

**INVESTIGATION AND EVALUATION OF AN
EXTRACTING SYSTEM FOR TOMATO
SEEDS AND THE REQUIRED
ENERGY**

By

AHMED MUSTAFA IBRAHIM SOLIMAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig University, 2004

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Med. Agro. Inst. of Chania, Greece, 2011

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirements for The Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Sciences

(Farm Machinery and Power Engineering)

**Department of Agricultural Engineering
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2017

Approval Sheet

INVESTIGATION AND EVALUATION OF AN EXTRACTING SYSTEM FOR TOMATO SEEDS AND THE REQUIRED ENERGY

By

AHMED MUSTAFA IBRAHIM SOLIMAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig University, 2004

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Med. Agro. Inst. of Chania, Greece, 2011

This Thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Ayman Hafez Mohamed Amer Eissa

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia
University.

Dr. Alaa Abdel Rashid Mohamed

Prof. of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mubarak Mohamed Mostafa

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University.

Date of Examination: 20 / 9 / 2017

INVESTIGATION AND EVALUATION OF AN EXTRACTING SYSTEM FOR TOMATO SEEDS AND THE REQUIRED ENERGY

By

AHMED MUSTAFA IBRAHIM SOLIMAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Zagazig Univ. 2004

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agric. Eng.), Med. Agro. Inst. of Chania, Greece 2011

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mubarak Mohamed Mostafa

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. Mahmoud Ahmed El-Nono (Late)

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Elamin Mohamed Arif

Head Research of Agricultural Engineering, Department of Agricultural Mechanization Operations Systems, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center.

ABSTRACT

Ahmed Mustafa Ibrahim Soliman: Investigation and Evaluation of an Extracting System for Tomato Seeds and the Required Energy. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017.

Manual extraction of tomato seed is a tedious operation and has some drawbacks such as incentive labor, excessive water use, unfriendly environmental load, and much time consuming. Therefore, this study was dedicated to address the problems of manual extraction via fabricating a local machine to extract tomato seeds efficiently. Accordingly, some of physical and mechanical properties of tomato fruits and seeds, related to the mechanical seed extraction, were measured to aid in manufacturing the aimed machine. After that, an electric-motor powered machine was fabricated, and the machine mainly consisted of: mainframe, feed hopper, fruit cutting mechanism, delivery chute, seed separating mechanism, and power transmission systems. Subsequently, a techno-economic assessment was performed to investigate the effect of multiply machine operating parameters on the machine evaluative criteria, as well as to economically compare between the mechanical and manual seed extraction. Hence, the operating parameters were: drum speeds (400, 450, 500, 550, and 600 rpm), feeding rates (90, 120, 150, and 180 kg/h), drum holes diameters (4, 5, and 6 mm), and cutting speeds (50, 60, and 70 rpm). Additionally, the evaluation criteria were: extractor productivity (kg/h), extractor efficiency (%), seed purity (%), seed losses percentage (%), total specific energy consumption (kW.h/kg), germination percentage (%), and total costs (LE/h). The analysis of data could be summarized as next:

- The maximum extractor productivity equaled (10.48 kg/h) and was obtained from the drum speed of 600 rpm, feeding rate of 180 kg/h, the drum holes diameter of 5 mm, and cutting speed of 60 rpm.

- The highest value for the extractor efficiency (96.75%) was recorded at drum speed of 550 rpm, feeding rate of 150 kg/h, drum holes diameter of 5 mm, and 60 rpm cutting speed.
- The highest percentage of seed purity (98.94 %) was found at 600 rpm drum speed, 90 kg/h feeding rate, 4 mm drum holes diameter, and cutting speed of 50 rpm.
- The minimum seed losses percentage was equal to 3.25% and found at drum speed of 550 rpm, 150 kg/h feeding rate, drum holes diameter of 5 mm, and 60 rpm cutting speed.
- The lowest value for total specific energy consumption (0.038 kW.h/kg) was achieved at 600 rpm drum speed, 180 kg/h feeding rates, 6 mm drum holes diameter, and cutting speed of 60 rpm.
- Germination test proved that the mechanical extraction of seeds did not considerably harm the germinability of seeds (germination percentage average equaled to 82.2 %) as compared to the manual method (82.3%).
- The total cost of manual seed extraction rose by about 44 and 187 % in comparison with the cost of mechanical seed extraction before and after discounting pulp tomato revenue, respectively.

