

## *Acknowledgement*

*I am deeply thankful to "**Allah**" by the grace of whom, this work was possible.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude and profound thanks to professor **Dr. Ismail Ahmed Hammoda**, Professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al Azhar University, for his sincere help, and continuous support. He devoted a lot of his precious time for supervision, valuable guidance and continuous encouragement in completing this work.*

*I am particularly thankful to **Dr, Mahmoud Seddik Hassan**, Assistant Professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al Azhar University, for his indispensable guidance in initiating and supervising this work and for his great help in the study.*

*My sincere thanks and deep regards are also to the staff members, our professors and colleagues of the Orthopedic Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al –Azhar University. The facilities provided, continuous encouragement and outstanding suggestions through this work are greatly appreciated.*

*Ashraf S. El-sharkawy*

*Contents*

List of abbreviations.....	I
List of figures.....	II
Aim of the work.....	VII
Introduction .....	VIII
Anatomy .....	1
Biomechanics .....	12
Mechanism of injury.....	22
Diagnosis.....	26
Classification.....	34
Treatment .....	44
Postoperative management.....	70
Complications.....	73
Case presentation.....	75
Summary and conclusion.....	93
References.....	96
Arabic summary.....	1



*List of abbreviations*

Abbreviations	Description
AITFL	Anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament
AO	Association Orthopedic
AP	Anteroposterior
CT	Computerized tomography
DTTL	Distal tibio talar ligament
EDL	Extensor digitorum longus
EMG	Electromyography
GA	Gastrocnemius muscle
HS	Heel strike <sup>l</sup>
ITL	Inferior transverse tibiofibular ligament
K wire	Kirschner wire
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
O.R.I.F	Open reduction & internal fixation
PITFL	Posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament
PL	Peroneus longus muscle
PL	Posterolateral
PM	Posteromedial
ROM	Range of motion
SO	Soleus muscle
STJ	Subtalar Joint
TA	Tibialis anterior

## List of figures

---

### *List of figures*

Figure number	Description	Page number
Figure 1	Picture of the Ankle showing bony structure of the ankle	2
Figure 2	Left talus from above	3
Figure 3	Showing medial view of the anatomic dissection of the main components of the medial collateral ligament.	5
Figure 4	Osteoarticular anatomic dissection of the lateral ligaments of the foot and ankle joint.	7
Figure 5	Posterior view of the anatomic dissection of the ankle ligaments showing the posterior intermalleolar ligament with its relation to the surrounding anatomy.	8
Figure 6	Anatomic view of the anterior ligaments of the ankle.	9
Figure 7	Clinical photograph of a cadaver dissection of the posterior ankle.	11
Figure 8	Picture showing joints at the ankle complex	12
Figure 9	Axis of rotation (posterior & superior views)	14
Figure 10	Ligaments of the ankle	19
Figure 11	Supination-external rotation injury (stage 3)	22
Figure 12	Pronation-abduction injury (stage2)	23
Figure 13	Mechanism of injury of isolated posterior malleolus fracture	25
Figure 14	AP Ankle. The medial malleolus and inferior surface of the tibia, lateral malleolus of the fibula, and the body of the talus make up the ankle joint	28
Figure 15	Lateral Ankle: The medial malleolus of the tibia, lateral malleolus of the fibula and inferior surface of the tibia articulate with the dorsal surface of the body of the talus	29
Figure 16	Key measurements on the mortise view of the ankle	30
Figure 17	Lateral radiograph demonstrating Posterior talar subluxation with an Associated large posterior malleolus fracture	31

## List of figures

---

Figure 18	AP radiograph demonstrating the double contour sign (arrow) Proximal to the medial malleolus, indicating the presence of a posterior malleolus fracture with posteromedial extension	32
Figure 19	Typical appearance of the posterior malleolar fracture	33
Figure 20	Lauge-Hansen classification of supination-adduction of the ankle	35
Figure 21	Lauge-Hansen classification of supination-external rotation of the ankle	36
Figure 22	Lauge-Hansen classification of pronation-abduction of the ankle	37
Figure 23	Lauge-Hansen classification of pronation-external rotation of the ankle	38
Figure 24	Danis-Weber classification	40
Figure 25	Axial CT scans demonstrating the three types of posterior malleolus fracture pattern, based on the CT analysis of Haraguchi et al	42
Figure 26	Intra operative photograph of the standard Posterolateral incision used for this fracture (solid line). The sural nerve (dashed line) is at risk in the proximal aspect Of the incision and must be identified and protected	51
Figure 27	Intra operative view demonstrating the posteromedial(PM) and the posterolateral (PL) fracture fragments as visualized through a single posterolateral approach	52
Figure 28	The posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (black arrow) must be preserved to maintain the integrity of the Syndesmosis	53
Figure 29	(A) Lateral fluoroscopic image with provisional fixation of the posteromedial and posterolateral fracture fragments. Note that the contour of the joint is restored; however, there is lack of compression of the posterior fracture fragments. (B) With buttress plate fixation of both fragments and compression of the fracture fragments, the joint is anatomically restored	54
Figure 30	Intra operative photograph showing the final fixation of the posteromedial and posterolateral	55

