

**EFFICIENCY, COMPATIBILITY AND
INTEGRATION OF VERSATILE NEMATODE
MANAGEMENT TACTICS IN SUSTAINABLE
AGRICULTURE**

By

ESRAA OTHMAN ABD-ELRA'OUF IBRAHIM

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (International Agriculture), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.,
Egypt, 2003**

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2010

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Agricultural Nematology)**

**Department of Zoology and Agricultural Nematology
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

2017

APPROVAL SHEET

**EFFICIENCY, COMPATIBILITY AND
INTEGRATION OF VERSATILE NEMATODE
MANAGEMENT TACTICS IN SUSTAINABLE
AGRICULTURE**

**Ph.D. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Agricultural Nematology)**

By

ESRAA OTHMAN ABD EL-RA'OUF IBRAHIM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (International Agriculture), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.,
Egypt, 2003
M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2010

Approval Committee

DR. SAMIA IBRAHIM MASSOUD.....
Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Suez Canal University

DR. MAHMOUD HASSAN EL-HAMAWI.....
Head of Research of Plant Pathology, Agric. Research Center

Dr. ABBAS MOHAMED KHEIR.....
Professor of Nematology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. AHMED AHMED OSMAN FADL
Professor of Nematology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: 25 / 5 /2017

SUPERVISION SHEET

**EFFICIENCY, COMPATIBILITY AND
INTEGRATION OF VERSATILE NEMATODE
MANAGEMENT TACTICS IN SUSTAINABLE
AGRICULTURE**

**Ph.D. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Agricultural Nematology)**

By

ESRAA OTHMAN ABD EL-RA'OUF IBRAHIM

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (International Agriculture), Fac. Agric.,
Cairo Univ., 2003**

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Nematology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2010

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

**Dr. AHMED AHMED OSMAN FADL
Professor of Nematology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

**Dr. ABBAS MOHAMED KHEIR
Professor of Nematology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

Name of Candidate: Esraa Othman Abd El-ra'ouf Ibrahim

Degree: Ph.D.

Title of Thesis: Efficiency, compatibility and integration of versatile nematode management tactics in sustainable agriculture.

Supervisors: Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Osman

Dr. Abbas Mohamed Kheir

Department: Zoology and Agricultural Nematology

Branch: Agricultural Nematology

Approval: 25 / 5 /2017

ABSTRACT

In a preliminary study, nine commercially available bioagents were tested at three concentrations for their effectiveness in suppressing Meloidogyne incognita. Paecilomyces fumosoroseus@5ml/L proved the most effective while VAM+Bacillus megaterium @20ml/L was the worst.

Of the previously scanned nine bioagent products, two were selected for another study. That was testing the capability of four concentrations of the bioagents at four different application times. The density 20ml/L a week prior to transplanting of each of the two bioagents achieved satisfactory results regarding galling and eggmasses reduction.

The joint application of animal manures along with botanically originated manures either before or after transplanting was involved in our study. Generally, higher reduction in galling and egg-masses was achieved through pre-transplanting treatments than in case of post- transplanting ones. neem+pigeon litter was the best of all pre-transplanting treatments. In case of post transplanting treatments rabbit droppings+garlic performed best among the rest of the applied treatments.

Applying bioagents as seed dressing followed by soil drench was also of our concerns. Seed dressing with B. thuringiensis followed by one soil drench gave lowest galling and eggmasses. Little response was observed in vegetative parameters but not for root weight.

Seedling coating with bioagents followed by soil drench, was another understudied delivery technique of bioagents to soil. Seedling coating with Trichoderma album followed by one soil drench yielded best galling reduction, while egg masses were reduced in response to seedling coating with Bacillus thuringiensis followed by two soil drenchs.

Synchronized application of bioagents and ample amounts of certain Nematicides was also under trial. Generally, the bioagents almost performed better in case of 0.25 mg nematicide. Concomitant application of the bioagent and the nematicide was majorly effective than in case of any preceeding the other.

Pre amending with animal manures a couple of weeks before soil drenching with bioagents and transplanting was regarded in our study as a success or failure factor in biological control. Best galling reduction was attained via application of chicken litter followed by B. thuringiensis. However, when B. thuringiensis was preceeded with pigeon manure, egg masses reduction resulted.

An integral trial that augmented bioamenders and bioagents was also executed. Best effect on nematode parameters was obtained from treatments that included P. fluorescens(dip and drench) along with pigeon manure, neem or worm seed.

