



# Short circuit current calculation for full converter based wind power plants

By

### Abdelazeem Hassan Shehata Atyia

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE in Electrical Power and Machines Engineering





# Short circuit current calculation for full converter based wind power plants

## By **Abdelazeem Hassan Shehata Atyia**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE in Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. **Zeinab H. M. Osman** Prof. Dr. **Nabil M. Ayad** 

Professor of Power Systems

Electrical Power and Machines

Department, Faculty of

Engineering, Cairo University

Professor

Nuclear F

Egyptian

Authority

Professor at Reactors Department, Nuclear Research Center, Egyptian Atomic Energy

### Dr. Amgad Amin El-Deib

Assistant Professor Electrical Power and Machines Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2018





# Short circuit current calculation for full converter based wind power plants

## By **Abdelazeem Hassan Shehata Atyia**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE in Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Zeinab Mohamed Osman,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah El-Sobky (jr.),

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. **Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz**, Electrical Power and Machines Department, Ain Shams Faculty of Engineering

External Examiner

Engineer's Name: Abdelazeem Hassan Shehata Atyia

**Date of Birth:** 4/4/1989 **Nationality:** Egyptain

E-mail: abdelazeem\_hassan@yahoo.com

**Phone:** 01146477198

Address: Zagazig-EL-Sharqia

**Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2012. **Awarding Date:** / 2018. **Degree:** Master of Science

**Department:** Electrical Power and Machines Engineering

**Supervisors:** 

Prof. Dr. Zeinab H. M. Osman

Prof. Dr. Nabil Mohamed Ayad, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority

Dr. Amgad Amin EL-Deib

**Examiners:** 

Prof. Dr. Almoataz Youssef Abdelaziz Mohammed (External Examiner)

Electrical Power and Machines Department, Ain Shams Faculty of

Engineering

Prof. Mohamed Salah El Sobki (Internal Examiner)

Porf. Dr. Zeinab H. M. Osman (Thesis Main Advisor)

#### **Title of Thesis:**

# Short circuit current calculation for full converter based wind power plants

#### **Key Words:**

Full converter based wind turbines; Short circuit current calculation; Fault ride through; Generic model

#### **Summary:**

This thesis presents a new method for calculating steady state short circuit current of full converter based wind generator using generic model, taken into consideration Low Voltage Ride Through requirements and voltage support according to the grid codes. To evaluate the performance of the method, two case studies have been performed. The method has been implemented in Matlab, and the results are compared with that deduced from dynamic simulation using Power World Simulator program that contains the generic model of the full converter based wind power plants the difference in the results is within 1%, which confirms the accuracy of the proposed method.

Insert photo here

### Acknowledgment

Praise be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds, who taught me what I did not know. It is my honor to thank my supervisor Prof.Dr. Zeinab H. Osman for her excellent thesis supervision, continuous support, advice to me and her generous treatment as her son. As I thank my supervisor Dr.Amgad El-Deib for his valuable information which helped me in ending this thesis, on a personal level, he fully supported me and continued to encourage me and he was credited after God for accomplishing this thesis, and his valuable advices that help me not only in my thesis, but also in my life. I also thank Dr.Nabil Ayad, Dr Salah EL Morshidy, and Dr. Ayman Azab for their continuous support in my work. I also thank my brothers and friends for their constant encouragement to get a Master degree. I would like to thank my colleagues Eng. Montaser Belah Medhat, Eng.Mohamed Karim, and Dr. Muhammad Mansy for their continuous support. In the end, I would like to thank my beloved mother, who has always supported me and stood beside me in hard times.

## Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my dad, brothers, and friends .

