

**FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS STUDIES FOR
DETERMINING LOCI ASSOCIATED WITH SALT
TOLERANCE IN RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.)**

BY

AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMID SHOKRY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 1993

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 2000

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Agricultural Science
(Genetics)**

**Department of Genetics
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University**

2005

Approval sheet

**FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS STUDIES FOR
DETERMINING LOCI ASSOCIATED WITH SALT
TOLERANCE IN RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.)**

BY

AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMID SHOKRY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 1993

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 1998

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Effat A. Badr

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Faculty
of Agriculture, Alexandria University

.....

Prof. Dr. Eman M. Fahmy

Professor of Genetics, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. A. Bahieldin Mohamed

Professor of Genetics, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

.....

Prof. Dr. F. M. Abdel-Tawab

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Faculty
of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

.....

Date of Examination / / 2005

**FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS STUDIES FOR
DETERMINING LOCI ASSOCIATED WITH SALT
TOLERANCE IN RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.)**

BY

AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMID SHOKRY

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 1993

M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Genetics), Ain Shams University, 1998

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. F. M. Abdel-Tawab

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Department of Genetics,
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
(Principal supervisor)

Prof. Dr. A. Bahieldin Mohamed

Professor of Genetics, Department of Genetics,
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. G. A. Gad El-Karim

Head of Research, Agricultural Genetic Engineering
Research Institute (AGERI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC)

ABSTRACT

AHMED MAHMOUD ABDELHAMID SHOKRY. Functional Genomics Studies for Determining Loci Associated with Salt Tolerance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy Dissertation, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2005.

Soil salinity is considered as one of the major and widespread abiotic stresses limiting rice production. Rice is one of three cereals produced annually at worldwide levels of approximately half a billion tons. It is also becoming evident that rice could play a major role as a model for cereal genomics due to the small size of the rice genome between 420 to 450 megabase pairs (Mbp) in which rice will has on average one gene approximately every 15 kilobase pairs (Kbp).

Five rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars were screened for salt tolerance in this study, i.e., Giza 159, Giza 177, Giza 178, Sakha 102 and Agami M1. Agami M1 was chosen as the most tolerant cultivar for salt stress.

Differential display was conducted for shoot tissues under control and salt concentration treatments at 10,000 ppm for one and ten hours. Out of 324 bands observed, 30 bands (9.26%) showed differential expression between treatments. They were classified into six patterns of expression.

The automated DNA sequencing reactions were conducted for some fragments. Computer analysis was done using Blast programs from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), USA to determine homologies and chromosome location for these fragments on the rice genome. Some cDNA fragments showed homology to some genes that play a role in salt tolerance mechanisms like ubiquitin and Ca²⁺-transporting ATPase which

can be used for the isolation of salt related genes (full length) while, the other fragments can be used to discover new genes related to salt-stress response mechanisms.

Key words: Rice, Salt stress, mRNA, cDNA, Differential Display, Gene expression.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I'm indebted to ALLAH forever.

I would like to express my gratitude and deep thanks to **Prof. Dr. F. M. Abdel-Tawab**, Professor of Molecular Genetics, Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for his kind supervision, suggesting the problem, fruitful help, patriotic patience, energetic guidance, conclusive instructions, writing and reviewing the manuscript throughout the course of this study.

I feel deeply thankful to **Prof. Dr. A. Bahieldin**, Professor of Molecular Genetics, Genetics Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for his kind supervision, suggesting the problem, unlimited support, his encouragement from the very beginning up to writing and reviewing the manuscript.

Thanks are extended to **Prof. Dr. G. A. Gad El-Karim**, Deputy Director & Head of Research. Agric. Genet. Eng. Res. Inst. (AGERI), Agric. Res. Cent. (ARC), Giza, Egypt for his kind supervision, suggesting the problem, and unlimited support on both scientific and personal levels during the course of this study.

Special thanks are due to **Dr. Dina A. El-Khishin**, head of GPBI lab, AGERI, for providing the laboratory facilities for this study.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Ismail Abd El-Monem**, Chief Researcher, Crop breeding institute, ARC and Project Coordinator in International Center for Agriculture in Dry Area (ICARDA), for providing green house facility for the screening part of this study.

Thanks are also due to all the **staff members** of the ESL lab and GPBI Lab, Genetic Engineering Research Institute (AGERI), Agric. Res. Center (ARC), for their great help with special gratitude to Miss Abla El-Awady for helping in sequencing part of the study.

Thanks are also extended to all the **staff members** of the Department of Genetics Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. for their continued sport of this study, special gratitude to Dr. Sabah M. Hassan (my wife) for reviewing the manuscript and Mr. Sherif Idris

for helping in setting up the deferential display system in this study.

Special thanks are also extended to **my family** for their patience and encouragement during this work.

Last, but not least, I would like to dedicate this work to the soul of my father.

CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REVIEW OF LETRATURE.....	4
1. Impacts of salt stress on rice.....	4
1.1. Genetics of salinity tolerance in rice.....	4
1.2.. Mechanisms of salt tolerance in rice.....	5
2. Differential Display.....	7
3. Rice, the model for cereal genomics.....	15
4. Selected salt stress- related genes isolated through differential display	16
4.1 Ubiquitin.....	16
4.2. Ca ²⁺ transport genes.....	17
4.2.1. Ca ²⁺ channel genes.....	17
4.2.2. Vacuolar H ⁺ /Ca ²⁺ antiport.....	18
4.2.3. Pmc1p: a vacuolar Ca ²⁺ pump.....	19
4.2.4. Pmr1p: a secretory Ca ²⁺ pump.....	19
4.2.5. Ca ²⁺ transport in the endoplasmic reticulum.....	20
4.2.6. Ca ²⁺ signaling	20
4.2.7. Cytosolic calcium activity under salt stress	21
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	23
1. Plant Material.....	23
2. Methods.....	23
2.1. Screening experiment: to detect salt-tolerant cultivars	23
2.2. RNA extraction from plant material.....	25
2.3. Reverse transcription of mRNA.....	25
2.4. PCR amplification of cDNA using GenHunter RNAimage kit	25
2.5. Differential display of amplified cDNA on sequencing gel ...	26
2.6. Extraction of cDNA from the gel.....	27
2.7. Reamplifying cDNA by PCR.....	28

2.8. Preparation of competent cells for the introduction of plasmid DNA	28
2.9. DNA ligations.....	29
2.10. Transformation of competent cells with plasmid DNA.....	30
2.11. Miniprep of plasmid DNA.....	31
2.12. Sequenceing for the cDNA inseret.....	32
2.13. Identification of the protein product(s) homologous to the cDNA using the NCBI database.....	33
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUTIONS.....	34
1. Detection of salt-tolerant rice genotypes	34
2. DD-PCR of rice shoots and roots under salt stress	37
3. Differential Display-PCR	39
4. Expression patterns of detected DD cDNAs	42
5. Re-amplifiction of cDNA Fragments	55
6. Cloning of the cDNA Fragments.....	57
7. Bacterial transformation.....	58
8. Screening of transformed bacterial cells.....	58
8.1. Blue/White colony screen.....	58
8.2. PCR screening.....	59
8.3. Restriction endonuclease digestion.....	60
9. DNA sequencing.....	61
10. Data analysis for sequenced fragments.....	64
10.1. Fragment 1.....	64
10.2. Fragment 12.....	67
10.3. Fragment 13.....	69
10.4. Fragment 20.....	73
10.5. Fragment 22.....	80
V. SUMMARY.....	83
VI. REFERENCES.....	86
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (1): Names and origins of studied rice cultivars...	23
Table (2): Significance of the five yield-related traits for the five rice cultivars under salt stress (10,000 ppm NaCl) as compared to their respective controls.....	35
Table (3): Expression patterns of the resulted DD shoot fragments.....	50
Table (4): Molecular sizes and optical densities of shoot DD cDNA fragments under control, 1-h and 10-h treatments	51
Table (5): DD-fragments and homology search results...	62

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure (1): pGEM-T Easy Vector circular map and sequence reference points	30
Figure (2): Mean comparisons among the five rice cultivars for the five yield-related traits under salt stress (10,000 ppm NaCl) as compared to there respective controls	36
Figure (3): Agarose gel electrophoresis of total RNAs isolated from shoots and roots of Agami M1 cultivar after different periods of salt exposure (0, 1 h and 10 h).....	38
Figure (4): Agarose gel electrophoresis for PCR products (about 700 bp) of α -amylase gene family using cDNAs generated by different anchor primers (A, C and G) of shoots (a) and roots (b) of Agami M1 cultivar under either environmental condition at different time intervals.....	39
Figure (5): DD-polyacrylamide gels of shoot cDNAs under control and stress (1 and 10 h) conditions utilizing different primer combinations, a)T11A, b) T11C and c) T11G. Lanes 1 and 2 (control), lanes 3 and 4 (1-h shoot treatment, 10,000 ppm), lanes 5 and 6 (10-h shoot treatment, 10,000 ppm). Arrows indicate a number of differentially expressed bands on a duplicate basis.....	41
Figure (6): DD fragments and their vertical expression profiles as illustrated by optical density histograms. Lanes 1 and 2 (control), lanes 3	

	and 4 (1-h shoot treatment, 10,000 ppm), lanes 5 and 6 (10-h shoot treatment, 10,000 ppm). Arrows indicate the positions of DD bands.....	43
Figure (7):	DD expression patterns (groups 1-6) based on horizontal peak optical density diagrams of DD fragments under control, treatment (10,000 ppm) for 1-h and 10-h.....	52
Figure (8):	Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram showing relations between the 30 fragments according to their O.D.....	56
Figure (9):	Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram showing relations between the 30 cDNA fragments based on their expression profiles.....	57
Figure (10):	LB-Agar plates containing single white colonies for transformed <i>E. coli</i> cells	59
Figure (11):	PCR screening of different white colonies (1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 22, and 26) and different blue colonies (N).	60
Figure (12):	Plasmids digestions with <i>EcoRI</i> restriction enzyme.....	61
Figure (13):	Sequences of the five selected DD fragments	63
Figure (14):	Homology results of fragment 1 showing top hits with similar DNA sequences	65
Figure (15):	Sequence alignment of fragment 1 and identities with <i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group) genomic DNA	66
Figure (16):	Homology results of fragment 12 showing top hits with similar DNA sequences.....	67

