A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COMMERCIAL FARMS SYSTEMS FOR TABLE-EGG PRODUCTION IN EGYPT

By

Mohamed Mahmoud Ezz El-Arab Abd El-Aziz Askora

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 1996 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 2003

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirements for the Degree of

in
Agricultural Sciences
(Poultry Breeding)

Department of Poultry Production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COMMERCIAL FARMS SYSTEMS FOR TABLE-EGG PRODUCTION IN EGYPT

By

Mohamed Mahmoud Ezz El-Arab Abd El-Aziz Askora

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 1996 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 2003

This thesis for Ph D degree has been approved by

Date of Examination: 9/5/2017

This those for This tacgree has seen approved by
Dr. Mahmoud Maghraby Iraqi
Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Banha University
Egypt.
Dr. Hassan El-Sayed Ayoub
Prof. Emeritus of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University, Egypt.
Dr. Salah El-Deen Abd El-Rahman El-Safty
Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University, Egypt.
Dr. Ahmed Galal El-Sayed
Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University, Egypt.

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF COMMERCIAL FARMS SYSTEMS FOR TABLE-EGG PRODUCTION IN EGYPT

By

Mohamed Mahmoud Ezz El-Arab Abd El-Aziz Askora

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 1996 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Poultry Production), Zagazig University, 2003

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ahmed Galal El-Sayed

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Salah El-Deen Abd El-Rahman El-Safty

Prof. of Poultry Breeding, Department of Poultry Production, Facultyof Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Osama Mahmoud Zatar

Head Research Emeritus of Poultry Production Systems, Department of AnimalProduction Systems, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center.

ABSTRACT

MOHAMED MAHMOUD EZZ EL-ARAB ABD EL-AZIZ ASKORA: A comparative study of commercial farms systems for table-egg production in Egypt. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017.

This study was conducted to evaluate the productive and economical performance of three commercial layer strains (Hy-Line Brown, Lohman Brown and Bovans Brown) kept under two different production systems (semi-closed and closed) in AL-Sharkia and AL-Gharbia governorates. Productive data were weekly obtained all over the production periods and analyzed to 52 weeks of production and also at the end of production cycles for each farm. While, economical data were measured and analyzed at the end of production cycles after sealing the flocks.

Under semi-closed system, results indicated that Bovans Brown and Hy-Line Brown layers had significantly better age (day) at 50% of production, mortality percentages, feed conversion (g /egg), hen day egg production percentages (HD), hen housed egg production percentages (HH) and numbers and finally production efficiency coefficient compared to Lohman Brown layers to 52 weeks and the end of production cycles.

On the other hand, Lohman Brown layers kept under closed system recorded the best age (day) at 50% of production, mortality percentage, hen day percentage, hen housed egg number, and of course production efficiency coefficient value all over the production period whencompared with others under semi-closed system in AL-Sharkia governorate.

Under closed system age (day) at 50% of production, feed conversion (g /egg), hen day percentages, and production efficiency coefficient for Bovans Brownlayers were significantly affected by different pad cooling systems to 52 weeks and the end of production

cycles. Moreover, egg production (HD %) was significantly affected by different ambient temperature degrees of house's parts and pad cooling systems. Results showed that HD % for Hy-Line Brown layers was significantly affected by season of the year, house's parts and even battery liens location inside the semi-closed houses in AL-Gharbia governorate. Hy-Line layer's production performance was not significantly differed between AL-Sharkia and AL-Gharbia semi-closed system farms. Whereas, Bovans strain of AL-Gharbia governorate showed better egg production performance than Lohman strain of AL-Sharkia governorate under closed system. Egg production performance of layers kept under the same conditions was significantly affected by layer's strain.

Economical results confirmed that Bovans Brown layers had better hen's revenue, net profit, gross margin, revenue attributed to variable costs, revenue attributed to total costs, followed by Hy-Line and Lohman layers under semi-closed system. Lohman Brown layers under closed system showed better economical performance than others under semi-closed system in AL-Sharkia governorate. It could be noticed that Hy-Line layers of AL-Gharbia governorate were more economically efficient when compared with others in AL-Sharkia governorate under semi-closed system. The same trend was found when comparing Bovans Brown layers under closed system in AL-Gharbia governorate with others under semi-closed system in AL-Sharkia governorate.

Generally, commercial table egg production layers of Brown strains (Hy-Line, Lohman and Bovans) showed more productive and economical efficiency when kept under closed system farms than semi-closed system farms under Egyptian conditions.

Key words: Housing system, Layers, Productive performance, Economical efficiency, Commercial strains, Ambient temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, I wish to express my prayerful thanks to **ALLAH** for everything.

I would like to initiate display profuse thank to the members of my supervising committee, **Prof.Dr. Ahmed Galal El-Sayed** (Principal Supervisor), Professor of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain shams University for his kindness, support advice during this work, and interest.