# Table of contents

| ACKN                                   | OWLEDGEMENTS   | i   |
|--|--|---|
| DEDIC                                  | CATION   | ii  |
| TABL                                   | E OF CONTENTS  | iii   |
| LIST (                                 | OF FIGURES   | vii   |
| LIST (                                 | OF TABLES  | ix  |
| NOME                                   | ENCLATURE  | xi  |
| ABST                                   | RACT   | xiii  |
| 1.1<br>1.2<br>1.3<br>1.4<br>1.5<br>1.6 | Background  Motivation  Problem statement  Thesis objective  Power system simulation tool  Thesis organization   | 1<br>1<br>1<br>2<br>2<br>3                                  |
| 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br>2.4<br>2.5        | Introduction Wind turbine types  2.2.1 Fixed-speed wind turbines (Type 1)  2.2.2 Semi variable speed WTs (Type 2)  2.2.3 Doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) (Type 3)  2.2.4 Full converter cased wind turbine (Type 4)  Gride code requirements  Importance of fault ride through capability  LVRT Requirements in National Grid Codes  2.5.1 Denmark  2.5.2 Germany  2.5.3 Spain | 4<br>4<br>4<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>10<br>12 |
|  | 2.5.4 Egyptian grid code requirements  | 13<br>15  |

|        | 2.5.6 USA   | 16         |
|--------|---|------------|
|        | 2.5.7 Canada  | 16         |
| 2.6    |   | 18         |
| 2.7    | Reactive current injection for voltage support                          | 19         |
| 2.8    | Comparison between FRT requirements in national grid codes              | 19         |
| 2.9    |   | 20         |
|        |   |            |
| Chapte |   | 21         |
| 3.1    |   | 21         |
| 3.2    | <i>v</i> 1  | 21         |
| 3.3    |   | 22         |
| 3.4    | V   | 23         |
| 3.5    |   | 24         |
| 3.6    | Difference between short circuit behavior of synchronous generator and  |            |
|        | voltage source converter wind turbine generator                         | 24         |
| 3.7    | Short-circuit analysis methods  | 25         |
| 3.8    | The classification of SC calculation methods according to applications  | 25         |
|        | 3.8.1 Planning conditions   | 25         |
|        | 3.8.2 Operating conditions  | 26         |
| 3.9    | Standards for short-circuit calculations                                | 26         |
| 3.10   | Standard methods for short circuit calculation                          | 26         |
|        |   | 27         |
|        | <u> </u>  | 28         |
|        |   |            |
| Chapte | <i>v</i> 1  | <b>2</b> 9 |
| 4.1    |   | 29         |
| 4.2    | V-1   | 29         |
| 4.3    | Generic models industry groups  | 30         |
| 4.4    | 1 0   | 30         |
| 4.5    | Generic model properties  | 30         |
| 4.6    | Wind Generation   | 30         |
|        | 4.6.1 Wind power plant topology   | 30         |
|        | 4.6.2 Single machine equivalent representation (aggregation of multiple |            |
|        | $\mathrm{WTs})$   | 31         |
| 4.7    | Generic models for WTs  | 32         |
| 4.8    | Generic model parameters  | 36         |
|        | 4.8.1 Model validation test   | 36         |
|        | 4.8.2 EPRI model validation programs                                    | 37         |
|        | 4.8.3 Parameters of WT type 4 WECC generic model                        | 37         |
| 4.9    | Summary   | 38         |
| 1.0    |   | 00         |
| Chapte | er 5: Integrating wind power plants with nuclear facilities             | 39         |
| 5.1    | Introduction  | 39         |
| 5.2    | Connecting a Nuclear Power Plant to the Grid                            | 39         |
| 5.3    | ~   | 40         |
| 5.4    | Generic Model Data for NPP Generator                                    | 40         |
| 5.5    |   | 43         |
| 5.6    | Summary   | 43         |