Figure (17): Sequence alignment of fragment 12 and identities with <i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group) genomic DNA	68
Figure (18): Homology results of fragment 13 showing top hits with similar DNA sequences.....	70
Figure (19): Sequence alignment of fragment 13 and identities with <i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group) genomic DNA.....	71
Figure (20): Homology results of fragment 13 showing top hits with similar protein sequences.....	72
Figure (21): Sequence alignment of fragment 13 and identities with similar protein sequences.....	72
Figure (22): Homology results of fragment 20 showing top hits with similar DNA sequences.....	73
Figure (23): Sequence alignment of fragment 20 and identities with <i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group) genomic DNA.....	74
Figure (24): Homology results of fragment 20 showing top hits with similar protein sequences.....	76
Figure (25): Sequence alignment of fragment 20 and identities with similar protein sequences.....	77
Figure (26): Homology results of fragment 22 showing top hits with similar DNA sequences.....	80
Figure (27): Sequence alignment of fragment 22 and identities with <i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group) genomic DNA.....	81

I. INTRODUCTION

Rice is one of three cereals produced annually at worldwide level of approximately half a billion tons (**FAOSTAT, 2004**). Unlike the other major cereals, more than 90% of rice is consumed by humans. Approximately, half of the world's population derives a significant proportion of their caloric intake from rice consumption. Given the predicted rise in the world's human population, it is likely that rice consumption, and therefore demand, will increase over the next several decades. As a limited acreage of intensively cultivated areas is available to increase rice cultivation, both higher yields and expansion of cultivation into salt-affected areas are prerequisites to meet the anticipated rising demand.

In addition to being an important cereal crop for human consumption, it is also becoming clear that rice could play a major role as a good model for cereal genomics. Rice has a genome size considerably smaller than the other major cereals, which is estimated at 420 to 450 megabase pairs (Mbp). Sorghum, maize, barley, and wheat have significantly larger genomes (1000, 3000, 5000, and 17000 Mbp, respectively). The small genome size of rice results in a higher gene density relative to the other cereals. Assuming a total of 30,000 genes in each of the cereal genomes, rice will have on average of one gene approximately every 15 kilobase pairs (Kbp). Maize and wheat will have one gene approximately every 100 and 500 Kbp, respectively. This higher gene density in rice makes it an attractive target for cereal gene discovery efforts and genome sequence analysis (**Goff, 1999**).

Although genes in rice are present at a higher relative density than in other cereals, they are predicted to be arranged in a similar general order within the genome. Comparisons of the physical and

genetic maps of cereal genomes have led to concede that a significant amount of colinearity of gene order exists among the different cereal genomes (**Ahn *et al.*, 1993**). Accordingly, the use of rice as a model for cereal comparative genomic analysis has been proposed and recently reviewed (**Havukkala, 1996**).

Plants are frequently exposed to stresses, which are usually defined as external factors exerting disadvantageous influences on them (**Levitt, 1972**). Water deficit, chilling and freezing, heat stress, salinity and oxygen deficiency are major stress factors restricting plant growth (**Boyer, 1982; Salisbury and Ross, 1989**). Some of which (such as temperature) can become stressful in a few minutes; others may take days to weeks (soil water) or even months (mineral nutrients) to become stressful. Salinity can affect any process in the plant life cycle, so that tolerance will involve a complex interplay of characters. Many researchers investigated details of the physiology and biochemistry of salt tolerance and also looked at methods to screen overall plant performance that could be used in breeding programs. Plants, in general, are relatively tolerant during germination but become more sensitive during emergence and early seedling up to later stages of growth (**Azhar and McNeilly, 1989; Abdel-Tawab *et al.*, 1998a**).

Differential display (DD), first described by **Liang and Pardee (1992)**, is one of the methods for analyzing gene expression in eukaryotic cells and tissues. DD has been widely applied to study changes in mRNA expression induced by temporal developments, biotic and abiotic factors (**Liang and Pardee, 1992; liang *et al.*, 1992; McCarthy *et al.*, 1995; Hu *et al.*, 1996**). This powerful technique simultaneously screens for both up-regulated and down-regulated transcripts in multiple cell populations under different developmental and environmental conditions.