Key words: *M. incognita, Bacillus spp., animal manure, botanicals, nematicide, seed dressing, INM, biological control, time of application, application density, sustainable agriculture.*

DEDICATION

To the soul of my father, Prof. Dr. Othman Abd El-raouf. You lived your life cheering me up & believing in my capabilities to fulfill your dream. You left without seeing it becoming true. I kept my promise to cheer you up in paradise & fulfill the one thing that you have always wished for. To my mother, Nehal A. Nazmy, my very first teacher, who has always pushed me along the way and offered me everything with great love.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ALLAH almighty is infinitely thanked for granting me the ability to go through this study.

My gratefulness goes to my worthy supervisor **Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Osman** Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, majorly for his indescribable patience throughout the course of my study. I have been inspired by his meticulousness, his devotion to science and his energetic participation in every single event I passed through. I value his concern and support at all times, good and bad, his efforts in paving the way to surmount obstacles, his generosity in providing me his own academic and laboratory facilities and last but not least his time & efforts revising the manuscript of this thesis. Lucky I am to be standing on the shoulders of such a giant. From nothing to something, I have travelled a long journey under your guidance.

Sincere thanks to **Dr. Abbas Mohamed Kheir**, Professor of Nematology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. His sharing in supervision, continual encouragement, constructive criticism, resilience and valuable wisdom are highly appreciated. Tangible efforts offered by him are intensely cherished and well acknowledged.

Grateful appreciation is also extended to all staff members of Zoology and Agricultural Nematology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for their sincere encouragement. Special thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Adam and Shaimaa A. Diab and Nourhan Mamdouh**, my colleagues for being very helpful during my practical studies. My deepest gratitude goes to the rest of my colleagues as well for their co-operation and endurance throughout the final phase of this work.

Infinite thanks to my mother whom without her love and continuous encouragement I would not have been able to execute this work, my late father, my brothers, my step-father for their support, tolerance, prayers & considerate help. I am grateful to my sons **AbdAllah** and **Ali** for their tolerance, assistance & consideration. Special thanks to every member of my family for their ceaseless prayers.

Also special thanks to Dr. Ahmed Osman's family for their love, endurance and encouragement along the way since the commencement of this long trip.

Much appreciation is due to **Mr. Abd El -Hameed A. Abd El-Hameed**, for his continuous help since I began the experimental work.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Cairo University and Faculty of Agriculture for offering financial funding of this work.

CONTENTS

	page
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
1. Antagonistic microorganisms for nematode control	5
2. Organic amendments to control nematodes	7
3. Combinations of various tactics.....	11
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	22
RESULTS.....	46
1. First preliminary experiment: Screening several bioagents formulations at different concentrations against root-knot nematode, <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>	46
2. Effectiveness evaluation of two microbial commercial biocides in relation to different applied densities and four application times	53
3. Synchronized application of organic amendments as a tactic against root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i>	61
4. Influence of seed dressing as an application method of bioagents on the control of root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i>	76
5. Influence of seedling root coating as an application method of bioagents on the control of root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i>	81
6. Sensitivity of certain microbial bioagents towards the joint application of two contact and systemic nematicides Mocap [®] and Vydate [®]	87
7. Combined application of organic amendments and bioagents for controlling root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i>	145
8. Simulatory field trial of versatile biotactics (botanical, animal and microbial bioagents) for nematode management.....	155

DISCUSSION.....	167
SUMMARY.....	194
REFERENCES.....	200
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Botanicals used in organic amendments experiment....	31
2.	Impact of different concentrations of certain biocidal materials on nematode developmental parameters.....	46
3.	Vegetative growth criteria as affected by application of certain concentrations of different bioagents for nematode control.....	51
4.	Efficacy of two bioagents applied at different times and with different concentrations on no. galls/plant.....	53
5.	Efficacy of two bioagents applied at different times and with different concentrations on no. egg masses/plant.....	55
6.	Efficacy of two bioagents applied at different times and with different concentrations on no. eggs/plant.....	57
7.	Vegetative growth parameters as affected by the application of two bioagents at different times and with different concentrations for root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i> control.....	60
8.	Effect of applying botanicals and pigeon litter as pre and post transplanting treatments on <i>M. incognita</i> developmental parameters.....	62
9.	Effect of applying botanicals and rabbit droppings as pre and post transplanting treatments on <i>M. incognita</i> developmental parameters.....	63
10.	Effect of applying botanicals and chicken litter as pre and post transplanting treatments on <i>M. incognita</i>	