| Chapte | er 6:   | Developed method for steady state fault current calculation                      | 44 |
|--------|---------|--|----|
| 6.1    | Introd  | uction   | 44 |
| 6.2    | Needi   | ng a new method to compute fault current of VSC WTs                              | 44 |
| 6.3    | Pre-fai | ult operation of WTs   | 44 |
| 6.4    | After f | fault  | 45 |
| 6.5    | Develo  | oped method for short-circuit calculations                                       | 45 |
|        | 6.5.1   | Pre fault load flow calculations   | 45 |
|        | 6.5.2   | Fault study of type 4 WTG, detailed model  | 47 |
|        | 6.5.3   | Developed steps for short circuit calculations                                   | 48 |
| 6.6    | Summ    | ary  | 54 |
| Chapte | er 7:   | Results and Discussion   | 56 |
| 7.1    | Case I  | : WECC 6 - Bus system  | 56 |
| 7.2    | Dynan   | nic simulation results   | 57 |
|        | 7.2.1   | Injected reactive current from WPP   | 57 |
|        | 7.2.2   | WT terminal voltage  | 59 |
|        | 7.2.3   | Voltage at the bus 3   | 61 |
|        | 7.2.4   | Reactive current contribution by type 4 WTs                                      | 63 |
|        | 7.2.5   | Active and reactive fault current contribution by type 4 WTs                     | 65 |
|        | 7.2.6   | Active and reactive WTs converter limits   | 67 |
| 7.3    | Applic  | eation of developed method and comparison with PWS                               | 68 |
|        | 7.3.1   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j  0.2$ pu , $k = 0  \dots  \dots  \dots$             | 68 |
|        | 7.3.2   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j  0.2$ pu , $k = 2  \dots  \dots  \dots$             | 70 |
|        | 7.3.3   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j  0.2$ pu , $k = 4  \dots  \dots  \dots$             | 71 |
|        | 7.3.4   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j  0.2$ pu , $k = 6  \dots  \dots  \dots$             | 72 |
|        | 7.3.5   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j 0.2$ pu , $k = 8 \ldots \ldots \ldots$              | 72 |
|        | 7.3.6   | Fault at bus 3 with $Z_f = j  0.2$ pu , $k = 10  \ldots  \ldots  \ldots  \ldots$ | 73 |
|        | 7.3.7   | Fault currents with different values of k  | 74 |
| 7.4    | Case I  | I: Modified WSCC 9 - Bus system with added aggregated model                      | 75 |
|        | 7.4.1   | Background description   | 75 |
|        | 7.4.2   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j  0.1$ pu, and $k = 0  \ldots  \ldots  \ldots$       | 76 |
|        | 7.4.3   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j  0.1$ pu, and $k = 2  \dots  \dots  \dots$          | 77 |
|        | 7.4.4   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j  0.1$ pu, and $k = 4  \dots  \dots  \dots$          | 77 |
|        | 7.4.5   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j  0.1$ pu, and $k = 6  \dots  \dots  \dots$          | 78 |
|        | 7.4.6   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j  0.1$ pu, and $k = 8  \dots  \dots  \dots$          | 78 |
|        | 7.4.7   | Fault at bus 5 with $Z_f = j \ 0.1$ pu, and $k = 10 \ldots \ldots$               | 79 |
|        | 7.4.8   | Fault at bus 8 with $Z_f = j \ 0.1$ pu, and $k = 0 \ \dots \dots \dots$          | 80 |
|        | 7.4.9   | Fault at bus 8 with $Z_f = j \ 0.1$ pu, and $k = 2 \ \dots \ \dots \ \dots$      | 80 |
|        | 7.4.10  | Fault at bus 8 with $X_f = j \ 0.1$ pu, and $k = 4 \dots \dots \dots$            | 81 |
|        | 7.4.11  | Fault at bus 8 with $Z_f = j \ 0.1$ pu, and $k = 6 \dots \dots \dots$            | 81 |
|        | 7.4.12  |  |    |
|        |         | j 0.1 and fault at bus 8   | 81 |
|        | 7.4.13  | Fault at bus 6 with $K=2$ , with different values of $Z_f$                       | 84 |
| 7.5    |         | bution of fault current from system generators at different fault lo-            |    |
|        |         | 5  | 85 |
| 7.6    |         | ary  | 86 |
| Chapte | er 8:   | Conclusion and Future Work   | 87 |
| -      | Conclu  |  | 87 |

| 8.2 Future Work |   | 88 |
|-----------------|---|----|
| Appendix A:     | WECC 6-Bus system data                        | 93 |
| Appendix B:     | IEEE 9-Bus system data                        | 94 |
| Appendix C:     | Results of load flow of the WECC 6-Bus system | 95 |

