variation in surface roughness “ μ ” parameters combination	59
Table 7.6 summary of the dent depth relative to the coefficient of friction.....	61
Table 7.7 Parameters Combination.....	62
Table 7.8 Repeated shots parameters combination.....	66
Table 8.1 Parameters selection band.....	70
Table 8.2 Multiple shot model combinations result	72
Table 8.4 Almen Intensity Vs. Residual Stress	73
Table 8.3 Selected process parameters.....	74
Table A.1: Sample D P1 Test Results.....	81
Table A.2: Sample D P2 Test Results.....	82
Table A.3: Sample D P3 Test Results.....	83
Table A.4: Sample D P4 Test Results.....	84
Table A.5: Sample E P1 Test Results	85
Table A.6: Sample E P3 Test Results	86
Table A.7: Sample E P4 Test Results	87
Table A.8: Sample E P5 Test Results	88

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Typical residual stress distribution beneath the shot impact location.....	1
Figure 2.1 Induced residual stress distribution by shot peening [2]	4
Figure 2.2 Typical residual stress distribution	4
Figure 2.3 The effects of peening parameters on residual stress magnitude and distribution. [5]	5
Figure 2.4 Almen intensity measurement procedure [6].....	7
Figure 2.5 Almen saturation curve [6]	7
Figure 2.6 Coverage relative to exposure time [8]	9
Figure 2.7 Initial attempt to model the shot peening process[12]	9
Figure 2.8 2D Axisymmetric finite element model[13].....	10
Figure 2.9 Periodic symmetry cell model[16].....	10
Figure 2.10 Separation distance in FEA of shot peening.[2]	11
Figure 2.11 3D axisymmetric multiple impact model[18].....	11
Figure 2.13 3D finite element model with infinite element on boundary conditions[19]	12
Figure 2.14 A 3D random FEM in normal and oblique impacts[20]	12
Figure 4.1 Coverage development can be described as an exponential rate	18
Figure 4.2 Consolidated series of images describing coverage development.....	20
Figure 4.3 Variation in shot size effects on coverage rate	21
Figure 5.1 Analysis energy plot.....	24
Figure 5.2 Shot 3D model with mass point.....	25
Figure 5.3 Target Material 3D model, Impact region A and buffer region B.....	26
Figure 5.4 Shot component mesh	29
Figure 5.5 Target material mesh showing mesh bias in impact area.....	29
Figure 5.6 Mesh Convergence Analysis	30
Figure 5.7 Randomly generated shots located over impact area	32
Figure 5.8 Randomly generated shots in an oblique orientation	32
Figure 6.1 tensile test samples for each material	34
Figure 6.2 Steel 370 Stress-Strain Diagrams.....	34
Figure 6.3 Steel 720 Stress-Strain Diagrams.....	35
Figure 6.4 True vs. Engineering Stress- Strain Curve for Steel 37	36
Figure 6.5 shot peening surface area.....	36
Figure 6.6 detailed view of the peened surface	37
Figure 6.7 Shot peened surface condition before A and after light abrasion B.....	39
Figure 6.8 Surface roughness profile prior to abrasion Ra=5.5 STD=0.175 of steel sample E	39
Figure 6.9 Surface roughness profile of steel sample E after light abrasion Ra=5.4 and STD=0.209	39
Figure 6.10 Strain Gauges installation locations on sample E steel 720	40
Figure 6.11 Strain Gauges installation locations on sample D Steel 370	40
Figure 6.12 NPL/Stresscraft 3D hole drilling apparatus.....	41
Figure 6.13 NPL/Stresscraft 3D hole drilling apparatus setup and connection	42
Figure 6.14 Hole drilling process using inverted cone cutter.....	42
Figure 6.15 residual stress σ_1 of sample D steel 370 from positions P1 and P2 shot peened surface	43