Many thanks due to **Prof. Dr. Salah El-Deen El-Safty**, Professor of Poultry Breeding, Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain shams University for his supervision, reading and correcting the manuscript, encourage and interest.

Special thanks and deep gratefulness are due to **Prof. Dr. Osama Mahmoud Zatar,** Professor Emeritus of Poultry Production System,
Department of Animal Production System, Animal Production Research
Institute, Agriculture Research Center for encourage, interest and support advice. He provided me invaluable direction all time during my research.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, brother and all my family members for their continuous encouragement. I appreciate my wife and my kids for their patience, understanding and supporting me during my work in my Ph. D thesis.

CONTENTS

TTEMS
LIST OF TABLESX
LIST OF FIGURESXIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS XVI
1- INTRODUCTION
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE 3
2.1. System approach
2.2. Egg production systems
2.3. Poultry production systems in Egypt
2.3.1. Rural or small-scale production system
2.3.1.1. Backyard system farms
2.3.1.2. Small farmer's farms
2.3.2. Commercial poultry production system 6
2.3.2.1. Broiler production sector
2.3.2.2. Table-egg production sector
2.4. Characteristics of the egg production of various housing systems:
2.4.1. Caged housing system
2.4.1.1. Conventional cages
2.4.1.2. Enriched cages
2.4.2. Non -caged housing system. 12
2.4.2.1. Cage-free system
2.4.2.2. Free-range (outdoor) system
2.5. Influence of environmental temperature on the performance of laying hens

2.6. Poultry housing in developing countries	18
2.6.1. Housing systems	19
2.6.1.1. Large-scale commercial production farms:	19
2.6.1.2. Medium-scale commercial production farms:	20
2.6.1.3. Small-scale commercial production farms:	20
2.6.1.4. Small-scale production system or rural system:	20
2.7. Ventilation systems	21
2.7.1. Various ventilation systems	21
2.7.1.1. Natural ventilation system	22
2.7.1.2. Tunnel ventilation or pad-cooling system	22
2.7.1.3. Fogging systems	23
2.7.2. Ventilation rates.	23
2.8. Mortality	24
2.9. Lighting systems	25
2.10. Egg Production	26
2.10.1. Hen day (H.D %) and hen housed (H.H):	26
2.11. Feed consumption	28
2.12. Economicalcharacters of table-egg production farms	29
2.12.1. Costs and revenues	29
2.12.2. Relative importance percentage of costs and revenues	30
2.12.3. Economical Efficiency	31
2.12.4. Production functions	32
2.12.5. Costs functions.	32
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	34
3.1. Description of the study area:	35

3.1.1. Al-Sharkia governorate	35
3.1.2. AL-Gharbia governorate	35
3.2. Data collection	36
3.3. Housing and equipment	41
3.4. Measurements and observations	42
3.4.1. Egg production measurements	42
3.4.1.1. Actual and cumulative Egg number	42
3.4.1.2. The Hen-Day (H.D %) egg production	42
3.4.1.3. The Hen-House (H.H %) egg production	42
3.4.1.4. The age at 50% egg production (d)	43
3.4.2. Feed consumption	43
3.4.3. Feed conversion (Feed to egg ratios)	43
3.4.4. Productive Efficiency Coefficient (P.E.C)	43
3.4.5. Mortality rate.	43
3.5. Economic aspects	44
3.5.1. Total costs and revenues	44
3.5.2. Average relative importance percentage of costs and revenues	44
3.5.3. Economical efficiency (E.E) for one hen	
3.5.4. Production function	
3.5.5. Productivity Flexibility	
3.5.6. Costs functions	
3.6. Statistical analysis.	47
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1. Chapter 1	

4.1.1. Commercial table-egg production farms in Egypt
4.1.1.1. Production capacity for layers in Egypt during the period from year 1992 to 2014
4.1.1.1. Commercial table-egg production farm numbers 48
4.1.1.2.1. Table-egg production farm numbers
4.1.1.2.2. Full and actual capacity of laying hen numbers and its production
4.1.1.3. Production capacity of layers in Al-Gharbia governorate during the period from year 2002 to 2014
4.1.1.3.1. Table-egg production farm numbers
4.1.1.3.2. Full and actual capacity of laying hen numbers and its production
4.2. Chapter 2
4.2.1. Productive traits of Al-Sharkia farms
4.2.1.1. Semi-closed system
4.2.1.1.1. Productive performance to 52 weeks of production 59
4.2.1.1.2. Productive performance to the end of production
cycle
4.2.1.2. Semi-closed and closed systems
4.2.1.2.1. Productive performance to 52 weeks of production 65
4.2.1.2.2. Productive performance to the end of production cycle
4.2.2. Productive traits of Al-Gharbia farms
4.2.2.1. Semi-closed system
4.2.2.1.1. Egg production as affected by season of the year 75
4.2.2.1.1.1. Winter season