It can be concluded that using the fabricated machine to extract seeds from tomato fruits is more economic than applying the manual seed extraction method.

Keywords: Mechanical Seed Extraction, Centrifugation, Tomato Fruits, Tomato Seeds, Techno-Economic Assessment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my greatest gratitude to God for helping and inspiring me to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, **Prof. Mubarak Mohamed Mostafa**, Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his continued insight and support with the research. Definitely, this work could not be accomplished without his generosity and assistance throughout the research period.

I am extremely grateful to **Prof. Mahmoud Ahmed El-Nono**, Professor Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering for his outstanding guidance and support, and for providing me with vital clues to develop the extracting machine. God have mercy on his soul.

Many thanks are also extended to **Prof. Esam El-Sahar**, Professor of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable input and excellent comments for publishing the article.

I express gratitude to **Prof. Elamin Mohamed Arif**, Chief Researcher of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering Research Institute (AEnRI), for providing me with the idea of this research theme and his continuous efforts to motivate me to complete this investigation. Profound appreciation to **Dr. Nazeer El-Biale**, Senior Researcher, AEnRI, for aiding me with developing the theoretical consideration part of this study. Great thanks and regards also goes to **AEnRI**, offering facilities and an opportunity to achieve this study. Dearest **all my friends**, who inspired and backed me unit the end of the research, I am very thankful.

I am forever grateful to my **mother, wife, and brothers**, who have supported me throughout the research process. I especially thank **my mother**, who has provided me with the opportunity to pursue my postgraduate education. I dedicate this thesis to **my father's soul and daughters "Roaa" and "Rahaf"**.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	V
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	2
1.2. Problem statement	2
1.3. Objectives	2
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Significance of extracting seeds	4
2.2. Physical and mechanical properties	5
2.2.1. Tomato fruits	6
2.2.2. Tomato seed	10
2.3. Seed extraction methods	10
2.3.1. Overview	10
2.3.2. Manual extraction	13
2.3.3. Mechanical extraction	14
2.3.3.1. Wet extraction	14
2.3.3.2. Dry extraction	17
2.4. Performance of seed extraction process	18
2.5. Extracted seed quality evaluation	19
2.6. Cost analysis	20
2.6.1. Fixed costs	21
2.6.2. Variable costs	22
MATERIAL AND METHODS	23
3.1. Material	23
3.1.1. Tomato fruit	23
3.1.2. Measuring instruments	23
3.1.2.1. Digital vernier caliper	23
3.1.2.2. Digital balance	23
3.1.2.3. Graduated cylinder	24
3.1.2.4. Digital stopwatch	24
3.1.2.5. Tachometer	24
3.1.2.6. Clamp meter	25
3.1.2.7. Penetrometer	25
3.1.2.8. Electric oven	25
3.1.3. Tomato seed extracting machine description	25
3.1.3.1. Mainframe	31
3.1.3.2. Feed hopper	31
3.1.3.3. Fruit cutting mechanism	32
3.1.3.4. Delivery chute	32

II

3.1.3.5. Seed separating mechanism	32
3.1.3.6. Power transmission systems	35
3.1.4. Theoretical considerations	36
3.1.4.1. Feed hopper	37
3.1.4.2. Shafts	40
3.1.4.3. Transmission unit	50
3.2. Methods	52
3.2.1. Specifying physical and mechanical characteristics	53
3.2.1.1. Axial dimensions	53
3.2.1.2. Bulk density	53
3.2.1.3. True density	54
3.2.1.4. Mass of tomato components	54
3.2.1.5. Moisture content	55
3.2.1.6. Firmness of tomato fruits	56
3.2.1.7. Coefficient of friction	56
3.2.2. Experimental design	57
3.2.3. Extractor evaluative criteria	57
3.2.3.1. Extractor productivity	57
3.2.3.2. Extractor efficiency	58
3.2.3.3. Seed purity	58
3.2.3.4. Seed losses percentage	59
3.2.3.5. Total specific energy consumption	59
3.2.3.6. Germination percentage	60
3.2.4. Cost analysis for seed extraction	61
3.2.4.1. Mechanical extraction cost	61
3.2.4.2. Manual extraction cost	66
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	67
4.1. Dimension distribution of tomato fruit	67
4.2. Mass distribution of tomato fruits components	68
4.3. Dimension distribution of tomato seed	68
4.4. Firmness and friction coefficient of tomato fruit	70
4.5. Extractor performance evaluation	70
4.5.1. Extractor productivity	71
4.5.1.1. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 50 rpm cutting speed	71
4.5.1.2. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate and drum holes diameter at 60 rpm cutting speed	73
4.5.1.3. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate and drum holes diameter at 70 rpm cutting speed	75
4.5.2. Extractor efficiency	77
4.5.2.1. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 50 rpm cutting speed	77

