

**EFFECT OF SOME ENGINEERING FACTORS
OF SPRINKLER IRRIGATION ON
SPRINKLER PERFORMANCE**

By

DOAA MAMDOUH SAYED MOHAMMAD
B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University, 2009

**A thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of**

The requirements for the degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
In**

**Agricultural Science
(On- farm Irrigation Engineering and Drainage)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2016

Approval sheet

EFFECT OF SOME ENGINEERING FACTORS OF SPRINKLER IRRIGATION ON SPRINKLER PERFORMANCE

By

DOAA MAMDOUH SAYED MOHAMMAD

B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University, 2009

This thesis for M.Sc. Degree has been approved by:

Dr. Ahmed Hassan Gomaa
Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Menoufia University.

Dr. Ahmed Abo El-Hassan Abd el-Aziz
Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Khaled Faran El-Bagoury
Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Hegazi
Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Date of Examination: / / 2016

EFFECT OF SOME ENGINEERING FACTORS OF SPRINKLER IRRIGATION ON SPRINKLER PERFORMANCE

By

DOAA MAMDOUH SAYED MOHAMMAD

B.Sc. Agric. Eng., Faculty of Agric., Ain Shams University, 2009

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Hegazi

Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. (Principle Supervisor)

Dr. Khaled Faran El-Bagoury

Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Magdy Tawfik Al- Tantawy

Head Researcher of Drainage and Irrigation Engineering, Department of Drainage and Irrigation Engineering, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute.

ABSTRACT

Doaa Mamdouh said: Effect of some engineering factors of sprinkler irrigation on sprinkler performance. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

The Laboratory experiments were carried out at the National Irrigation Laboratory of Agricultural Engineering Research Institute (**AEnRI**), Dokki, Giza, Egypt to study the effect of two engineering factors (riser length – riser diameter), two hydraulic parameters (pressure – velocity) under four operating pressure (175 - 200 - 225 - 250) kPa. and four percentage of overlap (70 – 80 - 90 – 100) % on two impact sprinkler (1/2" and 3/4" inlet diameter) performance (flow rate – precipitation rate – emission uniformity).

The study included an evaluate two impact sprinklers (1/2" and 3/4") inlet diameter, at two riser heights (0.75 m and 1.0 m) with two different diameters for each riser (1/2" and 3/4").

Results of this study were:

1- Impact sprinkler (1/2") with riser 0.75 m:

At using impact sprinkler (1/2") inlet diameter with riser height 0.75 m, a diameter (1/2") without header (R1): Cu values increased from 48.1 to 83.3 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%. At using impact sprinkler (1/2") inlet diameter with riser height 0.5 m, a diameter (1/2") + height 0.25 m, a diameter (3/4") with header (R2): Cu values increased from 48.2 to 81.8 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100 %.

2- Impact sprinkler (3/4") with riser 0.75 m:

At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 0.75 m, a diameter (3/4") without header (R3): Cu values increased from 56.1 to 78.6 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%. At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 0.5 m, a diameter (3/4") + height 0.25 m, a diameter (1/2") with header 1 (R4): Cu values increased from 52.1 to 79.2 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%. At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 0.5 m, a diameter (1/2") + height 0.25 m, a diameter (3/4") with header 2 (R5): Cu values increased from 59 to 82.2 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%.

3- Impact sprinkler (3/4") with riser 1.0 m:

At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 1.0 m, a diameter (3/4") without header (R6): Cu values increased from 50.2 to 79.3 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%. At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 0.5 m, a diameter (3/4") + height 0.5 m, a diameter (1/2") with header 1 (R7): Cu values increased from 58.9 to 81.8 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%. At using impact sprinkler (3/4") inlet diameter with riser height 0.5 m, a diameter (1/2") + height 0.5 m, a diameter (3/4") with header 2 (R8): Cu values increased from 56.5 to 80.1 % under operating pressure 250 kPa. with increasing overlap from 70 to 100%.