## List of figures

---

	fragment with one-third tubular plates	
Figure 31	(A) Small anteromedial fracture fragment that occurs with this particular injury. (B) Intra operative view depicts the small fragment (black arrow) that has been retracted with a dental pick. With this exposure, the joint can be visualized and concomitant articular injuries can be addressed. (C) Intra operative fluoroscopy demonstrates the final construct with a single 2.4-mm screw placed within the anteromedial fragment for fixation	56
Figure 32	Posteromedial approach with exposure of posterior tibial and medial malleolar fracture	58
Figure 33	Lateral approach for fibular exposure	59
Figure 34	Initial fibular reduction and fixation with reduction of the posterior malleolus and provisional stabilization with pointed reduction forceps	60
Figure 35	Percutaneous drilling for lag screw	61
Figure 36	Technique for determining depth of drilling	62
Figure 37	Mortise and lateral views of a supination-external rotation stage IV fracture sustained in a parachute jump	63
Figure 38	Mortise and lateral views of final ORIF	64
Figure 39	CT scan reveals the posterior malleolus anatomically reduced and the cortical lag screw in good position	64
Figure 40	(A) Anteroposterior and (B) lateral radiographs are shown of an injury treated with posterior malleolar fixation. Medial Clear space widening and a small posterior malleolus fracture can be seen. (C) Anteroposterior and (D) lateral radiographs obtained after surgery show posterior malleolus stabilization to recreate the tibial Incisura. The posterior malleolus and fibula are restored anatomically with plate and screw constructs and no syndesmotomic screws are used.	69
Figure 41	Pre operative mortise view of fractured ankle(case 1)	75

## List of figures

Figure 42	Preoperative A/P and lateral views of fractured ankle (case 1)	76
Figure 43	Immediate postoperative x rays (A/P and lateral views)(case 1)	76
Figure 44	Post operative A/P and lateral views x ray (4 months after operation)(case 1)	77
Figure 45	Post operative x ray A/P and lateral views (after 6 months)(case 1)	77
Figure 46	Shows preoperative A/P and lateral views of fractured left ankle (case 2).	79
Figure 47	Shows preoperative mortise view of fractured left ankle (case 2).	79
Figure 48	Shows immediate postoperative x ray of fractured left ankle( case 2)	80
Figure 49	Shows postoperative A/P and lateral view follow up x ray (after 3 month)(case 2)	81
Figure 50	Preoperative lateral and mortise views of fractured right ankle (case 3)	83
Figure 51	Preoperative A/P view of fractured right ankle (case 3)	83
Figure 52	Immediate postoperative A/P and lateral views of fractured ankle (case 3)	84
Figure 53	Postoperative x ray A/P and lateral views (after 3 months)(case 3)	84
Figure 54	A/P and lateral views of fractured right ankle (case 4)	86
Figure 55	Preoperative X ray (mortise view) of fractured right ankle (case 4)	87
Figure 56	Preoperative C.T. of fractured right ankle (sagittal view)(case 4)	87
Figure 57	Preoperative C.T. of right fractured ankle (axial view)(case 4)	88
Figure 58	Immediate postoperative x ray of fractured right ankle (A/P, lateral and mortise views)(case 4)	88
Figure 59	Postoperative x ray A/P and lateral views of right ankle (after 3 months)(case 4)	89
Figure 60	Shows preoperative X ray A/P, lateral and mortise views of fractured left ankle( case 5)	91



## List of figures

---

Figure 61	Shows immediate postoperative x ray A/P, lateral and mortise views (case 5).	91
Figure 62	Follow up postoperative x ray A/P and lateral views (after 4 months)(case 5).	92

### *Aim of the work*

Aim of the work is to highlight on the posterior malleolus fractures, to clear out its mechanism of injury, different methods of treatment of its fractures, possible complications and its impact on ankle function.

### *Introduction*

The posterior malleolus is the back edge of the bottom of the tibia, or shinbone. The tibia ends in a slightly flared, concave joint with two slight knobs. The knob situated over the heel bone, at the back of the ankle, is the posterior malleolus.<sup>(1)</sup>

This part of the bone provides connection points for tendons to stretch from the bottom of the tibia to the bones in the ankle. It's difficult for a person to feel this bone through the skin because it's covered by fibrous connective tissue. The best way for it to be observed is through an X ray.<sup>(1)</sup>

Although it is small, the posterior malleolus is a strong, load-bearing bone. When standing, humans often rest most of their weight on their heels, compressing the tendons around it. This dense little knob takes the weight and distributes it throughout the ankle with the help of the medial and lateral malleoli, as well as the connective tissue surrounding all three bones.<sup>(1)</sup>

Fractured ankles can occur in any of the malleolus bones, but fractures in the posterior one are particularly devastating. The bone in the back of the ankle takes and distributes most body weight, so breaks in this area can be very painful. A person who has a broken posterior malleolus often cannot walk until the fracture is fully healed.<sup>(1)</sup>