# List of Figures

| Figure 2.1     | Typical Configuration of a Type 1 WTG                                  | 5  |
|----------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.2     | Typical Configuration of a Type 2 WTG                                  | 5  |
| Figure 2.3     | Typical Configuration of a Type 3 WTG                                  | 6  |
| Figure 2.4     | Typical Configuration of a Type 4 WTG                                  | 7  |
| Figure 2.5     | Voltage dips during a three-phase short circuit [11]                   | 9  |
| Figure 2.6     | Voltage profile for simulation of symmetric three-phase faults [12] .  | 10 |
| Figure 2.7     | Voltage limit values for disconnection of type 2 GUs during grid fault | 11 |
| Figure 2.8     | Voltage support requirement from E.On in the event of grid faults .    | 12 |
| Figure 2.9     | Low voltage ride through capability for wind turbines in the Spanish   |    |
| transm         | aission grid code  | 13 |
| Figure 2.10    | Reactive current injection requirements according to the Spanish       |    |
| grid co        | des  | 13 |
| Figure 2.11    | Fault ride through profile for a Wind Farm                             | 14 |
| Figure 2.12    | Temporary voltage drops due to a non-successful auto-reclosure         | 14 |
| Figure 2.13    | Current injection during the fault                                     | 15 |
| Figure 2.14    | Voltage profile for fault ride-through capability in italy             | 16 |
| Figure 2.15    | Minimum response of the wind power plant required to extra low         |    |
| voltage        | e in USA   | 16 |
| $Figure\ 2.16$ | FRT capability from Hydro-Quebec for wind turbine generators           |    |
| during         | three phase short circuit  | 17 |
| Figure 2.17    | Fault ride through requirements from AESO-Alberta                      | 18 |
| Figure 2.18    | AWEA Low Voltage Ride Through capability until end 2006                | 18 |
| Figure 2.19    | Review of fault ride through requirements for wind power in Euro-      |    |
| pean g         | rid codes  | 20 |
| Figure 3.1     | Different types of short circuits                                      | 22 |
| Figure 3.2     | Transmission line representation model                                 | 22 |
| Figure 3.3     | Waveform of a fault current on a transmission line                     | 23 |
| Figure 3.4     | Symmetrical fault armature current of synchronous machine              | 24 |
| Figure 3.5     | Linear generator model for short circuit studies                       | 24 |
| Figure 3.6     | Different options of fault calculation using Power Word Simulator      |    |
| progra         | m  | 27 |
| Figure 3.7     |  | 28 |
| Figure 4.1     | Wind power plant topology  | 31 |
| Figure 4.2     | Single-machine equivalent power flow representation                    | 32 |
| Figure 4.3     | The VSC generator wind turbine model                                   | 32 |
| Figure 4.4     | Renewable energy generator/converter model A $(regc\_a)$               | 33 |
| Figure 4.5     | Options for the reactive power control path in the reec_a model [41]   | 35 |
| Figure 4.6     | Plant active power controller model                                    | 36 |