Figure 6.16 residual stress σ_1 of sample D steel 370 from positions P3 and P4 as received surface	44
Figure 6.17 residual stress σ_1 of sample E steel 720 from positions P1 and P3 shot peened surface	44
Figure 6.18 residual stress σ_1 of sample E steel 720 from positions P4 and P5 as received surface	45
Figure 6.19 FEM validation with Sample D-P1	47
Figure 6.20 FEM validation with Sample E-P1	47
Figure 6.21 Residual stress profiles for velocities 50, 75, 100 m/s of S.A. Meguid, et al	48
Figure 6.22 Residual Stress profile validation for shot velocity 50 m/s.....	49
Figure 6.23 Residual Stress profile validation for shot velocity 75 m/s.....	49
Figure 6.24 Residual Stress profile validation for shot velocity 100 m/s.....	50
Figure 7.1 Effect of material flow model on IR stress distribution	52
Figure 7.2 the path plot of nodes highlighted in red.....	53
Figure 7.3 The path plot of residual stresses component S11	53
Figure 7.4 Shot size vs. I.R Stress	55
Figure 7.5 Shot size vs. dent depth	55
Figure 7.6 Induced residual stress combined path plots for each combination.....	57
Figure 7.7 The dent depth shows a near linear relationship with the increase of velocity	57
Figure 7.8 Strain across dent length.....	58
Figure 7.9 the path plot of nodes highlighted in red.....	60
Figure 7.10 Induced residual stress combined path plots, a negligible increase in the residual stress can be observed with the increase of the surface roughness.....	60
Figure 7.11 Dent depth and the Built-up edge trend vs. Coefficient of friction.....	61
Figure 7.12 Induced residual stress combined path plots for each study combination..	63
Figure 7.13 Effect of the impact angle on the dent depth	63
Figure 7.14 Dent geometry vs. impact angle.....	64
Figure 7.15 sample arrangement of shot before impact.....	65
Figure 7.16 Impact Area.....	65
Figure 7.17 Paths locations in the impact area	66
Figure 7.18 Path distribution plot for Job REP-20 with average residual stress distribution “AVG”	67
Figure 7.19 Impact repetition vs. IR stress.....	68
Figure 8.1 Selection procedure flow chart	69
Figure 8.2 Red curves represent the residual stress distribution in the surface area, it can be noted that the distributions are not uniform which is the practical case of shot peening.	71
Figure 8.3 Element distribution at required depth layer, a sample of 19*12 evenly distributed elements are used to collect the residual stress σ_{res}	71
Figure 8.4 Surface condition after peening simulation by multiple shot model	72
Figure 8.5 Shot velocity and shot size versus almen intensity	74
Figure 8.6 Carpet plot of the peening diagram.....	76
Figure E.1 Peened Sample F	93
Figure E.2 Peened Sample C	93

Nomenclature and Abbreviation

A	Indentation rate
AVG	Average stress plot path
C	Coverage percentage
$\dot{\epsilon}_0$	reference strain rate
ϵ_1	relaxed strains
F	friction force
FEA	Finite element analysis
FEM	Finite element model
GPa	Giga pascal
H1	strain hardening parameter
I. R Stress	Induced residual stress
L_c	Cut off Length
Mpa	Mega Pascal
m_s	Shot mass
N	normal force
P	momentum
Ra	average roughness
S	residual stress standard deviation
S11	Stress in X direction
S33	stress in Z direction
Sic	Silicon carbide
STD	standard deviation
T	Time
t	time
T*	influence of temperature
t_l	Depth of maximum compressive residual stress
T_l	current temperature
t_2	Depth where the residual stress changes sign (from compressive to tensile).
T_{room}	room temperature
u	coefficient of friction
UTS	Ultimate tensile strength
v_s	Shot velocity
WP	target material
μm	micro meter
$\overline{\sigma_{res}}$	average residual stress

$\sigma_{ind_{ij}}$	induced residual stress in direction i and j
σ_1	Principal Stress in X axis
σ_3	Principal Stress in Z axis
σ_{max}	Maximum compressive residual stress
σ_{res}	Residual stress
σ_{surf}	Surface compressive residual stress
σ_t	Maximum tensile residual stress
τ_{13}	shear stress

Abstract

Shot peening is a cold working process widely used to extend the fatigue life of metallic components that undergo cyclic loading conditions such as in aerospace and automobile industries. Shot peening is one of the most interesting surface treatments as this treatment is controlled by variables that lay under a stochastic and probabilistic nature, attempts are made increasingly to control these variables to represent a controlled environment for the shot peening process. The repeatability and effectiveness of this treatment depends greatly on the process parameters, such as almen intensity and surface coverage. Although great attention is directed to the shot peening finite element modeling (FEM) existing FEM studies are invested in a single shot impact with limited investigation on a collective multiple shot results. In addition, a systematic study to support the selection of the process parameters for general purpose is lacking.

This thesis will provide a detailed insight based on experimental setup and finite element models on how different process parameters affect the peening results. This leads to represent and define the limits of beneficial shot peening through the following objectives. The first objective is to analyze the coverage progression of peening indentation through a novel approach using image processing. The second objective of this research is to develop parametric studies to examine the effects of the process parameters such as shot size, velocity, and impact angle. The third objective is to use a novel interpretation algorithm based on the super position principle in assessing the overall induced residual stresses. The fourth objective is a selection procedure designed to decrease the number of experiments required to select the peening parameters, the proposed procedure is used to present a novel peening diagram that relates the almen intensity directly to the residual stress.