Fractures in the posterior malleolus might also threaten the tendons around it. Sharp, fractured bone could slice into the tendons, further injuring the ankle. If this little bone is broken and separated, not just fractured, the bone could push against the inside of the tendon connections and tear them. Someone who has a possible injury to the

posterior malleolus should not try to stand or walk, because this could cause more damage. Instead, someone with an injured ankle should be lifted or carried to a place where he or she can be examined and treated.<sup>(1)</sup>

Fractures of the malleoli are common. Court-Brown et al calculated an incidence of 125/100000/year. They occur equally in both sexes, but are commoner in young men and old women. They are increasingly becoming an elderly person's osteoporotic fracture.<sup>(2)</sup>

Most ankle fractures are low-energy twisting injuries sustained in falls, and only 1-2% is open injuries.<sup>(2)</sup>

Fractures of the posterior malleolus almost always occur in association with a fracture of the lateral malleolus and a medial injury. "Isolated" posterior malleolar fracture should lead to suspicion of a proximal fibular (Maisonneuve) fracture and/or a major soft tissue disruption.<sup>(3)</sup>

Haraguchi et al (2006), using CT, found that 2/3 of posterior malleolar fractures were wedge-shaped and related to the posterior tibiofibular ligament, but 20% were transverse, extending to the medial malleolus, and 15% were small posterior shell fragments<sup>(3)</sup>

A number of biomechanical studies suggest that tibiotalar instability occurs with a posterior fracture that separates 30-40% of the joint surface, in the posterolateral position, from the rest of the plafond. It is difficult to measure the proportion of separated joint surface from plain X rays, as the fracture line is usually oblique. Ebraheim et al (1999) recommended the use of external rotation lateral views.<sup>(3)</sup>

Clinical studies, however, have not shown a clear proportion of posterior separation that predicts a poor result. Both Harper (1988) and Jaskulka (1989) found that outcome was determined by the overall severity of the fracture and the adequacy of reduction. There have been no prospective clinical studies or trials. <sup>(4)</sup>

Recent studies have explored the importance of the posterior malleolus in syndesmotic injuries. Gardner (2006) demonstrated in a cadaver model that posterior malleolar fixation restored 70% of syndesmosis stability compared with 40% after syndesmotic screw insertion. Miller (2010) then demonstrated, in a small series that open reduction and stabilization of the syndesmosis produced equivalent clinical results to syndesmosis screw fixation. They recommended (Miller 2009) direct visual confirmation of syndesmotic reduction and described the use of the posterolateral approach to achieve this. By using this method, they reduced the rate of syndesmotic malreduction from 52% to 16%. <sup>(5)</sup>

The presence of a posterior malleolar fragment usually indicates that the ankle has received a greater amount of trauma <sup>(6)</sup>and so these injuries may either be susceptible to worsen outcome with pain and dysfunction even at two years following injury. There are biomechanical advantages to fixing the posterior malleolus to reduce the peak pressure distribution, which has a role in post-traumatic osteoarthritis although the literature reveals no consensus to the size of the fragment requiring fixation. <sup>(7)</sup>When surgeons themselves were asked when 50% of the articular surface was involved, 97% would fix the fracture however, when the size fell to 10% only 9% would perform internal fixation. <sup>(8)</sup>

The stabilization of the posterior malleolus and the posteroinferior tibiofibular ligament may also stabilize the syndesmosis, giving good functional outcome.<sup>(9)</sup> It is worthwhile noting that with this entire fixation “isolated” posterior malleolar fractures do well being managed conservatively.<sup>(10)</sup>

## ***I-Ankle joint osteology***

The ankle is a three-bone joint composed of the tibia, fibula, and talus. The talus articulates with the tibial plafond superiorly, the posterior malleolus of the tibia posteriorly, and the medial malleolus medially. The lateral articulation of the talus is with the lateral malleolus portion of the fibula.<sup>(11)</sup>

### **1) lower end of the tibia:**

The anteromedial aspect of the distal tibia is composed of the medial malleolus to which the fibers of the deltoid ligament are attached.<sup>(12),(13)</sup>

The medial malleolus composed of the anterior and posterior colliculi separated by the intercollicular groove. The anterior colliculus is the narrower and most distal portion of the medial malleolus and serves as the origin of the superficial deltoid ligaments. The intercollicular groove and the posterior colliculus, which is broader than the anterior colliculus, provide the origin of the deep deltoid ligaments.<sup>(14)</sup>

The distal lateral border of the tibia is concave with anterior and posterior tubercles. The anterior tubercle (Chaput tubercle) is the origin of the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (AITFL) and the posterior tubercle is the origin of the deep component of the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (PITFL). The anterior tubercle overlaps the fibula, and this relationship is the basis of the radiologic interpretation of the status of the syndesmosis. The more superficial fibers of the posterior tibiofibular ligaments are also attached to the posterior tubercle.<sup>(14)</sup>