| Figure 4.7 |  | 36 |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.8 | Type 4 data entry parameter menu   | 37 |
| Figure 5.1 | Electrical connections of the NPP to two substations [44] $\dots$                      | 40 |
| Figure 6.1 | Representation of wind farms for steady-state power flow analysis .                    | 45 |
| Figure 6.2 | Power system representation  | 46 |
| Figure 6.3 | Power system representation with a fault at bus $f$                                    | 48 |
| Figure 6.4 | Injected reactive current for grid voltage support according to the                    |    |
|            | n code   | 52 |
| Figure 6.5 | Overall generic model structure for type 4 WTG [40]                                    | 53 |
| Figure 6.6 | The alternative generator/converter model [40]   | 54 |
| Figure 6.7 | Flow chart of developed method   | 55 |
| Figure 7.1 |  | 57 |
| Figure 7.2 | ·  | 58 |
| Figure 7.3 | Injected reactive current for k=2  | 59 |
| Figure 7.4 |  | 60 |
| Figure 7.5 |  | 61 |
| Figure 7.6 |  | 62 |
| Figure 7.7 |  | 63 |
| Figure 7.8 |  | 64 |
| Figure 7.9 |  | 65 |
| _          |  | 66 |
| _          |  | 67 |
| _          |  | 68 |
| _          | Percentage errors of SSPWS and developed method compared with                          |    |
|            | ·  | 69 |
| _          | Fault currents of wind turbine and synchronous generator at differ-                    |    |
|            | , , ,  | 75 |
|            | The modified WSCC 9-bus system with added aggregated model                             | 76 |
| _          | Fault currents of wind turbine, synchronous generator at bus one                       |    |
|            | uclear power plant at different values of k and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$ at bus 5            | 80 |
| _          | Percentage errors of steady state PWS and developed method com-                        |    |
| -          | with dynamic PWS results for 9 - Bus system  | 82 |
| _          | The comparison between the error of the developed method and the                       |    |
|            | state PWS method for $I_{SG1}$   | 83 |
| _          | The comparison between the error of the developed method and the                       |    |
|            | state PWS method for $I_{NPP}$   | 84 |
|            | $I_{sorc}$ , $I_{SG1}$ , and $I_{NPP}$ at bus one and Nuclear power plant at different |    |
| buses f    | for $Z_f = i  0.1  pu$ , $k = 2  \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$                  | 86 |

## List of Tables

| Table 2.1 Summary of fault ride through requirements for wind turbines in various national grid codes   | 19             |
|---|----------------|
| Table 4.1 Reactive power control options  | 34             |
| Table 5.1 Parameters of the IEEE Type1 Excitation system of the NPP Table 5.2 Parameters of the TGOV1 steam turbine governor of the NPP Table 5.3 Parameters of the GENROU Round Rotor Generator of the NPP   | 41<br>41<br>42 |
| Table 7.1 Dynamic power world simulator and developed method results Table 7.2 Developed method and steady state power world simulator results . Table 7.3 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k=0$ and $Z_f=j0.2pu$ Table 7.4 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k=0$ and $Z_f=j0.2pu$ , calculated from SSPWS and Developed method | 69<br>69<br>70 |
| Table 7.5 Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus at $k=2$ and $Z_f=j0.2pu$   | 70             |
| Table 7.6 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k = 2$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$  | 71             |
| Table 7.7 Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus at $k = 4$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$   | 71             |
| Table 7.8 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k = 4$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$  | 71             |
| Table 7.9 Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus at $k = 6$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$   | 72             |
| Table 7.10 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k = 6$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$   | 72             |
| Table 7.11 Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus at $k = 8$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$  | 73             |
| Table 7.12 Current of wind turbine and SG at $k = 8$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$   | 73             |
| Table 7.13 Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus at $k = 10$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pc$   | ι 73           |
| Table 7.14 current of wind turbine and SG at $k = 10$ and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$  | 74             |
| Table 7.15 Fault currents of wind turbine and synchronous generator at different  |                |
| values of k and $Z_f = j  0.2  pu$  | 74             |
| Table 7.16 Voltage magnitude and angle at buses at $k = 0$ and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$   |                |
| and fault at bus 5  | 76             |
| Table 7.17 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k=0$ and $Z_f=j0.1pu$  | 76             |
| Table 7.18 Voltage magnitude and angle at buses at $k = 2$ and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$ ,   |                |
| and fault at bus 5  | 77             |
| Table 7.19 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k = 2$ and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$ , and  |                |
| fault at bus 5  | 77             |
| Table 7.20 Voltage magnitude, and angle at buses at $k = 4$ , and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$  |                |
| and fault at bus 5  | 78             |
| Table 7.21 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k = 4$ , and $Z_f = j \ 0.1 \ pu$  | 78             |
| Table 7.22 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k=6$ and $Z_f=j0.1pu$  | 78             |
| Table 7.23 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k = 8$ , and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$  | 79             |
| Table 7.24 Current of wind turbine, and SGs at $k=10$ and $Z_f=j0.1pu$  | 79             |
| Table 7.25 Fault currents of wind turbine ,synchronous generator at bus one   |                |
| and Nuclear power plant at different values of k and $Z_i = i 0.1 m$  | 79             |