developmental parameters.....	64
11. Effect of applying botanicals and shrimp shells as pre and post transplanting treatments on <i>M. incognita</i> developmental parameters.....	65
12. Impact of organic amendments pre-transplanting joint treatments used for controlling <i>M. incognita</i> on some vegetative parameters.....	69
13. Impact of organic amendments post transplanting joint application for controlling <i>M. incognita</i> on some vegetative parameters.....	74
14. Influence of seed dressing followed by soil drenches as application methods on nematode developmental parameters.....	76
15. Vegetative growth parameters in response to bioagents application as seed dressing followed by soil drenches to control root-knot nematode.....	79
16. Influence of seedling coating followed by soil drenches as application methods on nematode developmental parameters.....	82
17. Vegetative growth parameters in response to bioagents application as seedling coating followed with soil drenches to control root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i> ..	85
18. Sensitivity of <i>P. fluorescens</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	87
19. Sensitivity of <i>T. viride</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	88

20. Sensitivity of <i>P.lilacinus</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	89
21. Sensitivity of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	90
22. Sensitivity of <i>B. megaterium</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	91
23. Sensitivity of <i>T. album</i> to three concentrations of nematicides applied at three different times.....	92
24. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and nematicides.....	136
25. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>T. viride</i> and nematicides.....	137
26. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>P. lilacinus</i> and nematicides.....	138
27. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> and nematicides.....	139
28. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>B. megaterium</i> and nematicides.....	140
29. Response of vegetative growth parameters to single and combined treatments of <i>T. album</i> and nematicides.....	141
30. Nematode developmental parameters as affected by bioagents application preceded with organic amendments application	146
31. Vegetative parameters as affected by organic amendments addition two weeks prior to bioagents	

application on nematode developmental parameters.....	153
32. Influenc of organic and microbial components on root-knot nematode, <i>M. incognita</i> developmental parameters.....	155
33. Vegetative parameters response to botanical, microbial and animal components applied to control <i>M. incognita</i>	165

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Effect of bioagents application at different rates (5,10 and 20 ml/L) on no. of egg masses/plant and no. eggs/egg mass.....	48
2.	Effect of several application rates of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and <i>T. viride</i> , one week prior to transplantation on no. egg masses/plant and no. eggs/egg mass.....	56
3.	Effect of several application rates of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and <i>T. viride</i> applied at nematode inoculation time on no. egg masses/plant and no. eggs/egg mass.....	56
4.	Effect of several application rates of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and <i>T. viride</i> applied at nematode inoculation time and two weeks later on no. egg masses/plant and no. eggs/egg mass.....	58
5.	Effect of several application rates of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and <i>T. viride</i> applied at nematode inoculation time and two sequential applications with two weeks interval on no. egg masses/plant and no. eggs/egg mass.....	58
6.	Effect of pre-transplanting treatments with powdered botanicals on number of galls/plant and number of egg masses/plant.....	67
7.	Effect of post-transplanting treatments with powdered botanicals on number of galls/plant and number of egg masses/plant.....	71
8.	Impact of bioagents applied as seed dressing followed by soil drench on nematode developmental parameters.	77

9. Impact of bioagents applied as seeding coating followed by soil drench on nematode developmental parameters.....	84
10. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and mocap 25 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	96
11. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and mocap 50 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	97
12. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. fluorescens</i> and mocap 100 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	98
13. Effect of single and combined application of <i>T. viride</i> and mocap 25 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	99
14. Effect of single and combined application of <i>T. viride</i> and mocap 50 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	100

15. Effect of single and combined application of <i>T. viride</i> and mocap 100 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	101
16. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. lilacinus</i> and mocap 25 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	102
17. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. lilacinus</i> and mocap 50 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	103
18. Effect of single and combined application of <i>P. lilacinus</i> and mocap 100 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	104
19. Effect of single and combined application of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> and mocap 25 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	105
20. Effect of single and combined application of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> and mocap 50 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant and c- no. eggs/plant.....	106
21. Effect of single and combined application of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> and mocap 100 mg/plant at different timings on: a- no. galls/plant, b- no. egg masses/plant	