4.2.2.1.1.2. Summer season	76
4.2.2.2. Closed system	78
4.2.2.2.1. Productive performance to 52 weeks of production	78
4.2.2.2. Productive performance to the end of production cycle	81
4.2.2.2.3. Effect of different cooling systems efficiency on layer's egg production	
4.2.3. Comparison between AL-Sharkia and AL-Gharbia farms	
4.2.3.1. Semi-closed system	85
4.2.3.1.1. Productive performance to (52 weeks and the end) of production cycle	85
4.2.3.2. Closed system	90
4.2.3.2.1. Productive performance to 52 weeks and the end of production cycle	90
4.2.3.3. Closed and semi-closed systems	98
4.2.3.3.1. Productive performance to (52 weeks and the end) of production cycle.	98
4.3. Chpter 3	08
4.3.1. Relative importance percentage of costs and revenues for or hen	
4.3.1.1. Semi-closed system	08
4.3.1.1.1. Fixed costs	08
4.3.1.1.2. Variable costs	13
4.3.1.1.3. Total costs1	14
4.3.1.1.4. Total revenues	14
4.3.1.2. Closed system	14

4.3.1.2.1. Fixed costs
4.3.1.2.2. Variable costs
4.3.1.2.3. Total costs
4.3.1.2.4. Total revenues
4.3.2. Economical efficiency
4.3.2.1. H.L.B, L.B and Bov. B. strains under semi-closed system in Al- Sharkia governorate
4.3.2.2. L.B strain under semi-closed and closed systems in Al-Sharkia governorate
4.3.2.3. H.L.B strain under semi-closed system in Al- Sharkia and Al- Gharbia governorates
4.3.2.4. Bov.B strain under both semi-closed and closed systems in Al- Sharkia and Al- Gharbia governorates
4.3.3. Productivity functions for one hen
4.3.3.1. Al-Sharkia governorate farms
4.3.3.1.1. Semi-closed system farms
4.3.3.1.1.1. Linear form of multiple regression
4.3.3.1.1.2. Stepwise regression model
4.3.3.1.1.3. Logarithmic form of multiple regression
4.3.3.1.1.4. Logarithmic form of stepwise regression model 129
4.3.3.1.2. Closed system farms
4.3.3.2. Al-Gharbia farms
4.3.3.2.1 Semi-closed farm
4.3.3.2.2. Closed farms
4.3.3.2.2.1. Stepwise regression model
4.3.3.2.2.Logarithmic form of stepwise regression model 131

4.3.3.3. Al-Sharkia and Al-Gharbia governorates	132
4.3.3.3.1. Semi-closed farms	132
4.3.3.3.1.1. Linear form of multiple regression	132
4.3.3.3.1.2. Stepwise regression model	133
4.3.3.3.1.3. Logarithmic form of multiple regression	134
4.3.3.3.1.4. Logarithmic form of stepwise regression model	135
4.3.3.3.2. Closed farms	136
4.3.3.3.2.1. Linear form of multiple regression	136
4.3.3.3.2.2. Stepwise regression model	137
4.3.3.3.2.3. Logarithmic form of multiple regression	138
4.3.3.3.2.4. Logarithmic form of stepwise regression model	139
4.3.4. Production flexibility	140
4.3.4. 1. Semi-closed system farms	140
4.3.4.2. Closed system farms	140
4.3.5. Costs functions for one hen	140
4.3.5.1. Total costs functions for Al-Sharkia governorate farms	
	.140
4.3.5.1.1. Semi-closed housing system farms	141
4.3.5.1.1.1 Total costs function for amount of eg production (H.D.)	141
4.3.5.1.1.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production	
(H.H.)	141
4.3.5.1.1.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	142
4.3.5.1.2. Closed housing system farms	142
4.3.5.1.2.1. Total costs function for amount of eggproduction	
(H.D.)	142

4.3.5.1.2.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production	
(H.H.)	142
4.3.5.1.2.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	143
4.3.5.2. Total costs functions for Al-Gharbia governorate farms	
	143
4.3.5.2.1. Semi-closed housing system farms	143
4.3.5.2.1.1. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.D.).	143
4.3.5.2.1.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.H.)	144
4.3.5.2.1.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	
4.3.5.2.2. Closed farms	144
4.3.5.2.2.1. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.D.)	144
4.3.5.2.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.H.)	145
4.3.5.2.2.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	145
4.3.5.3. Total costs functions for Al-Sharkia and Al-Gharbia farms	146
4.3.5. 3.1. Semi-closed housing system farms	146
4.3.5. 3. 1.1. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.D.)	146
4.3.5. 3. 1.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.H.)	146
4.3.5. 3. 1.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	146
4.3.5. 3.2. Closed housing system farms	147

6- REFERENCES 15	59
5- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION 14	49
4.3.5.3.2.3. Total costs function for total revenues/1hen	48
4.3.5.3.2.2. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.H.)	17
4.3.5.3.2.1. Total costs function for amount of egg production (H.D.)	17