- At pressure 250 kPa. with overlap 90 %, (Cu) value was 80.9 % for (R1) and 80.5 % for (R2).
- At pressure 250 kPa. with overlap 90 %, R5 and R4 had (Cu) value 75.3 and 71.6 % respectively, higher than R3 (Cu) value 70.9 %.
- At pressure 250 kPa. with overlap 90 %, R8 and R7 had (Cu) value 73.4 and 75.1 % respectively, higher than R6 (Cu) value 70.4 %.

Key words:

Sprinkler irrigation – Nozzle diameter – Distribution uniformity –
Riser height.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All of thank to **ALLAh** the most gracious the most merciful to give me power to finish this work.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Mohammed Hegazi** Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural, Ain Shams University, for the supervision and thesis revision. I thank **Dr. khaled Faran El-Bagoury** Associate Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural, Ain Shams University, all stuff of Agricultural Engineering Department, Ain shams University and **Prof. Dr. Magdy Tawfik Al- Tantawy** Head of Drainage and Irrigation Engineering Research Dep. Agriculture Engineering Research Institute, for their supervision and helpful during this study. I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan Gomaa** Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University and **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abo El-Hassan Abd el-Aziz** Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for arbitrate my master study.

Special thanks to **Dr. Mostafa Mahmoud Mostafa** Senior Researcher for Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, **Dr. Wael Mahmoud Sultan** Senior Researcher for Agricultural Engineering Research Institute and all stuff of National Irrigation Laboratory of Agricultural Engineering Research Institute (**AEnRI**), Dokki, Giza and for the great help during this work.

Great of thank to my Family especially **My Mother** for encourage me to complete my study.

CONTENTS

	Page No.
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	V
1-INTRODUCTION	1
2-REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2-1 Sprinkler definition	3
2-2 Effect of engineering factors on sprinkler irrigation	3
2-2-1 Wind speed factor.	3
2-2-2 Effect of nozzle diameter and pressure.	4
2-2-3 Effect of nozzle shape.	5
2-2-4 Effect of droplet size.	5
2-3 Sprinkler evaluation.	5
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	17
3-1 Material	17
3-1-1 Control head as using of National Irrigation Laboratory.	18
3-1-2 Sprinkler and riser.	18
3-1-2-1 The riser.	18
3-1-2-2 Impact sprinkler 1/2".	19
3-1-2-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4".	20
3-1-3 Computer programs.	21
3-2 Methods	21
3-2-1 The flow rate.	21
3-2-2 Flow variation.	22
3-2-3 precipitation rate catch- cans	22
3-2-4 Friction losses.	23

II

3-2-4-1 Darcy equation.	23
3-2-4-2 Secondary losses.	25
3-2-5 CU values for CATCH-3D program.	25
3-2-6 Droplet diameter.	26
3-3 The treatments	26
3-3-1 Impact (1/2") with riser 0.75 m.	28
3-3-2 Impact (3/4") with riser 0.75 m.	29
3-3-3 Impact (3/4") with riser 1.0 m.	30
4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
4-1 Effect of different operating pressure on flow rates	31
4-1-1 Impact sprinkler 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	31
4-1-2 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	32
4-1-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	32
4-1-4 Flow rate variation.	34
4-2 Effect of different operating pressure on sprinkler radius	34
4-2-1 Impact sprinkler 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	34
4-2-2 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	35
4-2-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	36
4-3 Effect of different operating pressure on h_f	37
4-3-1 Impact sprinkler 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	37
4-3-2 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	38
4-3-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	38
4-4 Effect of different operating pressure on droplet diameter	39
4-4-1 Impact sprinkler 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	39
4-4-2 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	40
4-4-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	42

III

4-5 Effect of different overlap on (Cu) values	43
4-5-1 Impact sprinkler 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	44
4-5-2 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	45
4-5-3 Impact sprinkler 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	48
4-6 Effect of different overlap on precipitation rates	50
4-6-1 Impact 1/2" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	51
4-6-2 Impact 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 0.75 m.	52
4-6-3 Impact 3/4" inlet diameter with riser 1.0 m.	54
5-SAMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	57
6-REFERENCE	61
7-APPENDIX	69
ARABIC ABSTRACT	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page No.
2-3	Typical potential application efficiencies.	6
2-3	Components of static and hand-move sprinkler irrigation system.	6
2-3	Potential field uniformity values.	10
3-1-1	Pump specification.	18
3-1-2-2	Impact 1/2" performance.	19
3-1-2-3	Impact 3/4" performance.	20
3-2-3	K values.	25