III

4.5.2.2. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 60 rpm cutting speed	80
4.5.2.3. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 70 rpm cutting speed	82
4.5.3. Seed purity	84
4.5.3.1. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 50 rpm cutting speed	84
4.5.3.2. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 60 rpm cutting speed	86
4.5.3.3. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 70 rpm cutting speed	88
4.5.4. Seed losses percentage	90
4.5.4.1. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate and drum holes diameter at 50 rpm cutting speed	90
4.5.4.2. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 60 rpm cutting speed	92
4.5.4.3. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 70 rpm cutting speed	94
4.5.5. Total specific energy consumption (TSEC)	96
4.5.5.1. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 50 rpm cutting speed	96
4.5.5.2. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 60 rpm cutting speed	98
4.5.5.3. Effect of different drum speed, feeding rate, and drum holes diameter at 70 rpm cutting speed	100
4.5.6. Germination percentage	102
4.6. Economic feasibility of the extracting machine	104
SUMMARY AND COCLUSION	109
REFERENCES	118
APPENDIX A	124
APPENDIX B	129
ARABIC SUMMARY	137

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1	Technical specifications of the digital vernier caliper.	24
2	Technical specifications of the digital balance.	24
3	Technical specifications of the tachometer.	25
4	Technical specifications of the clam meter.	26
5	Technical specifications of the penetrometer.	26
6	Technical specifications of the electric oven.	27
7	Technical specifications of the fabricated extractor.	30
8	Theoretical feed hopper dimensions.	40
9	Length of V-belts used in the belt system of the fabricated machine.	53
10	Experimental factors for evaluating the fabricated machine.	57
11	Mean and standard errors of the tomato fruit dimensions at 96.16% moisture content (w.b.).	68
12	Mean and standard errors of firmness and friction coefficient of tomato fruit at 96.16% moisture content (w.b.).	70
13	Germination percentage at different levels of drum speed, drum holes diameter and cutting speed.	102
14	Cost analysis for the tomato seed extracting machine and manual extraction method based on prices of 2016.	105

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1	Steps followed in a program for extracting tomato seed.	12
2	Flow chart followed to develop the extracting machine.	26
3	Photographs for the fabricated tomato machine.	27
4	Schematic diagram of the manufactured tomato seed extracting machine.	28
5	Schematic diagram of the manufactured tomato seed extracting machine with machine parts names.	29
6	Schematic diagram of cutting mechanism.	33
7	Photograph for the perforated separation drum.	34
8	Schematic diagram of the seed separation mechanism.	34
9	Schematic diagram for the hopper with main dimensions.	38
10	Distribution of forces upon the cutting shaft.	41
11	Stress analysis for the cutting shaft using driven pulley diameter of 70 mm.	43
12	Distribution of forces upon the separating shaft.	46
13	Stress analysis for the separating shaft using driven pulley diameter of 350 mm.	48
14	Power transmission layout for the fabricated machine.	50
15	Power transmission layout.	51
16	Frequency distribution curves of tomato fruit dimensions at 96.16% moisture content (w.b.).	68
17	Mass distribution of tomato components at 96.16% moisture content (w.b.).	69

18	Frequency distribution curves of tomato seed dimensions at 92.02% moisture content (w.b.).	69
19	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor productivity at different drum holes diameter and 50 rpm cutting speed.	72
20	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor productivity at different drum holes diameter and 60 rpm cutting speed.	74
21	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor productivity at different drum holes diameter and 70 rpm cutting speed.	76
22	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor efficiency at different drum holes diameter and 50 rpm cutting speed.	78
23	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor efficiency at different drum holes diameter and 60 rpm cutting speed.	83
24	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the extractor efficiency at different drum holes diameter and 70 rpm cutting speed.	83
25	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed purity at different drum holes diameter and 50 rpm cutting speed.	85
26	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed purity at different drum holes diameter and 60 rpm cutting speed.	87
27	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed purity at different drum holes diameter and 70 rpm cutting speed.	89
28	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed losses percentage at different drum holes diameter and 50 rpm cutting speed.	91
29	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed losses percentage at different drum holes diameter and 60 rpm cutting speed.	93