| Table 7.26 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k = 0$ and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$ , and                           |    |
|--|----|
| fault at bus 8   | 80 |
| Table 7.27 $Iq_{inj}$ , $I_{sorcc}$ , $I_{SG1}$ , and $I_{NPP}$ at $k=2$ and $Z_f=j\ 0.1\ pu$ , and fault at |    |
| bus 8  | 81 |
| Table 7.28 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k = 4$ and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$ , and                           |    |
| fault at bus 8   | 81 |
| Table 7.29 Current of wind turbine and SGs at $k=6$ and $Z_f=j0.1pu$   | 81 |
| Table 7.30 Wind Turbine fault currents at different values of k and $Z_f = j \ 0.1 \ pu$                     | 82 |
| Table 7.31 Synchronous generator current at bus 1 at different values of k and                               | റെ |
| $Z_f = j0.1pu$   | 83 |
| and $Z_f = j  0.1  pu$   | 84 |
| Table 7.33 Injected reactive current by WPP at different values of $Z_f$ and $K=2$                           | 85 |
| Table 7.34 Synchronous generator current at bus 1 fault current at different                                 |    |
| values of $Z_f$ and $K=2$  | 85 |
| Table 7.35 $I_{NPP}$ at different values of $Z_f$ and $K=2$  | 85 |
| Table 7.36 Fault current contribution by WPP at different values of $Z_f$ and $K=2$                          | 85 |
| Table 7.37 $I_{sorc}$ , $I_{SG1}$ , and $I_{NPP}$ at $k=2$ and $Z_f=j0.1pu$ at different bus                 |    |
| locations  | 85 |
| Table A.1 Line data for 6 Bus system   | 93 |
| Table A.2 Bus data for 6 Bus system $(S_{base} = 100MVA) \dots \dots \dots$                                  | 93 |
| J ( wasc )   |    |
| Table B.1 Line data for for 9 Bus System with WPP aggregated model   | 94 |
| Table B.2 Bus data for 9 Bus System with WPP aggregated model ( $S_{base} =$                                 |    |
| 100MVA)  | 94 |
| Table C.1 Pre-fault Voltage magnitude and angle at each bus  | 95 |
| Table C.2 Pre-fault wind turbine currents  | 95 |

## Nomenclature

ANSI American National Standards Institute

DFIG Doubly Fed Induction Generator

DVS Dynamic Voltage Sustain

E.ON European holding company, Germany

ETRR1 The first nuclear reactor in Egypt

ETRR2 The second nuclear reactor in Egypt

FCB Full Converter Based

FRC Full Rated Converter

FRT Fault Ride Through

GU Generating Unit

IB Required reactive current change during fault

IBDG Inverter Based Distributed Generation

IEC International Electrotechnical Commission

LVRT Low Voltage Ride Through

NPP Nuclear Power Plant

PCC Point of Common Coupling

PMSG Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator

POC Point of Connection

POI Point of interconnection

pu per unit

REMV Renewable Energy Model Validation

SAVNW Case comprises a simple power system network. It shows how to build a case in PSS/E and the kind of data input required to study power flow, fault analysis and dynamic behavior of power system network.