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page No.
3-1	Layout of the laboratory experiments.	17
3-1-2	Impact 1/2".	19
3-1-2	Impact 3/4".	20
3-2-2	Arrangement of catch cans for impact sprinkler.	23
3-3	Reduced socket.	27
3-3-1	R1 and R2.	28
3-3-2	R3, R4 and R5.	29
3-3-3	R6, R7 and R8.	30
4-1-1	Flow rate vs. operating Pressure for impact 1/2" with riser 0.75 m.	31
4-1-2	Flow rate vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 0.75 m.	32
4-1-3	Flow rate vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 1.0 m.	33
4-2-1	Sprinkler radius vs. operating Pressure for impact 1/2" with riser 0.75 m.	35
4-2-2	Sprinkler radius vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 0.75 m.	36
4-2-3	Sprinkler radius vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 1.0 m.	36
4-3-1	h_f vs. operating Pressure for impact 1/2" with riser 0.75 m..	37

VI

4-3-2	h_f vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 0.75 m..	38
4-3-3	h_f vs. operating Pressure for impact 3/4" with riser 1.0 m.	39
4-4-1	Droplet diameter vs. operating Pressure for impact 1/2" with riser 0.75 m.	40
4-4-2	Droplet diameter vs. operating Pressure to nozzle 1 for impact 3/4" with riser 0.75 m.	41
4-4-2	Droplet diameter vs. operating Pressure to nozzle 2 for impact 3/4" with riser 0.75 m.	41
4-4-3	Droplet diameter vs. operating Pressure to nozzle 1 for impact 3/4" with riser 1.0 m.	42
4-4-3	Droplet diameter vs. operating Pressure to nozzle 2 for impact 3/4" with riser 1.0 m.	43
4-5-1	C_u values vs. overlap for (R1).	44
4-5-1	C_u values vs. overlap for (R2).	45
4-5-2	C_u values vs. overlap for (R3).	46
4-5-2	C_u values vs. overlap for (R4).	47
4-5-2	C_u values vs. overlap for (R5).	47
4-5-3	C_u values vs. overlap for (R6).	48
4-5-3	C_u values vs. overlap for (R7).	49
4-5-3	C_u values vs. overlap for (R8).	50
4-6-1	Precipitation rate pattern for (R1).	51

VII

4-6-1	Precipitation rate pattern for (R2).	52
4-6-2	Precipitation rate pattern for (R3).	52
4-6-2	Precipitation rat pattern for (R4).	53
4-6-2	Precipitation rate pattern for (R5).	53
4-6-3	Precipitation rate pattern for (R6).	54
4-6-3	Precipitation rate pattern for (R7).	55
4-6-3	Precipitation rate pattern for (R8).	55

INTRODUCTION

In the sprinkler method of irrigation, water is sprayed into the air and allowed to fall on the ground surface somewhat resembling rainfall. The spray is developed by the flow of water under pressure through small orifices or nozzles. The pressure is usually obtained by pumping. With careful selection of nozzle sizes, operating pressure and sprinkler spacing the amount of irrigation water required to refill the crop root zone can be applied nearly uniform at the rate to suit the infiltration rate of soil.

Scherer et al., (1999) summarized that the number of surface-irrigated area has declined by more than 1 million hectares, while the number of sprinkler-irrigated areas has increased from less than 1.5 million hectares to about 6 million hectares.

The trials conducted in different parts of the country revealed that water saving due to sprinkler system varies from 16 to 70 % over the traditional method with yield increase from 3 to 57 % in different crops and agro climatic conditions.

The uniformity of water application in a field under sprinkler irrigation is primarily a function of design, operational and climatic factors. Effects of soil characteristics on the distribution are considered negligible. Irrigation quality is function of water application uniformity. Insight into the performance of an irrigation system can be obtained of the pattern (distribution) of water application can be established for a specific set of conditions.