VII

30	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the seed losses percentage at different drum holes diameter and 70 rpm cutting speed.	95
31	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the total specific energy consumption (TSEC) at different drum holes diameter and 50 rpm cutting speed.	97
32	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the total specific energy consumption (TSEC) at different drum holes diameter and 60 rpm cutting speed.	99
33	Effect of drum speed and feeding rate on the total specific energy consumption (TSEC) at different drum holes diameter and 70 rpm cutting speed.	101
34	Comparison between the total cost of mechanical (before and after PTR) and manual extraction for an hour.	107
35	Comparison between the total cost of mechanical (before and after PTR) and manual extraction for one-kg of tomato seeds.	107
36	Comparison between the total cost of mechanical (before and after PTR) and manual extraction for one-Mg of tomato fruits.	108
37	Comparison between the total cost of mechanical (before and after PTR) and manual extraction for one-feddan of tomato fruits	108

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Tomato, *Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill, is among well-liked vegetables crops worldwide. The continuing popularity of tomatoes comes from their preferable nutritional and organoleptic characteristics as well as the multi-health benefits of lycopene being in tomatoes. People often consume tomatoes directly as a raw food or after making industrial processing to produce juice, paste, ketchup, sauce, powder and canned tomatoes (Sinha *et al.*, 2011 and Machmudah *et al.* 2012).

Tomatoes represent one of the most valuable products of Egypt. According to the latest statistics provided by **FAO (2016)**, approximately 6% of tomato world production is yielded by Egypt and, in consequence, the country is classified as the fifth world producer. Between the period of 1993 and 2013, the total average for tomato harvested area and tomato local production were estimated around 0.5 million feddan (464868.25 ha) and 7.214 Mg, respectively. When comparing the tomato domestic production to other agricultural commodities produced in 2013, in terms of quantity and dollar value, tomatoes attained the fourth and first rank, respectively. This shows that how tomatoes are a precious product and deserve the consideration of researchers.

Basically, tomato seeds are paramount to cultivate tomatoes. In 2013, the total quantity of imported and exported tomato seeds in Egypt were 0.837 and 0.02 Mg, respectively (**EAS, 2014**). Therefore, the greatest quantity of seeds is imported from the outside. This, of course, exacerbates the problem of hard currency shortage in the domestic market due to the high price value of seeds in the world market, and the government cannot meet all demands. Additionally, the imported seeds may be diseases carriers so that the potential productivity can be negatively influenced owing to the spreading of diseases (**CAAES, 2016**). Hence, aiding in addressing issues of local tomato seed production is common responsibility for all in this critical economy of Egypt.

INTRODUCTION

Not only are tomato seeds a vital input to plant and produce tomatoes but also seeds, remaining after the industrial processing for tomatoes, can be used to produce value-added products such as tomato seed oil, proteins, vitamin B12 and feedstuff (Sogia *et al.*, 2002; Giannelos, *et al.*, 2005; Sogi *et al.*, 2005 and Sinha *et al.*, 2011). After manufacturing tomatoes to produce juice or pulp, the solid waste, called tomato pomace, forms around 20 - 30% of unprocessed tomatoes, and this waste includes about 55 % seeds that can be extracted mechanically. As a result, the seed component of the waste has recently received considerable scientific investigations.

1.2. Problem statement

Considering the wider significance of producing tomato seed locally, its economic value, as well as the conspicuous lack of domestic-manufactured equipment targeted at extracting the seed, this study is going to be dedicated to manufacture a system for extracting tomato seeds.

1.3. Objectives

The overall objective is to fabricate a tomato seed extraction machine that may aid the producers of tomato seed in alleviating the problems of manual seed production. To meet this objective, the following specific objectives will be addressed:

1. Review previous studies in relation to the seed production systems and specify the guide points to fabricate the tomato extractor.
2. Get more knowledge about the physical and mechanical properties of tomato fruits and seeds related to the mechanical seed extraction process and then measure these properties in the laboratory.
3. Investigate which combination of operational and design variables that may have a significant impact on the efficiency of seed extraction process with the consideration of both power consumption and cost.
4. Manufacture the initial model to perform preliminary experiments, identify the missing practical points, and then fabricate the final model.